HITTITE *huelpi- "YOUNG, TENDER, FRESH" AND IE *Hulp-,*Hlup-

The following contribution offers a new etymology of the Hittite term *huelpi- which can through IE root *Huelep- be related to IE *Hulp-, *Hlup- "fox, wolf, cat". The relation indicates that the old expression for naming offerings to the gods is hidden in the IE forms *Hulp-, *Hlup-. The etymology of the Hittite *huelpi- (adj.) has since Hrozny's relation to IE *gelab- "womb, young one", cf. OInd. gār-bha- "mother's body", Av. gar-ava- "idem", Gr. ἀδίκαρπος "young pig", OHG kalb "calf", remained on weak phonetic and semantic basis.

Also later attempts, cf. the relation to OHG welb "young dog, young one" and the relation to Arm. xeš- "vegetable tissue", have remained insufficient for they have not taken account of the results of the laryngeal theory which justifiably rejects Hrozny's postulate: Hittite *h- and *hu- from IE gutturals.

Hitt. *huelpi- (adj.) "young, tender, cute, fresh", which, according to Goetze a substantivised adj. meaning "young animal" while according to the latest discoveries of the Hitt.-text Instructions for Temple officials more likely defining "first-fruits" and "firstlings", is a term used by the Hittite for describing the most positive features of live and
unlive nature, for they, by using a substantivised form of *huelpi-, named their vegetable and animal sacrifice for the gods.

Considering the fact that no clear examples to verify Hitt. -elC- from IE *-elC- are to be found, the Hitt. i-stem adjective huelpi- should be reconstructed as *Huelp-i-.

In the above mentioned Hitt. term there's hidden IE root *Huelp-, *Hulep-, *Hulép- "tender, cute, fresh, young, beautiful". The initial laryngeal can as prothetic vowel be shown in Gr. and Arm.

The reconstructed root semantically and phonetically corresponds to the one noted by Oštir in Arm. golar "tenero, tenero e dolce, molle, morbido, soave, piacevole". On the basis of the reconstruction *uolp-oro- from IE root *uolp- he linked the Arm. form with Lat. lepidus "niedlich, zierlich, allerliebst", lepös, -öris "Feinheit, Anmut, heiter Witz" and Lith. lepūs "weichlich, verzärtelt". The relation between IE *Huelp- and Arm. golar and the above mentioned Lat. and Lith. forms is very appealing. The initial laryngeal does not exclude it, for it is known that IE *u- as well as IE *H- were shifted into Arm. g-. Cf. for the former Arm. stitem "know" from IE *uheid- "know" and Arm. gini "wine" from IE *uoino- "idem" and for the later Arm. gelmn "wool, fleece", Hitt. *ulusana- , both from IE *Huel(s)-; Arm. gos "is", Hitt. *ulus- "live", Goth. wisan, all from IE *Huel- "be, dwell, live". The question arises wether Oštir's reconstruction of the Arm. word can be justified. IE *-u-, *-t-, *-k- after
nasals and liquids correspond to Arm. -b-, -d-, -g-. Arm. goiär should therefore probably be reconstructed as **hol-sro**. The relation between Arm. goiär and IE root **Huelep**- is therefore vague. It does not, however, prevent us from relating Lat. and Lith. forms to this root.

The reconstructed root **Huelep**- in some vowel alternation with a prothetic vowel which points to **H** corresponds to IE expressions reconstructed by Pokorny **Hulp-**, with metathesis +lup- which in most IE languages mark the fox, as well as the wolf and the cat.

Gr. ἠλώνης (f.), gen. ἠλωνέκος "fox" must be reconstructed as **Hulop-ek**-. The early dissimilatory falling of digama should of course be accounted of. This in Gr. is not a sole example. Compare Gr.Hom. Ἑλέω, cf. OInd. vāmiti,Lat. vomere, Lith. vėmti; Gr.Hom. παῖς, gen. παῦδος "boy" instead of ταῖς, gen. ταῦδος.

Short o-grade of IE root **Hulep**- can be seen in Lith. lāpe "fox". The same vowel grade is found in OPr. lape "fox" and Lett. lapsa "idem". Lettic -s- is probably from IE +-i- which can be found in Lat. volpescula, though with a bare vowel grade of the same root. Schulze thought of syncope when mentioning the Lett. form which accordingly may indicate IE form **Hulop-ek**- or Hulop-ak?-

Lat. volpes "fox" pointing to a bare vowel grade is originally an -e- stem with a secondary nom. -s as vate-s "prophet".

