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The winter issue of Volume 11 presents a selection of seven different research articles on Japanese, Tetun Dili, Sylheti Bangla, Pahari, and Saraiki language. The rise of the Covid-19 pandemic, of which continuation unfortunately still allows many to collect data for research, has prompted us to publish several other interesting studies. This compilation brings to the readers the following topics.

This issue opens with Saki AMANO’s paper “Polysemy of ‘Common Language’ and the Modern Japanese Nation: The Universalization of a ‘Standard Language’ to correct ‘Dialects’?”. The author examines the term futsūgo (common language) over two periods and explains the shift from the populace’s everyday commonplace language to a unified national language.

In the next paper “From Native-speaker Likeness to Self-representation in Language: Views from the Acquisition of Japanese Transitive and Intransitive Verbs”, ITO Hideaki considers the degree to which a language user’s own will is recognized in language education. The author demonstrates that the usage-centric acquisition process can create opportunities for language users to make expressive choices focused on what they wish to say.

The third article is Nastja PAHOR’s paper “Corpus analysis of the collocations of the transitive verbs owaru and oeru”, in which the author approaches the transitivity of Japanese verbs from the corpus perspective. Semantical analysis of collocations in combination with the morphological analysis of co-occurring verbs reveals some interesting findings.

After the first three papers that focus on Japanese, the fourth one brings some new insights into Tetun Dili. Andrei A. AVRAM in his paper “Contact-induced variation in Tetun Dili phonology” analyzes Portuguese influence on Tetun Dili phonology, and demonstrates that the intricacies of inter-speaker variation cannot be merely reduced to variation between more Portuguese-like phonology and a more Tetun-Dili-like one.

Arpita GOSWAMI’s paper “Marked Geminates as Evidence of Sonorants in Sylheti Bangla: An Optimality Account” analyzes the universal concept that sonorants are marked geminates in the gemination process of Sylheti Bangla, and proposes a hierarchy of the constraints for analyzing the gemination processes in SHB. Besides, the author illustrates some additional constraints found to be necessary.

The following article “Stop Voicing and F0 Perturbation in Pahari” presents the findings of Nazia RASHID, Abdul Qadir KHAN, Ayesha SOHAIL, and Bilal Ahmed
ABBASI. The authors investigate the perturbation effect of the voicing of initial stops on the fundamental frequency of the following vowels in Pahari.

Last but not least, “Word Stress system of the Saraiki language” is an article by Firdos ATTA, who presents an Optimality-Theoretic analysis of Saraiki word stress. The author concludes that Saraiki has a trochaic stress system and falls in the category of quantity-sensitive languages. This paper also indicates further research work on word stress at the sentence level.

Editors and Editorial board wish the regular and new readers of the ALA journal a pleasant read full of inspiration, and a rise of new research ideas inspired by these papers.

Editors
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Abstract
In this paper, the term futsūgo (common language) was viewed over two periods. The first period (1880s-1894) was concerned with education but aimed to establish everyday, commonplace language and script that was familiar to the populace. However, by the 1890s, the policy of Europeanization was being reconsidered, and national consciousness was on the rise. The second period (1894-early 1900s), with the start of the Sino-Japanese War, saw an increase in the national consciousness in strengthening both literary and military arts, with a desire for the establishment of an artificially unified language with artificial rules that would unify the populace and the nation. The natural shift from the populace’s everyday commonplace language to a unified national language became possible through the linguistic logic, or mediation of terminology, seen in the single (but ambiguous) word futsūgo.
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Introduction

During the Meiji era, especially after the consecutive enactment of the Elementary School Order Revision, the establishment of the ‘National Language Course’ (kokugoka), and the establishment of the Ministry of Education National Language Research Committee in 1900, there were calls for the establishment of a national language for national unification, and it was decided that dialects should be corrected to a ‘standard language’ (hyōjungo) based on the dialect of Tōkyō as the capital city. National language education adopted the same policy.¹

Previous studies (Ogasawara & Funaki, 2001; Murakami, 2005) about this series of events have discussed the issue of ‘general education’ through an ideal, unified futsūgo (usually referring to ‘common language’) related to national language establishment and education; indeed, futsūgo was characterized by being a ‘created norm’ of the modern state. On the other hand, however, as is often the case with Meiji era terminology, a single term is often polysemous, used in multiple fields and several ages, causing conceptual confusion in our research in later periods (Amano, 2019). This is also the case for the term futsūgo, which is the subject of this study. In actual research, each field independently uses the term as if it was self-evident, with little discussion about the uses of the term in other fields, much less the mutual relationship among them.²

Just because the single term futsūgo is used it does not mean that it is necessary to limit conceptual discussion to a single meaning. Rather, it is because the term appears to be the same at first glance that its conceptual conflicts in multiple contexts need to be examined carefully, making it possible to clarify the linguistic logic of the ‘created norms’ that makes them appear identical. I believe that it was a strategy to follow the process of having an everyday, commonplace ‘common language’ for widespread education change to focus on a simplified and unified national education system – in other words, a strategy to unify a ‘national language’ (kokugo) through the mediation of the term ‘common language’ (futsūgo).

In this paper, I will examine the term futsūgo from when it is thought to have first appeared in the 1880s, to the use of futsūgo to correct ‘dialects’, and finally to prominent calls for the establishment of a national language at the turn of the century. The Sino-Japanese war, which broke out in 1894, was accompanied by a pronounced rise in the national consciousness. This period marked a major turning point in the use

¹ This series of movements was an attempt to clarify the unity of the nation by artificially polishing the ‘Japanese language’, which included several registers (described below), as a form of state-led nationalism. The use of the word ‘nation/al’ as used in this paper allows for the shifting significance of the concept of ‘nation’ towards a more state-led nationalism.
² One of the few considerations of the relationship among various examples is seen in Sato (1991).
of the term *futsūgo* to express the desire for the establishment of an artificially unified language with artificial rules that would unify the populace and the nation.

2 **An overview of national language reforms in the Meiji era: The issue of *futsū* **

2.1 **The beginning of the national language reforms**

First, the Japanese language during the Meiji era, whether the spoken or written language, had various registers (sometimes called ‘phases’, *isō*) depending on the environment of their use.

For example, the spoken language differed by region, such as the Tōkyō dialect and the Okinawa dialect. Depending on social class, people used the formal, refined language or the rough language of the downtown craftspeople. In a literary context, a frog was a *kawazu*, and in everyday speech it was *kaeru*. For the written language, there were many styles, such as a style like translated literary Chinese and an epistolary style. As for scripts, there were multiple methods of writing, such as Chinese logographs (*kanji*), Japanese phonographs (*kana*), and mixtures of the two.

Within these various registers, the questions of which linguistic system to use in which situation, or which script system, or which writing style – all of these questions are broadly referred to as the ‘national language reforms’ (in Japanese, *kokugo kokuji mondai*, literally ‘national language and script problem’ is used). The aspects of this issue can be summarized as follows.

1. Aspect on speech (e.g., region, class)
2. Aspect on writing (e.g., literary Chinese translation style, epistolary style)
3. Aspect on script (e.g., *kanji*, *kana*, Latin alphabet)

Next, we consider the perspective of Masao Hirai’s research on the national language reforms (Hirai, 1949) which represents the major trend. In the 1870s of the early Meiji era, Japan came into contact with western civilization, nominally dismantled its feudal class system, and began to pursue a democratic way of life. When the national language reforms are considered in this light, their goal was the democratization of education, or the standardization of an educational curriculum that used everyday, commonplace language aimed at the widespread populace. Before the Meiji Restoration (1868), education was administered by the domains (*han*), and its opportunity was mostly limited to studying Chinese learning (*kangaku*) as the educational prerogative of the ruling warrior class.

