ON THE INTERPRETATION OF THE §37 OF THE HITTITE LAWS
IN THE LIGHT OF OTHER INDO-EUROPEAN TRADITIONS

The recent progress of the study of the Hittite Laws was largely due to the addition of new fragments to the texts that in many respects proved the correctness of the views expressed earlier by Prof. V. Korošec. It seems that another important source of the insights in the original meaning of the oldest parts of the Laws lies in the comparison of the oldest Hittite tradition with other Indo-European legal texts. The comparative studies of the last years have shown that one might speak about the Common Indo-European legal tradition or — to use Gernet's apt term — »proto-law« »prédroit« (that is the oldest system where the legal institutions and the religious practice were blended into one syncretic whole). It has been shown in these studies that several terms connected with the legal status of a woman in the house had Common Indo-European origin. Thus a Common Indo-European term for the marriage by abduction (Raubehe) may be reconstructed on the assumption that it is reflected in Iranian (Avestan uya-vādaya- "livrer (une femme) en mariage", us-vādaya- "enlever (une femme)") or the participle vādūnō Y. 5,3,56 Khwarezmian yuš "wife", Sogdian wāw "wife", Khotanese dāy-, basta "to lead", Saryqoli dywoq, dywust "to lead" etc.), Baltic (Lithuanian vedų vėstį "to marry"), Slavic (Old Russian voditi ženu "uxōrem dūcere"), Celtic (Welsh dy-weddio "to marry"), Germanic (Old High German widomo "bride-price"), Greek (hédnon, pl. éedna "gifts for the marriage-agreement"), cf. also the meaning of the cognate Hittite verbs huittija- "ziehen", pe-gute- "hinführen", u-yate- "herbringen". The Old Indian survival of the special meaning of the root in Vedic vadhū- "the young bride" (Avestan vaŋh "the married woman") seems important in the light of the eviden-
ce on the forcible abduction of a maiden in the Manu laws (III 23). While discussing this passage in his important contribution to comparative Indo-European studies of the law C. Watkins compares it with similar practice reflected in Latin and Celtic texts and in §37 of the Hittite Code. As he remarks, "in Hittite law we have what may be called the "wolf-marriage".« As set forth in the Hittite Code, no action lies for murder arising out of an attempted abduction, and the situation is covered by the doubtless shouted formula Zik-ša URBARRA-aš kištat "you have become the wolf". This formula is explained by Watkins as a reflex of an association of the wolf with warrior typical for archaic Irish; as Watkins states in Old Indian practice the marriage by abduction is particularly associated with the kṣatriyas, the warrior class in Hindu law. But he missed the general meaning of the formula because he did not take into consideration the same formula found almost in all Indo-European »proto-legal« traditions.

To understand the formula it is not yet sufficient to state with Friedrich that it implies that the abductors became outlawed. This meaning seems to be necessary to understand the text where this formula is somehow opposed to the usual compensation (šernikzel): takku SAL-nan kviški pittinuzzi n/zu šerdjieš arpan anda pänzi takku 3 LÜS našma 2 LÜS akkenzi, šernikzel NU.GAL zik-ša URBARRA-aš kištat. "If someone abducts a woman and... helpers go after them, if 3 or 2 men die (are killed), there is no compensation; "you have become a wolf" (the Old Hittite version A of §37). If as Friedrich saw already this statement refers to the illegal position of the killer – abductor (or his helper) then another Indo-European parallel suggests itself immediately. As Gernet remarks in a brilliant essay on Dolon the Wolf in Ancient Greece selon une croyance bien établie, à l'époque historique encore, celui qui avait accompli le sacrifice humain que le culte continuait de requérir devenait, lui aussi, un loup. This formula (not cited in Greek by Gernet) that coincides with the end of §37 of the Hittite Code is to be found in Plato's »Republic« in the only place in his works where the word hieré∫on refers to the human sacrifice: hōs ho geusámenos toû antarōpínou splánkanou en állois álloν hieré∫on hēnhs enka-teteménavon, anáнак de touLv i lýkoi genésthai "when (a person) has tasted the human intestines that were cut up into parts and mixed up with the intestines of the other victims than he beco-
mes a wolf". The formula latchi genésthai "he has become a wolf" might explain the "proto-legal" meaning of Hittite URBARRA=š kšstat "you have become the wolf". Both in the Hittite law and in the Greek tradition the survival of an ancient custom (the marriage by abduction or the human sacrifice) was supposed to be connected with becoming the wolf. The sacral character of this notion that was suggested for the Hittite tradition by the author is clear in Greek tradition as also in the Germanic law. According to Gernet in the story of Dolon "Le Loup figure un outlaw ... le sanctuaire du Zeus Lyceios est lieu d'asile, et on a pu conjecturer que la notion du loup était, ici comme ailleurs, en rapport avec celle du banni." This suggestion concerning the Greek custom and the Hittite law may be largely based on the comparison with old Germanic legal concept of the vargr - an outlaw (Old Scandinavian útlegr) who could not live in the society and should move into some wild place (hence his other name skógararœ "living in the forest", cf. per silvas vēdit, caput lupinum gerit). He was considered a wolf-vargr ("friedlos", a taboo name for a wolf in Germanic languages). Especially important for the comparison with the Hittite law and the Greek customs is the Old Icelandic oath which is directed against the man who will break the ritualistic concept of the enemies that are now united as relatives: "That person among you who will break the peace and will commit a murder in spite of the performed rituals - that person will become a wolf and he will be persecuted in all the places where people hunt the wolves." Again (as in Hittite and Greek traditions) the man becomes the wolf after killing another man. He is considered to be a werewolf (that is a man who can transform himself into a wolf), bloodthirstiness being the main characteristic of the wolf and of the werewolf. According to the old Scandinavian customary law any wolf or werewolf was outlawed.