Perhaps Goth. wulfa "wolf", OHG wolf, ASax. wulf should be related to IE **Huelep**-. The majority of scholars see in
these Germanic forms the starting form +ulk­
while Zupitza 20 saw in them the IE form +ulpos. Such reconstructed Germanic forms can be related to MPers. gurpek "domestic cat". Liddén 21 here assumed an Arian starting point +urpa- comparing it to Lith. vilpiš­ys "wild cat". Iran.-Balt. +ulpo- originally should have meant "wild cat" and only secondarily in Pers. "domestic cat".

In. Arm. a­lves, gen. aluesu "fox" Frisk 22 saw a perfect parallel to Gr. ἱδήμ "idem". By relating these two he probably didn't take into account Schulze's reconstruction +d­
หวบก- . Such starting Gr. form cannot be related to Arm. al­
ves. Arm. equivalent to Gr. ἱδήμ- should begin with i-
itial g-. About Arm. g- from IE + or +ú- see the above mentioned remarks in the text. The Arm. form should be recon-
structed as +Hlupek'ı-. Thematic form of IE +Hlup-ek- is condi-
tioned by the rule about Armenian accent, cf. Arm. eber < IE +ebheret, OInd. ábharet, Gr. ἰδήμ 23. IE +d- in mid-vowel position through +ph- passed into Arm. -w-, cf. Arm. ew "and, also" from IE +epí, OInd. épi "also, then", Gr. ἵ; Arm. noviv "shepherd" from IE +ouí-pá 24. After the falling of pre-
accent -u-, cf. Arm. orcam "vomite" < +orucám 25, the Arm. w caused the change of Arm. -l- into -ı-. The initial Arm. á- is a vocalised laryngeal +ú, cf. Gr. ἱδήμ and Hitt. ḫus­
pi-.

Av. urupa- "weasel" according to the general opinion but "fox" according to the latest discoveries, as Hofmann 26 assumes from the texts and reconstructs it as +lupá- (cf. Lat.


+volpē), is also related to IE +Hlup-.  
Av. urupi- "fox" is an i-stem with its starting form +lu-
pi-. Lat. lupus "wolf" should also be included here. The later
should be regarded as a form inherited from IE +Hlup- and not
as the one taken over from Sab.\(^{27}\). The same vowel alternation
of the root probably contain Kimr. llywərn "fox", OCorn. lou-
uern, WBret. louarn\(^{28}\). OInd. lopāsā- "fox, jackal" and Av.
rəopi- "idem" point to a vyddhi form of the IE +Hlup-.  

Owing to the appearance in the above mentioned expre-
sions for fox, a wolf and a cat of the same root attested in
Hitt. ḫuelpi-, Lat. lepidus, lepōs, -ōris, Lith. lepūs, there
is a need to have a closer look at the role of these animals
with Indoeuropeans. The original meaning of IE +Hulp-, +Hlup-
is "young, tender, cute, fresh". Here a hypothesis forces it-
self that all these above mentioned forms do not point to an
old IE expression for naming the beast as Pokorny\(^{29}\) assumed
but they reveal one of the oldest expressions for naming of-
ferings of Indoeuropeans. It therefore reveals an old sacral
role of the fox, the wolf and the cat. The reason for the to-
tal loss of traces of this role should be looked for in the
break-through of new ideas or religions which all wanted to
suppress the old spirit and offering connected with it. Ukr.
pohānin "wolf" besides "pagan; the one who is impure", cf. pā-
haṇ "impurity, corruption, filthiness", probably taken over
from Lat. pāgānus, clearly points to the above mentioned hy-
pothesis.

Indoeuropean lexica shows that Indoeuropeans often named
their tributes using a term "young; chaste; without being added to; what is fresh; cute, tender" or with a superlative "beautiful". When the tribute was abandoned this term only marked a concrete sacrificial object that is a sheep, a pig, a horse etc.

Thus how from OHG adj. frisc "fresh" an OHG noun friscing, translated in same cases as "hostia, victima, holocaustum" 30, was derived. At the same time the same term is often used to mark a pig and a sheep 31. MHG friscing means only "young sheep or young pig" and also "tax". Modern German Frischling has retained only a non-sacral meaning "wild boar".

A similar principle can be found in Slav. *prężna *"fresh, row, without addition". A derivation *oprężnka, cf. ORuss. oprężnka with its meaning "hostia" beside "unleavened bread" Slov. dial. presmec "Easter bread" originally probably meant +"sacrifice, the thing which is pure, nothing is added to it".