Hisoka Maejima, a Meiji government official who is also known for founding the postal system, made a petition (Maejima, 1899) to the shogun Yoshinobu Tokugawa as early as in December 1866 to abandon Chinese characters, and also argued his vision
for the future of education. His petition (1899) called for ‘general education’ (futsū kyōiku), explaining that:

The national essence is the education of the people. That education should not depend on class but should be spread throughout the people. In order to spread education, it is necessary to use a script and writing style that are as simple as possible (Maejima, 1899, p. 6).³

In other words, regardless of social class, education should be aimed at the general populace, and script and writing should be simplified as much as possible. Here, the meaning of the word futsū may mean ‘widespread’ or ‘universal’.

2.2 The development of the national language reforms

What exactly is everyday, commonplace language? Though we might say ‘everyday, commonplace’, there are many levels of language with different standpoints and trends.

The dawn of the national language reforms was the 1870s, when a debate over the script (third aspect) was being held. Maejima drafted his petition to abandon Chinese characters, and instead adopt the simpler phonographic kana script.

The second aspect, the actual practice of writing, was also the subject of discussion. Before the Meiji Restoration, the mainstream of education was Chinese-derived words written in the kanji logographic script from China, and when words originating from Japanese were used, they tended to be archaic words from the Heian period (794-1185). In other words, it was not just a question of whether the script should be modernized, but whether the written language should be reformed to correspond to the modern spoken language.

In this context, Maejima (1899) wrote:

When establishing national writing and literature, one should not reconstruct the classical language with words such as haberu (archaic polite ‘to be’) and kerukana (archaic exclamation), but should use today’s common language, such as tsukamatsuru (humble ‘to do’) and gozaru (polite ‘to be’), and these should be fixed as the standard (Maejima, 1899, p. 15).

The important words in this quotation are seen contrasting the ‘classical language’ (kobun) and the ‘today’s common language’ (konni chi futsū no gengo). ‘Classical language’ refers to the Heian period language that was extant in written form, and ‘today’s common language’ existed in verbal form, as the spoken language. In other words, Maejima aimed to create a written style of writing words as they were used in

³ This and subsequent sources were translated by the author and Loren Waller (Yale University).
everyday speech rather than the traditional, elegant style that had become fixed in written documents. This writing style that corresponded to the spoken language was known as the genbun itchi (unified speech and writing) style.

In the wake of these debates of written style, the first aspect mentioned above came to be debated concurrently by the 1880s, the period of development examined in this paper. Since the spoken language is organic in nature, it produces a variety of different linguistic usages and registers. However, for the spoken language to be established as a standard, it was necessary that certain standards of the spoken language itself first be established.

In particular, the aim was to unify the language at the local and class level. This will be discussed in more detail in the following sections since it relates to the discussion of ‘common language’ as a term. Here, I will simply state the overall trend that the language of the middle class of Tōkyō, as the capital, would become the standard.

The concrete ways in which the everyday, commonplace language was used to democratize education, becoming the mainstream course of the national language reforms, can be summarized as follows.

1. Speech (Tōkyō, middle class)
2. Writing (genbun itchi, unified speech and writing)
3. Script (mixed kanji and kana)

3 The concept of futsūgo (1880s-1894): everyday, commonplace language

As shown in the previous section, the national language reforms and the word futsū as used to democratize education evoked discussions at different levels, resulting in many standpoints and trends. The term futsūgo which is the focus of this paper underwent a similar phenomenon in that it too became polysemous, being used in several different fields and over several different periods.

However, since this is used as an academic term, it should be defined as a clear concept, so this phenomenon of polysemy requires careful attention. In this section, I will first look at the main examples of references to futsūgo up through the first period from the 1880s to 1894. I will argue that there was no normative consciousness of national unification when the term futsūgo was used in this first period.

---

4 However, classical language is not limited to elegant words, and modern spoken language is not limited to colloquial words. For example, classical literature includes both waka and haikai. The former was elegant court poetry and the latter more lighthearted, colloquial, popular poetry. The medium of the source and the determination of elegant or colloquial is not fixed.
3.1  *Futsūgo* in dictionary compilation projects

3.1.1  *Futsūgo* as the Japanese language as a whole

Just as in the West, dictionary compilation projects were a way of honoring the nation’s culture also in Japan. Japanese dictionaries\(^5\) are books that collect words from just the Japanese language; in other words, they are fixed cultural heritages that put Japan’s linguistic culture into a visible form.

In the previous studies listed at the beginning of this paper, *futsūgo* is seen as a translation of ‘common language’. However, the earliest instance of the word *futsūgo* is thought to be taken from the lecture by a Japanese linguist Kazutoshi Ueda entitled ‘On Compiling the Great Japanese Dictionary’ (*Nihon daijisho hensan ni tsukite*, Ueda 1889), but an examination of the original materials for the lecture reveals that the original phrase was ‘common words’. Still, Ueda’s use of *futsūgo* greatly transcended the scope expressed by the original, stemming from the differences in the relationship between the spoken and written language in Japanese and English. Indeed, even the essential word *futsū* took on a new meaning.

This specific example deserves special attention in that it is the first known instance. To explain the varieties of *go* (words), Ueda (1895b) gives the following explanation (Figure 1): “the varieties of words as defined by Murray, the president of London Philological Society” (Ueda, 1895b, p. 306). Ueda does not provide details of his source but based on other English quotations used and on the dates of publication, it is clear that this is from the first edition of the *Oxford English Dictionary* (hereafter OED), published with James A. H. Murray (1884) as Editor in Chief. The following Figure 2 is adapted from the first fascicle’s ‘General Explanation’, in the first section ‘The Vocabulary’ (Murray, 1884, p. vii).

---

\(^5\) Before 1900, Japanese dictionaries were called ‘Japanese’ dictionaries; *kokugo* (‘national language’) was not used for dictionaries as it is today. For example, in 1915, *Dainihon kokugo jiten* (Ueda & Matsui, 1915) appeared. This shift to using *kokugo* for dictionaries was probably due to the national establishment of *Kokugo* as an academic subject.
Each figure shows the language in question in the center with its derived registers branching outward. The following two quotations are from the text of the OED describing the relationship between these types of vocabulary. I have changed the key terms to underlined.

So the English vocabulary contains a nucleus or central mass of many thousand words whose ‘Anglicity’ is unquestioned; some of them only literary, some of them only colloquial, the great majority at once literary and colloquial—they are the Common Words of the language.

The center is occupied by the ‘common’ words, in which literary and colloquial usage meet. ‘Scientific’ and ‘foreign’ words enter the common language mainly through literature; ‘slang’ words ascend through colloquial use; the ‘technical’ terms of crafts and processes, and the ‘dialect’ words, blend with the common language both in speech and literature. (Murray, 1884, p. vii)
The first quotation defines the term ‘common words’ and the second is a detailed description of the relationship between ‘common words’ and each register. According to this, the English lexical system has a central part where written and spoken usage coincides, represented on the diagram as a circle with common in the middle surrounded by the words literary and colloquial. Vocabulary in actual use stems from one of the qualities and usages of these two central terms and develops into registers. The arrows stemming from the center represent this relationship. However, ‘common words’ particularly refers to the central part that is both written and oral, that is the very core words of the English language.

Here, it is important to recognize that literary and colloquial usage in the contemporary Japanese language that Ueda described did not correspond. As mentioned above, written Japanese used Chinese-derived words or Japanese words from the Heian period, making the systems of written and spoken Japanese completely different.