After Dumézil's discovery of an Indo-European tradition of wearing animal masks Gernet supposed that the Greek beliefs concerning the men-wolves were connected with the same tradition: "Rien ne nous autorise à admettre que le kóros qui représente Apollon malgré les accointances de l'Apollon delphique avec le loup - ait été déguisé en loup; mais le personnage de Dolon ne retiendrait-il pas le souvenir d'un officiant ainsi costumé, dans un rite plus ancien ou dans les rites analogues?" In Hittite
tradition the similar rite is reflected in the text KUB IX 31 Vs. II nu VIII? DUMU
NITA u-ya-da-an-zi SAL-pi-iš-ša-an ku-e-eš na-e-ú-i pa-e-an-zi nu A NA I DUMU.NITA KUB.EA3 GAL ya-aš-ši-ja-
an-zi nu pi-ra-an a-pe-a-ši i-ja/š/t-ta nu UR.BAR.RA-i-li bal-
zi-iš-ša-i "Dann bringt man acht Burschen herbei, die noch nicht
t zu einer Frau gehen. Einen Burschen zieht man die Haut eines
Schafockes an; der geht voran und ruft wie ein Wolf". 23 The mag-
ical role of a skin (not necessarily a wolf-skin) in connection
with the werewolves is reflected also in other Indo-European
traditions, particularly in Latin (cf. the term versipellus "we-
rewolf" that is to be understood in the light of a well-known
story in Satir., 62, 5), Germanic and Slavic as investigated by
Roman Jakobson in his brilliant study on the Slavic prince Vse-
slav - werewolf, who was born with a caul (the Slavic word jage-
no etymologically connected with the Baltic and Indian names of
the "skin", the "goat") over his head. 24 As Jacobson discovered
in the chapter on the Indo-European background of the Slavic
myth the Slavic prince Vseslav as the Greek hero (Dolon the
Wolf) is an outlaw 25 - »hunter and beast, persecutor and perse-
cuted at the same time.« 26 Thus the bloodthirstiness of the hero
is connected with his ritual role of a persecuted wolf (as in
all other Indo-European traditions).

It might be supposed that LU.MES UR.BAR.RA "wolf-men" found
in other Hittite texts 27 (KUB VII 19 Vs. 5 etc.) might be connec-
ted with the same bundle of ritual and legal functions recon-
structed by Gernet and Jakobson on the base of other Indo-Europ.
traditions. To the same sphere belongs also the ritual function
of a man-wolf who is the symbolic member of a wolf-brotherhood
or a wolf-union. 28 Such a man might be a supernatural prince (as
Slavic Vseslav) or a king: »Lycaon, ancêtre sans doute du clan
ou de la confrérie des Anthides, est le type du roi mythique, et
il a fondé une ville dénommée d’après le loup.« 29 In Hittite
tradition the same idea might be seen in a well-known formula of
2BoTU 105 15f. Šu/mi-in-za-na 11Mes am-me-an UR.BAR.RA-aš ma-
a-an pa-an-gur ... 1em e-eš-tu "Und eure, meiner Diener, Sippe
soll eins sein wie die des Wolfes". 30 The idea of a ritual union
of a human society symbolized by the image of the wolf might be
found in South Caucasian traditions. 31 Here the man who kills the
wolf (being considered the member of a clan) should be treated
ritually impure until a special rite is performed. Thus one might
suppose that all the customs and rites described before can be united since the idea of a wolf-union (or a wolf-society) could be the oldest concept and the man who kills another man »becomes a wolf« that is an outlaw according to the archaic Indo-European »proto-legal« tradition.
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**Povzetek:**

Avtor V. V. Ivanov poučarja, da moremo hetitski zakonik razumeti delno predvsem ob uporabi primerjalne metode, ob pritegnitvi drugih indoevropskih zakonskih tekstov, s čimer pridemo do skupne indoevropske pravne tradicije (»pra-pravo«). Tako je na razpolago skupni ievr. naziv za zakon z ugrabitvijo (Raubehe): koren ievr. *ved(h)-*, ki naj bi v het. živel v ħuitiia- "pleši", pe-hute- "peljati, voditi (proč)"; u-var- "privesti". Tako prakso reflektira het. §37 Zakonika; zaključek tega § ("Če kdo ugrabi ženo in gredo pomočniki zadaj skupaj, če 3 ali 2 moža umreja, ni nobene odškodnine") zik-ga URBARRA-škištat "ti si postal volk". S tem je treba povezati grško tradicijo o Dolonu-Volku in oboje kaže na ievr. običaj (ženitev z ugrabitvijo ali človeška žrtev), povezan z vero, da storilec postane volk. "Volk" je tu izobčenec, podobno kot v germanskem pravu (nord. vargr ~ útlegš) človek po uboju postane volk = izobčenec. Imajo ga za volkodlaka. Kot v grški tradiciji, tudi v hetitskih tekstih (KUB IX 31 I 2) poznajo ritus, kjer je človek preoblečen v kožo kozla in tuli kot volk. Podobna je zgodba slov. princa Vseslava; v Grčiji pa Lykaon predstavlja volčjo zvezo/bratstvo in to se veže na het. tekst 2BoTU 10A 15sl. ("Vaša družina naj bo eno kot volčja"). Ideja o volčji zvezi je v vsem tem najstarejšje jedro.