Also OHG zebar "sacrificial animal", ASax. tibar "idem", tīfer, Goth. tibr "sacrifice" 32, ONor. tifurr "god" 33, Arm. tvar "ram" from *tīp- most likely indicates the above shown semantic chain. Perhaps one should see in Pokorny's reconstruction *tīp-34 < +dei(e)s- the IE root +dei(e)- "hell glänzen, schimmern, scheinen", cf. OHG zeij *"zart, unmutig" 35. To the German forms MHG ungezibere, Modern German Ungeziefer "vermin" or "unreines, nicht zum Opfer geeignetes Tier" 36 must also be related.

The same principle of marking can be observed also in Hitt. uzušupa (nom.-acc.n.pl.) < *suppaia "cultic pure meat" from
šuppi- "pure"37.

The hypothesis that in the semantic chain in the principle of naming sacrifice to the gods realizes itself as a term marking a concrete sacrificial object, while the beginning of the same chain points to the quality of the sacrificial object is also illustrated by Norv. sau "sheep", Swed. só, ONor. savdr "idem". These markings are phonetically identical with Goth. saups "sacrifice". All these terms must be related to OIsl. sjöde "boil, cook", A Sax. sédan, Eng. seethe, OHS séidan "boil" which all correspond to IE root *seu- - "boil, move quickly"38. The above shown semantic chain points to an old pagan offering of cooked mutton39. After abandoning the sacrificing the term with its meaning "sacrifice" or "cooked mutton" was given a completely non-sacral, concrete meaning "sheep".

Pokorny assumed the IE *ulp-, *lup- to be original forms for naming the beast. Zupitza40 shared the same opinion, for he saw in these forms the original meaning "the one who tears" and therefore connected *ulp-, *lup- beside *ulkH- with IE root *uel(e)-, cf. Lat. vellö "rupfen, zupfen, raufen".

The central meaning of IE *Huelep- is "fox". This in original home of the Indoeuropeans probably didn’t arouse fear, above all one cannot assume her greed for fowl. This could happen much later. Dating from the same period are probably also single linguistic "tabuistische Umbildungen" as Pokorny expressed himself. Riegler41 also spoke of the mythical and folcloric role of the fox as well as Peuckert42, but both
saw in it a demonic power, arousing fear in man. Tabuistic expressions for the fox in different IE languages do not reject their statements, but this, probably, is not the original role of the fox. It is not a mere coincidence that the citation used by Grimm to show a horse as a sacrificial animal and with it the man's irresistible greed for consuming horse's meat which, at the time of Christianity was strictly forbidden, testifies in favour of the fox. It seems that her meat was equally esteemed as that of the horse's. But the peoples wouldn't have esteemed fox's meat if the fox had aroused in them such fear as is generally attributed to her; "Hieronymus adv. Jov. lib. 2. (ed. basil. 1553. 2, 75): Sarmatae, Quadi, Vandali et innumerabiles aliae gentes equorum et vulpium carnibus delectantur". A citation which points to the consumption of cat's meat which runs: "Otto friscing 6, 10: audiat, quod Pecenati et hi qui Falones vocantur crudis et immundis carnibus, utpote equinis et catinis usque hodie vescuntur" must also be understood in the same context.

The wolf as well as the fox and the cat must have aroused in the mind of Indoeuropean man a glowing strength and, owing that, an esteemed animal. From this originates also an old desire for identification with him, cf. personal nouns such as Lat. Vlp(ius), Lupio, Lupus, Lupillus etc.; OHG Wolf (e.g. Wolf Zenebus, noted in 1424, who was a knight, as well as Wolf v. Stain, noted in 1291), Gal. Λυκώργος, etc.

And last but not least the legend about the beginning of the Roman empire shows that the wolf originally in the mind
of the Indoeuropean man was not a demonic destructive animal as it is generally assumed. 44.

The previous arguments do not finally define the sacral role of the fox, the wolf and the cat. A firmer decision about this role would demand an interdisciplinary research.

My thanks are due to professors Bojan Čop and Varja Cvetko-Orešnik for critical observations and to Blaga Juvan for translation.
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Povzetek

HETITSKO `huelpi- "mlad, nežen, svež" IN IDE. *Hulp-, *Hlup-

Povezava sili v domnevo, da se v ide. tvorbah *Hulp-, *Hlup- skriva staro poimenovanje za darove bogovom.