We next consider how Ueda’s diagram corresponds to the OED diagram. Ueda’s diagram (Figure 1) has 普通語 futsūgo (common) in the center, with six surrounding branches. Reading from the left, the top has 科学的語 kagakutekigo (scientific words), 文章的語 bunshōtekigo (literary), 外来的語 gairaitekigo (foreign words), and the bottom has 技術的語 gijutsutekigo (technical words), 通俗的語 tsūzokutekigo (colloquial) with 卑下的語 higetekigo (slang words) below it, and 方言的語 hōgentekigo (dialectal words). Ueda’s diagram differs from the OED diagram (Figure 2) of five branches in that he made ‘literary words’ a new branch and combined ‘colloquial words’ with the ‘slang words’ branch.

This difference is a clear indication of the lack of unity between written and spoken words at that time, which was one of the issues in the national language reforms. As was discussed above, the gap between written (literary) language and spoken (colloquial) language was great during the early Meiji era. Therefore, it was necessary to separate these two as independent registers; indeed, we can understand that there were few words in the central area where the two areas overlapped.

What, then, is the meaning of Ueda’s translation futsūgo? I think of it not as a central area (a core group of words), but as a starting point on the diagram. In other words, it is not the overlapping area of several registers within a language, but that language itself. That is, Ueda understood ‘common words’ to be the whole of the Japanese language itself, with each branch representing words in its various registers. This can be surmised from the fact that the central elements are not described or shown in particular, and that the branches are not shown as arrows. Furthermore, considering that the purpose of Ueda’s dictionary compilation project was to honor his nation’s culture, as with the OED in the West, it is not hard to imagine that there was a sense of Japanese as a national language as opposed to other national languages.
Therefore, we can conclude that the futsū in Ueda’s futsūgo did not mean ‘everyday, commonplace words’, but the ‘general language’ comprising its various registers. Still, even considering Ueda’s background, he studied linguistics from B. H. Chamberlain, and also had experience studying abroad, so was versant in the field of western linguistics, and was a forerunner in organizing the theoretical foundations of modern Japanese linguistics. He, therefore, looked broadly at Japanese from a national perspective. Ueda’s broad vision of a dictionary as seen in his lecture notes spanned periods and vocabulary and aimed at establishing a linguistic-cultural heritage for all of Japan.

3.1.2 Futsūgo as ‘everyday words’

Next, we will look at the Japanese dictionary Genkai (‘Sea of Words’) by the Japanese linguist Fumihiko Ōtsuki (1889). Like Ueda, he was aiming at a large dictionary that would eventually contain words from many periods as a part of a dictionary compilation project. However, ‘The purpose of this compilation’ in the preface to Genkai describes the contemporary position of dictionary compilation regarding a particular group of words in a language as its ‘common words’, and aims to create a ‘common dictionary’ primarily comprising those words. Although Ōtsuki was using the same term futsūgo in the same context of dictionary compilation as Ueda, he was using it to refer to a more limited group of words.

Ōtsuki divides a language into its ‘common words’ (futsūgo) and its ‘proper nouns and specialized words’ (koyū meishō mata wa senmongō), and as is apparent through this contrasting conceptual categorization, the term futsūgo here refers to general nouns and everyday words in particular within the Japanese lexicon. For example, Ōtsuki includes unfamiliar western loanwords in the dictionary as ‘everyday words’ (nichijōgo), which is synonymous with futsūgo. A specific example is seen in the word mishin, which is a Japanese word deriving from the English sewing machine, though included in the dictionary with the kanji logographs縫機 (literally, ‘sewing machine’). Indeed, this is an appliance necessary to everyday life, and such words can also be called everyday-use words.

Reconsidering Ōtsuki’s relationship to Ueda, Ueda referred to one language being made up of futsūgo, but Ōtsuki divided one language into its everyday lexicon and its specialized lexicon, calling the former general-use words futsūgo. In other words, futsū referred to everyday as opposed to a specialized register, and go referred to words. Even within the same context of dictionary compilation, the policy of lexical classification and the level of ‘common’ differed. We can say that Ōtsuki’s stance had become closer to the ‘everyday’ that was desired during the national language reforms.

Ōtsuki had still made no clear distinction between spoken and written language, but Bimyō Yamada (1892), in Nihon Daijiten (‘Great Japanese Dictionary’) clarified this point, distinguishing ‘everyday-use words, and both spoken and written words’.
Yamada was also a novelist and practiced the *genbun itchi* style of writing using everyday speech in his works. In this way, we can say that he was more sensitive to the relationship between the spoken and written language.

### 3.2 *Futsūgo* according to a Nativist Studies Scholar: *Futsūgo* as ‘modern language’

Next, we shall consider the use of *futsūgo* by the Meiji Period Nativist studies (*Kokugaku*) scholar Naozumi Ochiai (1889). Ochiai’s use of the term compares to Ōtsuki’s, but he emphasized the historical period in which a word was used. Based on the understanding that familiar modern words (e.g., *ossharu*) derive from phonetic changes in classical words (e.g., *ohoseraru*), he deepened the understanding of words by observing the process of how they traced back to classical words that were no longer familiar. He referred to modern words as *futsūgo* in this context.

Regarding this goal, Ochiai (1889) argued that “If one writes using *futsūgo*, then it will be understood even to the most unlearned. Likewise, even the most unlearned will be able to write freely.” (Ochiai, 1889, p. 26). This is a dual structure of ‘today’s common language’ and ‘classical language’ that was the key to the establishment of the *genbun itchi* style for the democratization of education, as seen in Section 2.2 above.

While the ‘classical language’ was the language of the Heian period extant in surviving written texts, ‘today’s common language’ was available only from modern oral sources, or in other words, everyday spoken language. Therefore, according to Ochiai, *futsūgo* referred to the modern colloquial language as opposed to the classical language.

Furthermore, if we reconsider the relationship between Ochiai and Ōtsuki, both are similar in that they were interested in everyday words. On the other hand, they focused on different aspects: Ōtsuki pursued the everydayness of *futsūgo*, while Ochiai focused on not only the everydayness of *futsūgo*, but also how it related to the issue of writing, as well as how everyday words were related to classical words.

I believe the fact that Ochiai himself was a Nativist studies scholar is one of the reasons for this minor difference. Nativist studies, to put it simply, was an academic discipline that attempted to rigorously examine and understand ancient literary texts in order to understand the ancient language and its manifest spirituality. In other words, it was an academic discipline that always looked to the past. Ochiai seems to have taken advantage of the contemporary focus on *futsūgo* to enhance the academic significance of his field of study.
3.3 Trends in Japanese linguistics around 1890

As mentioned above, the national language reform debate in the 1880s focused on establishing a unity of spoken and written language – *genbun itchi* – for the democratization of education, and there were calls for the unification of the spoken language to serve as the standard for written style. Around 1890, the consciousness for unification at the regional and class level was systematized through Japanese linguistics. This was conceptualized through ‘dialects’, linguistic systems that differed from region to region, and ‘standard language’ (*hyōjungo*), based on the Tōkyō dialect. This was just at the time that the policy of Europeanization was being reconsidered, and national consciousness was on the rise.

The first use of the term Standard Japanese, and its first contrast with dialects, was by the linguist Yoshisaburō Okakura (1890), in *Nihongogaku ippan* (‘A Study of Japanese Linguistics’). Okakura argued that “…the separation of a language into parts is a great barrier to the spread of education, [and therefore] we must have dialects surrender to standard speech without delay.” (Okakura, 1890, p. 164). In other words, a clear standard – the ‘standard language’ – and its accompanying the *genbun itchi* style, were essential for contemporary society, particularly in the field of education. If teachers taught in different dialects depending on the region, it would be impossible to produce a stable educational outcome, especially for students.

Thus, the national language reforms were tied to the reconsideration of the policy of Europeanization, as well as to Japanese linguistics and the issue of a grammar education. By this point, the issue of national language was no longer confined to the level of debate over everyday, commonplace language for the democratization of education. The issue had become the artificial strategy of national unification.

4 The concept of *futsūgo* (1894-early 1900s): The national language reforms and national consciousness

4.1 The national language reforms and the Sino-Japanese War

In the mid-1890s, there was a major turning point, the Sino-Japanese War, which began with the Donghak Peasant War in 1894, developing into a war between Japan and the Qing dynasty over the right to rule Korea. In 1895, Japan secured the Treaty of Shimonoseki, where Qing accepted Korea’s independence and ceded the Liaodong Peninsula. However, just after the treaty was signed, Japan was required to return the peninsula through the Tripartite Intervention. Japan thereafter adopted the slogan *gashin shōtan* 臥薪嘗胆, literally ‘Sleeping on sticks and tasting gall’, to call for perseverance in developing Japan and improving its status in the world. As this was the age of imperialism, Japan was striving to catch up to western nations that were seeking
to acquire colonies for export destinations, so was also beginning to deepen its sense of confrontation with those world powers.

Such a nationalistic ideology ushered in a new phase to the issue of the national language reform. As is symbolized by the statement “History is not without examples of nations that have been defeated in literature, though they were victorious in war.” (Ueda, 1895a, p. 37), national language and writing received increasing attention, backed by an awareness of the importance of both the literary and the military arts.

4.2 **Futsūgo according to pioneers of Japanese linguistics**

In the previous period, Japanese linguists who looked at the issue of the national language reforms had developed certain linguistic rules needed for education. In this period, they developed those further into an artificial language with artificial rules for the unification of the populace and the nation. One of the most representative Japanese linguists who advocated this need was the aforementioned Kazutoshi Ueda, who had promoted dictionary compilation projects and coined the word *futsūgo* from Murray’s concept of common words but used in to refer to the shared Japanese language as a whole.

4.2.1 **Futsūgo as ‘universal normative linguistic system’**

In November 1894 lecture entitled “On the Study of the National Language” (*Kokugo kenkyū ni tsukite*), Ueda (1895a) first advocated for the establishment of a ‘standard language’ (*hyōjungo*) based on the dialect of Tōkyō, the capital city of Japan, as the mainstream argument of Japanese linguistics in the previous period. And Ueda goes on to say that he made a “great resolution to create what should be called a ‘common language’ (*futsūgo*) for the entire East, which everyone involved in the arts, politics, or industry of the East should know, from Koreans to Chinese, to Europeans, to Americans” (pp. 29-30). This parallelism between ‘standard language’ and ‘common language’ (*futsūgo*) was not seen in discussions of Japanese linguistics in the previous period and is particularly noteworthy in this second period.

As was shown in Section 3.1.1, Ueda in 1889 aimed to compile a large dictionary containing a large number of words from many different periods, using the word *futsūgo* to refer to the national language of Japan as a whole, as opposed to other national languages. Granted, he was stressing the dictionary as a way to assert Japan’s civilization to increase its authority internationally, but in actuality, he was just collecting a diversity of words within the Japanese language itself.

However, Ueda’s usage of the same term *futsūgo* had by 1894 been colored by the times, and its meaning as a term had changed drastically. His argument was more applied and not of the nature of a detailed definition of words. Simply stated, Ueda had
come to use the word *futsūgo* to describe an established Japanese ‘standard language’ that could then become a ‘common language’ that all people related to East Asia should know regardless of their citizenship. By now, *futsūgo* had come to refer to an artificial, unified language - a national language in Japan and the world. That it was unified also meant that it had a limited whole. Whether consciously or unconsciously, the meaning of the wholeness of the aspect of *futsūgo* had completely changed for Ueda within five years.

The quotation from Section 4.1 is in fact from this lecture. Based on the date and his expressions, it is clear that Ueda was alluding to the Sino-Japanese War. Attention had been turned to the unity of Japan as a nation both domestically and internationally by the nationalism in the wake of the Sino-Japanese War, and the term *futsūgo* played (or was made to play) a role in that context.

### 4.2.2 *Futsūgo* as a ‘normative linguistic system’

In Ueda’s example, the relationship between a ‘standard language’ and a ‘common language’ was not fully discussed, so a clear definition was not formulated, though they both certainly referred to the Japanese national language. In other words, we can surmise that since domestically Japanese was used as a common language, it had thereby increased its value as a unified language, and as a result, it could become the Japanese national language, useful domestically and internationally at a high level.

It was Ueda’s student, Kōichi Hoshina who went on to clarify these two phrases as terms. Firstly, Hoshina (1901) presented the following definition: “*futsūgo* 普通語 (Common language = Gemeinsprache)” (Hoshina, 1901, p. 48). Most likely, he was directly adopting Ueda’s 1889 use of the term and its expansion of the debate from the lexical level to that of the language as a whole.

Secondly, he also defined ‘standard language’ (*hyōjungo*) as an artificially polished Tōkyō dialect, but this was not so different from Okakura’s and Ueda’s examples. However, Hoshina went on to envision a further step. After the establishment of the ‘standard language’, when it eventually unified the national language and came to be used as the common, unified language throughout the country, then it would finally be called the *futsūgo*. The relationship between ‘standard language’ and ‘common language’ should be clear: After a ‘standard language’ is established and becomes unified throughout the country, it can finally be called a ‘common language’. Both ‘standard language’ and ‘common language’ undergo a process of artificial unification that eventually leads to universalization. At that point, a Japanese national language is finally envisioned.

Furthermore, given that they use the same translated terms, that they structure their arguments the same as parallelism between ‘standard language’ and ‘common language’ (*futsūgo*), and that they were teacher and student, I believe that Ueda’s and
Hoshina’s arguments are in agreement. The creation of a ‘standard language’ that was necessary for the establishment of the *genbun itchi* style of writing coincided with the heightened national consciousness, raising the Japanese national language — the *futsūgo*, or common language — to a level where it could be used both domestically and abroad. It was not only an important presence in all of Japan, but also a prerequisite for competing with the rest of the world, and a norm that established its position among world languages. The theories of these teacher-student pioneers of national linguistics succeeded as terminology and as concepts due to their combined efforts. The term *futsūgo* had traveled a far distance from being an everyday, commonplace language for the democratization of education in the first period.

5 Conclusion

The term *futsūgo* referred to an ideal, unified language for national language establishment and education, and was characterized by the ‘created norms’ of the modern state. However, in the process, it was used in multiple fields over multiple periods, resulting in multiple conceptual meanings.

In this paper, the term was viewed over two periods. The first period (1880s-1894) was concerned with education but aimed to establish everyday, commonplace language and script that was familiar to the populace. Specifically, lexicographers selected everyday-use words, and Nativist studies scholars selected modern colloquial language; indeed, *futsūgo* corresponded with ‘common’ language. However, by the 1890s, the policy of Europeanization was being reconsidered, and national consciousness was on the rise.

The second period (1894-early 1900s), with the start of the Sino-Japanese War, saw an increase in the national consciousness in strengthening both literary and military arts, with a desire for the establishment of an artificially unified language with artificial rules that would unify the populace and the nation. Examples of *futsūgo* in this new context reemerged with Kazutoshi Ueda, who established the theoretical foundations of modern Japanese linguistics, and who had first used the term *futsūgo* during the first period. The natural shift from the populace’s everyday commonplace language to a unified national language became possible through the linguistic logic, or mediation of terminology, seen in the single (but ambiguous) word *futsūgo*.

This study has examined examples of the word *futsūgo* in the centralized nation. There is still room to research new perspectives from the side of the register of ‘dialects’ that were thought to have needed correction. This is because the discussion of ‘common language’ and ‘standard language’ has not sufficiently examined the perspective of the descriptions (self-identification) of those who were using the regional dialects.
For example, it is typically thought that the contrasting consciousness between dialects and common/standard language began in regions where the dialect was furthest from Tōkyō, such as in Okinawa. On the contrary, when seen from the perspective of how those using ‘dialects’ perceived their language, it is now known that the regions of Okinawa and Kyūshū were slower in considering their language ‘dialects’ than the regions in the mainland. In the future, I would like to expand the scope of my inquiry to include new terms and regions and elucidate a more bi-directional view of language between the center and periphery.
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Abstract

This study considers the degree to which a language user’s own will is recognized in language education. It also looks at the use of Japanese transitive and intransitive verbs to reexamine the differentiation between language use that is native-like, and language use that is representative of the learner’s self. The reexamination indicates that shifting previous approach to a more usage-centric acquisition process can create opportunities for language users to make expressive choices focused on what they wish to say. This shift may be accomplished by introducing backward design and critical pragmatics into teaching practices, thereby enabling the pursuit of self-representing language use, and prompting individuality in each learner without binding the learner solely to linguistic rules.

Keywords: transitive and intransitive verbs; discriminative knowledge; pragmatic choice; cultural literacy; diversity

Povzetek

Raziskava obravnava stopnjo prepoznavanja lastne volje uporabnika jezika pri jezikovnem izobraževanju. Obenem proučuje uporabo japonskih prehodnih in neprehodnih glagolov in ugotavlja njune razlike v jezikovni rabi, predvsem razlike, ki nastanejo med ciljnim jezikom in jezikom učečega. Ugotovitve nakazujejo, da lahko sprememba k pristopu, ki je bolj osredotočen na pragmatično-usmerjeni učni proces, pripomore k izboljšani izraznosti lastne volje učečega. To spremembo je moč doseči z uvedbo t.i. retroaktivne metode (angl. backward design) in kritične pragmatike v učni proces, s čimer učečemu omogočimo samoevalvacijo uporabe jezika in spodbujamo njegovo individualnost, ne da bi ga vezali zgolj na jezikovna pravila.

Ključne besede: prehodni in neprehodni glagoli, diskriminativno znanje; pragmatična izbira; kulturna opismenjenost; raznolikost
1 Introduction

The CEFR (Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, teaching, assessment), published by the Council of Europe in 2001, understands language users to be social agents. This idea recognizes each person as a member of society regardless of his or her varying levels of linguistic proficiency. This may seem obvious, but let us consider the degree to which language education recognizes a language user’s intention when learning a language.

For instance, one grammatical feature highlighted as difficult for learners of Japanese to acquire is verbal transitivity\(^1\) (also termed ‘transitive-intransitive verbs’ below) (Kobayashi, 1996). It is common when teaching the Japanese language to instruct learners to express their actions in Japanese as naturally occurring phenomena in order to avoid actively emphasizing those actions as their own doing. To give a specific example with (1) and (2) below, the sentence in (1) would often be considered ‘correct’ in the sense that it resembles what a native speaker would use.

(1) 不況で大変だったけど、やっと仕事を見つかったよ。
    fukyō de taihen datta kedo, yatto shigoto ga mitsukatta yo
    ‘It was tough with the recession, but work was found in the end.’

(2) 不況で大変だったけど、やっと仕事を見つけたよ。
    fukyō de taihen datta kedo, yatto shigoto wo mitsuketa yo
    ‘It was tough with the recession, but I found work in the end.’

In fact, both of these sentences are grammatically correct; it cannot be said that one is more correct than the other, or that only one of the expressions should be learned. There may be trends in the differentiated use of these expressions coming from the Japanese culture or the Japanese language itself, but as mentioned at the beginning of this paper, it is the language user who should decide how he or she wishes to speak. Meanwhile, an utterance will be based on the language user’s own will only when that language user has judged what it is that he or she wishes to say. Societal diversity is ever more important at present, and in such times, it would seem problematic that, in Japanese language education, learners are being taught to use only particular turns of phrases based on existing usage trends. Learners of Japanese should therefore have the knowledge to be able to differentiate between and make proper

---

\(^1\) Nakaishi (2005a) includes the verb combination hai-ru/ire-ru [enter/include] as comprising a ‘paired intransitive verb’ and a ‘paired transitive verb.’ The verbs in such pairs do not share a common root but are taught as transitive–intransitive verb pairs in elementary Japanese language textbooks. This paper addresses transitive–intransitive verb pairs in Japanese language education and therefore follows Nakaishi’s (2005a) definition and terminology.
use of ‘native-speaker likeness’ and ‘self-representation’ in their target language use. This paper reexamines what that knowledge comprises by looking at the example of transitive and intransitive verbs, usage of which can change according to differences in cognitive understanding. We will also consider why self-representation is vital in future education.

2 Three Perspectives

In examining what constitutes knowledge of the properly differentiated use of native-speaker likeness and self-representation in this paper, we will refer to three perspectives: flexible language use; native speaker diversity, and pragmatic choice.

2.1 Flexible language use

One common topic in language education in Japan is ‘native speaker worship’ or ‘native-speakerism’, i.e., where native-level proficiency is considered the ultimate goal, be it in teaching Japanese, English, or any other language. Yoshitomi (2014) considers English language education in Japan in terms of the sociolinguistic categories of an ‘inner circle’, ‘outer circle’, and ‘expanding circle’. The inner-circle includes nations and regions where English is societally standard as the main first language (L1), and the outer circle includes places such as India and Singapore where English has become distinct and societally standard as an official or semi-official language. The expanding circle features nations and regions such as Japan, China, Russia, and Spain where English is considered a foreign language. Yoshitomi asserts that language users within this category should not defer to norms defined by native speakers; linguistically varied English should instead be understood as equally valuable, and this unique variation should be used with confidence (Yoshitomi, 2014, p. 147). Yoshitomi thus both advocates for a departure from ‘native-speakerism’ and also asserts that, although native speakers are models for some aspects of language learning, it is unrealistic to treat such a model as a target in language education. Yoshitomi stresses the need to train users to convey what they wish to say even if they cannot think of the vocabulary or grammar that could most precisely express their intended meaning, be it by using the basic linguistic knowledge they do have or indeed through non-verbal means (Yoshitomi, 2014, pp. 148–149). In sum, regardless of deviation from native-speaker norms, there is still a need to foster flexible language use that incorporates verbal and non-verbal means available to the learner.
2.2 Native speaker diversity

We have addressed the need to nurture flexible language use in language learners; let us now consider the case of Japanese L1 speakers. Makihara (2013) investigated selection tendencies in the use of transitive-intransitive verbs by undertaking a questionnaire survey of eight Japanese L1 university students. The results revealed that the choice between a transitive verb or an intransitive verb differed depending on the speaker’s social relationship with his or her listener(s). Existing research has revealed diversity even in aspects of Japanese that had been taught to be used in a manner premised on tendencies of native speakers – saying, for instance, that a native speaker of Japanese would prefer a certain expression. Makihara (2016) also investigated selection tendencies in the use of transitive-intransitive verbs by conducting a questionnaire survey of 35 Japanese L1 university students who evaluated example utterances of transitive and intransitive verbs in simple and complex sentences. The results showed considerable variation in transitive-intransitive choices even amongst native speakers of Japanese. Nonetheless, Makihara (2016) notes that the existence of some variation does not necessarily mean that there is no basis for choices made by native speakers concerning their use of transitive-intransitive verbal forms, however, the following trends can still be observed:

4. If the speaker is more clearly responsible, a transitive verb is more likely to be used.
5. In complex sentences, the speaker is more likely to use a transitive verb sentence for an action that directly affects a target object, and for any resulting state.

This research shows that, in many cases, trends ultimately form the basis of what is considered ‘correct’ in teaching the Japanese language.

2.3 Pragmatic choice

Pragmatic choice concerns cases where learners are aware of the pragmatic norms and linguistically capable of producing native-like forms but make deliberate choices not to use them on particular occasions (Ishihara & Cohen, 2014, p. 77). This means that, while a learner understands the pragmatic norms in the linguistic behavior of native speakers for particular expressions, he or she is not free from preconceptions about the world; rather, the learner is a social being with his or her cultural values, beliefs, and worldview, and accordingly, the question of how a person expresses him- or herself linguistically should be left to that person (Ishihara & Cohen, 2014). This certainly aligns with the CEFR interpretation of language users as social agents. Indisputably, there is an intention behind each linguistic expression and a reason for its existence, which must be known in the context of additional language instruction. However, the idea of pragmatic choice, namely that the choice of linguistic expression should be left to the
learner, has not yet garnered much discussion in teaching the Japanese language. Rather, this sort of thinking has been considered quite idealistic. However, our current era calls for diversity, including in language, and if we want language education to place importance on diversity, we should aim to teach in ways that actively allow for pragmatic choice.

3 Changing ideas about acquiring discriminate knowledge

In the field of Japanese language education, transitive and intransitive verb acquisition has been considered difficult because differences in language use can emerge from differences in cognitive understanding. This section will address how to change ideas about transitive and intransitive verb acquisition after outlining previous findings from transitive-intransitive verb acquisition research, centering on flexible language use, native speaker diversity, and pragmatic choice, as described in Section 2.

3.1 Research in Japanese language education on the acquisition of transitive and intransitive verbs

Kobayashi & Naoi (1996), Morita (2004), Nakaishi (2005a, b), and Itō (2012) represent the core research on transitive and intransitive verb acquisition.

Kobayashi and Naoi (1996) showed how the misuse of several tasks, such as morphological judgments of lexical forms and discourse completion, made it difficult for learners in Mexican university to acquire intransitive verbs, particularly those that express resultative states such as kie-te-iru (‘has disappeared’), through several tasks, including morphological interpretation of vocabulary and discourse completion. The study indicates stages of acquiring such verbs, from learning their morphology to using them pragmatically. Next, in Morita (2004), Australian learners were asked to interpret the morphological forms of transitive and intransitive verbs. No significant difference was found when their conversational ability and rate of correct responses to this task were compared. Interviews also revealed that learners encountered transitive verbs more frequently than intransitive verbs in explanations from their textbooks and instructors, and thus better understood transitive verbs. This suggests the possibility that the acquisition of transitive and intransitive verbs is burdened by the frequency of contact.

Nakaishi (2005a) investigated trends in learners’ transitive and intransitive verb usage through video image tasks. The study found that intransitive verbs were more difficult to acquire than transitive verbs, and that individuals showed fixed acquisition patterns such as ‘using a transitive verb for a particular conjugation (always use transitive verbs when there is a te-form such as kime-te kudasai).’
Nakaishi (2005b) also conducted a study that made use of storytelling, noting a lack of research demonstrating that learners make suitably differentiated use of transitive-intransitive verb pairs in working contexts. The results showed that learners not necessarily differentiate between transitive and intransitive verbs consciously, and only use one of the forms (the one that they are accustomed to) in a variety of settings, that they are accustomed to.

Itō (2012) studied the use of transitive and intransitive verbs by Chinese learner data in the KY Corpus, a linguistic resource that includes transcriptions of oral proficiency interview tapes. The study asserts that it is necessary to implement a shift from morphological accuracy to pragmatic appropriateness in the focus of instruction, based on the learner’s level of study.

In summary, the following key points are stated in the existing transitive-intransitive verb acquisition research detailed above:

6. Intransitive verb acquisition is difficult.
7. Contact frequency is relevant.
8. Lexical issues are relevant.
9. Usage and pragmatic acquisition are linked with a morphological acquisition.

Section 3.2 discusses transitive and intransitive verb acquisition in light of the findings from the above studies.

### 3.2 Changing ideas about acquisition

Stanovich, writing on vocabulary acquisition, states:

There is considerable agreement that much – probably most – vocabulary growth takes place through the inductive learning of the meanings of unknown words encountered in oral and written language. It appears that the bulk of vocabulary growth does not occur via direct instruction. (Stanovich, 1986, p. 379).

Additionally, Taylor states: “To know how to use a word, the speaker of a language would need to know specific facts about that word, facts which could only be acquired through exposure to how the word is used” (Taylor, 2012, p. 45). Nakaishi (2005b) also suggests that transitive and intransitive verb acquisition is possibly a vocabulary issue. Accordingly, we may suppose that, in transitive and intransitive verb acquisition, it is more efficient to have learners acquire morphological forms as part of pragmatic instruction rather than focus primarily on the morphological differences between individual words. This also ties into the usage-based categorization of transitive-intransitive verbs proposed by Itō (2017): ‘transitive and intransitive verbs indicating situation construal’ and ‘transitive and intransitive verbs indicating situation report.’ This perspective is also visible in the connection with contact frequency described by
Morita (2004) and suggests the possibility that learners may in practice already be learning from pragmatic use.

The process of acquisition has previously been conceptualized in stages: a learner first acquires a form and then in the next stage acquires its usage. As in the morphocentric-type schema in Figure 1, the process starts from the morphological and syntactic opposition that is characteristic of Japanese transitive and intransitive verbs, e.g., *aku/akeru* (‘open’ (intr.)/‘open’ (tr.)). If we turn the morphocentric-type schema to the right, however, usage becomes the central focus around which forms are then involved. This enables us to change to the usage-centric type schema in Figure 1 without dismantling the process established in previous research. This creates an opportunity for language users to make expressive choices based on what they wish to say.

**Figure 1:** Changing the acquisition process of transitive and intransitive verbs

### 4 Differentiating between self-representation and native-speaker likeness

#### 4.1 Backward design in pursuit of self-representation

Shirakawa (2005) provides the following example of improperly differentiated transitive-intransitive verb use.

(3) きつくてなかなか開けられないビンのふたを開けて *開けた！* なびこくてかなかあけられなびのふたを開けて *開けた！*

[kitsu kute nakanaka akerare nai bin no futa wo akete] *ake-ta!*

‘The cap was stiff, so the bottle was hard to open [tr.], but I tried, and I did open [tr.] it.’ (Shirakawa, 2005, p. 51)
The learner perhaps thinks, “It was me who opened the bottle; the cap didn’t come off by itself.” When expressed with a transitive verb in Japanese like this, however, the utterance carries a boastful implication not intended by the speaker. According to Shirakawa, the learner is not aware of this unintended nuance, therefore rendering (3) erroneous (Shirakawa, 2005, p. 52). The study also posits the need for a more involved explanation when addressing improper use or non-use of expressions; as well as instruction in proper usage, learners also require an explanation of why other expressions are unsuitable (Shirakawa, 2005, p. 60). As discussed in the previous section, the common approach to transitive-intransitive verb acquisition has until now been gaining an understanding of the verbs’ contrasting morphology, linking then to a pragmatic, usage-based understanding that includes tense and aspect. On the other hand, we can create the opportunity to confront ideas of ‘native-speakerism’ by factoring the following into our stages of instruction: first, further motivating the learner to speak by clarifying his or her actions and intentions/wishes, and then considering which expression is needed, and whether or not other expressions could be suitable, to accomplish linguistic behavior appropriate to the learner’s intentions. By constructing classes in this manner, we can equip learners with the knowledge to properly differentiate between language use that resembles that of a native speaker and language use that is more representative of the learner’s self, thus challenging ‘native-speakers’ approaches that ask learners to ‘talk like a native speaker.’

Let us now discuss how we might construct classes in this manner. Wiggins and McTighe (2005) propose backward design as a method for planning learning that pursues specific understanding. The backward design follows the class-planning stages below (Figure 2), and the actual classes are conducted in practice from the third stage through to the first stage.

![Figure 2: Stages of backward design (Wiggins & McTighe, 2005, p. 18)](image-url)
First stage: Identify desired results.

First, identify what the student needs to learn, understand, and/or be capable of. The discussion above indicates that the biggest objective in learning Japanese transitive and intransitive verbs is for the learner to be able to convey what he or she wishes to say in light of pragmatic and cultural knowledge.

Second stage: Determine acceptable evidence.

Investigate how to determine whether the desired results established in the first stage have been achieved. If our desired result is for the learner to be able to convey what he or she wishes to say in light of pragmatic and cultural knowledge about Japanese language use, then it will be important to verify whether the learner has an understanding of pragmatic and cultural knowledge in the use of Japanese transitive and intransitive verbs and whether the learner is conveying what he or she wishes to say.

Third stage: Plan learning experiences and instruction.

Consider what manner of instruction would be most suitable for learning the material that needs to be verified in the second stage and plan individual classes. Here, we construct specific classes from what needs to be learned to gain an understanding of pragmatic and cultural knowledge in the use of Japanese transitive and intransitive verbs and from what needs to be learned for the learner to convey what he or she wishes to say.

One potential risk when putting an idea shift like this into practice is that merely emphasizing pragmatic instruction may lead to Japanese learners treating Japanese L1 speakers as an ideal end-goal model, only further solidifying native-speakers’ ideas. To avoid native-speakerism here, there is a need for cultural literacy – that is, deciphering why particular linguistic behavior carries the meaning it does within the relevant culture. In the practice of teaching this cultural literacy, it is necessary to also include a critical pragmatics standpoint to help learners more readily judge whether or not to participate in those customs based on cultural information. This standpoint may be fostered by having learners study the pragmatic norms of the target language, discuss cultural perspectives with other learners and sometimes also native speakers, and thereby come to know why such norms exist in the target language (Ishihara, 2014). This may also lead to the recognition and correction of unequal vertical hierarchies that lead to native-speakerism in established stratified arrangements, such as the binary of ‘native’ versus ‘non-native’ speakers, and also consequentially lead to pragmatic choice
as described in Section 2, which factors in properly differentiated use of both native-speaker-like and self-representing language.

4.2 What lies beyond the choice of self-likeness

It is well known that the spread of COVID-19 has occasioned a sudden leap in the proliferation of online education. Perhaps this will lead to more active adoption of online classes in future education even after the pandemic has ended. Amidst this increased adoption of online approaches is a predicted increase in global co-learning courses, even in language education. In this case, note that the original aim of co-learning (that is, coming into contact with diverse attitudes and values) will likely not be achieved merely under learners studying in the same setting.

Fadel et al. (2015, p. 67) collected curricula from 35 nations, regions, and organizations across the globe and sorted their educational goals into the following four dimensions:

1. Knowledge: What we know and understand
2. Skills: How we use what we know
3. Character: How we behave and engage in the world
4. Meta-learning: How we reflect and adapt

In the interests of space, the authors’ original work should be referred to for elaboration on each of these dimensions; the important point here is that future education includes character, a dimension separate from knowledge and skills. For Fadel et al. (2015), character includes mindfulness, curiosity, courage, resilience, ethics, and leadership. These elements relate to the individual identities of learners and, thus, to the idea of self-representation discussed in this paper.

As global co-learning courses proliferate in the future, let us cultivate character in each learner, not by binding solely to linguistic rules but by adopting the aforementioned critical pragmatics standpoint in our practice and aiming for self-representative language use.

5 Summary and future topics

This paper began with an inquiry into the degree to which a language user’s own will is recognized in language education. Learners of the Japanese language should know to be able to differentiate between and make proper use of native-speaker likeness and self-representation in the language; this paper reexamines what that knowledge is by looking at the example of transitive and intransitive verbs, usage of which can differ depending on differences in cognitive understanding. The process for learner acquisition of Japanese transitive and intransitive verbs has conventionally started with learning forms and then
moving to usage, drawing on the morphosyntactic opposition characteristic of such verbs. This paper has noted, however, that by shifting our approach to a more usage-centric acquisition process, we can create the opportunity for language users to make expressive choices based on what they wish to say. We next looked to designing classes to foster knowledge for proper differentiation between native-speaker likeness and self-representation with transitive and intransitive verbs by identifying through backward design the foundations of what learners need to know and learn; we further noted the importance of including critical pragmatics in our practice rather than merely emphasizing pragmatic instruction. Finally, the paper asserted that adopting this critical pragmatics standpoint in teaching practices and aiming for self-representing language use will link to cultivating character in each learner without binding the learner solely to linguistic rules. We have previously seen an idealistic discussion about studying and using the Japanese language concerning the views and ideas of learners. However, the proliferation of online education, which is only being accelerated by COVID-19, indicates that pro-diversity education must not be brushed aside as idealistic, and indeed we should aim to make it an actual reality. Accordingly, it is likely to become increasingly important to break free from adhering to native speaker norms; to side with learners, teaching them how to properly differentiate language use and enabling them to express themselves in self-representing ways; and to cultivate the character of each learner.
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Abstract
The transitivity of Japanese verbs is a topic, which had been widely discussed in Japan even before the beginning of the Meiji period and is still one of the major obstacles for learners of Japanese nowadays. This paper focuses on the transitivity of the verbs *owaru* 終わる (to end [tr./intr.]) and *oeru* 終える (to end [tr.]). It encompasses the analysis of collocations of the two verbs and examines their objects in the patterns ‘N wo *owaru*’ and ‘N wo *oeru*’. The aim of this research is to give a new perspective on the usage of the two verbs. The analysis of both collocations and co-occurring verbal forms shows collocations grouping into individual semantic categories. Furthermore, verbs exhibit specific morphological characteristics in different semantic fields of the collocations.

Keywords: verb transitivity; *owaru*; *oeru*; collocations; corpus

Povzetek
Prehodnost japonskih glagolov je tema, o kateri se je na Japonskem široko razpravljalo že pred začetkom obdobja Meiji in še dandanes predstavlja eno večjih ovir pri učenju japonskega jezika. Raziskava se osredotoča na prehodno rabo glagolov *owaru* 終わる (končati, končati se) in *oeru* 終える (končati) in podaja analizo njunih kolokacij oziroma predmetov v stavčnem vzorcu ‘N wo *owaru*’ in ‘N wo *oeru*’. Cilj študije je razjasniti rabe obeh glagolov. Rezultati analize kolokacij in sopojavljajočih glagolskih oblik kažejo na porazdelitev kolokacij v specifična semantična polja ter na obstoj določenih morfoloških lastnostih glagolov, ki se pojavljajo v različnih semantičnih skupinah kolokacij.

Ključne besede: glagolska prehodnost; *owaru*; *oeru*; kolokacije; korpus
1 Introduction

The discussion regarding verb transitivity in Japan can be observed even before the beginning of the Meiji period (Okutsu, 1967, p. 46). To this day, differentiating between transitive and intransitive verbs is still one of the major obstacles for learners of Japanese. Transitive verbs are those that take on an object and intransitive verbs are those that cannot take on an object.

This paper discusses the transitivity of the verbs *owaru* 終わる (to end, finish [tr./intr.]) and *oeru* 終える (to end, finish [tr.]). Semantically, the verb *owaru* comprises both the transitive and intransitive meaning, whereas *oeru* is only used as a transitive verb. Therefore, despite both verbs holding the meaning of ‘end’ or ‘finish’, their usage in terms of transitivity differs. The focal point of this paper is the semantic examination and categorization of their respective collocations in the patterns ‘N wo owaru’ and ‘N wo oeru’. ‘N’ represents the object of the verb, followed by the accusative case particle *wo* を. Additionally, verbal forms co-occurring with each collocation are examined. This part of the research aims to determine if any specific structural patterns or forms are present in each semantic group.

1.1 Research motivation and purpose

The general aim of this research is to give a new perspective on the usage of the verbs *owaru* and *oeru*, by focusing on the issue of transitivity and categorization of semantic fields of collocations belonging to each verb.

In Section 2, previous research on the topic of transitivity is examined. Section 2.1 establishes the meanings of ‘transitive’ and ‘intransitive verbs’, while Section 2.2 focuses specifically on the verbs *owaru* and *oeru* as a pair.

However, despite extensive discussions on the topic, discrepancies can still be observed in dictionary definitions of the verbs (Section 3.1). Similarly, an unbalanced representation of the two verbs in instructional materials (Section 3.3), as well as a consequential non-uniform perception of their usage (Section 3.4), can be seen. An example of diachronic change in verb use is also provided (Section 3.2).

To clarify the abovementioned inconsistencies regarding verb transitivity, this paper examines collocations co-occurring with each verb in order to portray a picture of their semantic distribution and compare the two verbs (Section 5). Furthermore, verb forms of *owaru* and *oeru* belonging in each semantic group are also analyzed (Sections 6 and 7).
1.2 Methodology

After an analysis of prior research in Section 2, Section 3 is divided into four segments. The first segment (Section 3.1) is dedicated to the analysis of verb definitions that have been retrieved from eight dictionaries, published over several decades. Secondly, Section 3.2 compares dictionary definitions with sample sentences retrieved from the Corpus of Historical Japanese (Nihongo rekishi kōpasu 日本語歴史コーパス, henceforth CHJ). The comprised data consist of over 16 million words, with source texts dating to the eras of Nara, Heian, Kamakura, Muromachi, Edo, and Meiji. This analysis is followed by an examination of instructional materials and the way each of them presents the verbs to their audience (Section 3.3). The last part (Section 3.4) deals with the general public’s perception of both verbs and their transitivity. Examples, which indicate a mixed understanding of the verbs, especially in regards to owaru, have been retrieved from sites Yahoo! Chiebukuro 知恵袋 and HiNative.

Following is the empirical part of this research. Firstly, sample sentences were retrieved using the following three corpora created by NINJAL (National Institute for Japanese Language and Linguistics Kokuritsu kokugo kenkyūjo 国立国語研究所):

- Balanced Corpus of Contemporary Written Japanese (Gendai nihongo kakikotoba kinkō kōpasu 現代日本語書き言葉均衡コーパス, henceforth BCCWJ). The data are comprised of 104.3 million words from various texts published between 1976-2005.
- Corpus of Spontaneous Japanese (Nihongo hanashikotoba kōpasu 日本語話し言葉コーパス, henceforth CSJ). It comprises over 650 hours of recordings, transcribed into approximately 7 million words. The data were recorded between 1999-2001.
- Nagoya University Conversation Corpus (Meidai kaiwa kōpasu 名大会話コーパス, henceforth NUCC). 129 conversation recordings, created between 2001-2003, expand over a span of roughly 100 hours.

The concordancer used to filter sentences is Chūnagon. Due to a high number of result sentences BCCWJ, the concordancer NINJAL-LWP, which allows sorting according to the frequency of appearance of each word, was used as well. Subsequently, the results were downloaded as an .xlsx file and all sentences were manually analyzed. Details concerning this part of the research are elaborated in Sections 4.

The semantic analysis of collocations is based on Bunrui Goihyō: zōho kaiteiban (分類語彙表：増補改訂版, Word List by Semantic Principles, Revised and Enlarged Edition), published by NINJAL in 2003. The list is a collection of words classified and arranged by their meanings. Details regarding the process of classification within this paper are explained in Section 5.

The categorization of verbal forms is provided in detail in Sections 6 and 7.
2 Verb transitivity

The discussion regarding verb transitivity has been an ongoing debate, dating back to the beginning of the Meiji era. Okutsu (1967, p. 46) cites several linguists that have already researched this topic. These include Motoori Haruniwa, Gonda Naosuke, Kurokawa Harumura, Ōtsuki Fumihiko, Yamada Yoshio, Mochizuki Seikyō, Nishio Teraya, Sakuma Kanae, and Bernard Bloch. Okutsu himself shares some points of view that Haruniwa, Sakuma, and Bloch proposed. However, he points out that the results discovered up to that point were not sufficient to give clear answers regarding the issue of transitivity and consequently proposes his own categorization.

2.1 Defining intransitive and transitive verbs

According to Okutsu’s criteria, transitive verbs are those that have an object in the form of a noun followed by the case particle wo. All other verbs are intransitive (Numata, 1989, p. 196). It is important to differentiate between the particle wo marking an object and the particle wo that is followed by verbs of motion.

Additionally, two verbs have to meet conditions on three separate levels to be recognized as a pair.

Firstly, on a morphological level, two verbs must present the same root. Amano et al. (2013, p. 70) give the verbs aku 開く, ‘to open’ [intr.], and akeru 開ける, ‘to open’ [tr.], as an example of verbs which share the root /ak/.

Secondly, from a syntactic point of view, the sentence with a transitive verb gains a subject A followed by the case particle ga が, while the subject of the sentence with an intransitive verb B becomes the object of the transitive verb followed by the case particle wo as seen in Figure 1 below (Numata, 1989, p. 197; Amano et al., 2013, p. 70).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B開く。</th>
<th>A開ける。</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B ga aku.</td>
<td>A ga B wo akeru.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B opens.</td>
<td>A opens B.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Intransitive verb in relation to its transitive pair

Lastly, a semantic structure must be observed. The subject A, which appears in the sentence with a transitive verb, must influence the occurrence or event B. Event B takes on the role of an object of the same verb and is simultaneously depicted in the sentence with an intransitive verb as its subject. In short, the sentence with a transitive verb must also cover the meaning of the corresponding intransitive verb sentence.
2.2 The verbs *owaru* and *oeru* as a pair

Okutsu (1967, p. 63) categorizes the verb *oeru* as a verb that forms its pair *owaru* with the process of intransitivization. The shared root verb is ‘owe-’ (終).

In the section regarding ergative verbs, Morita (1994, p. 240) states that *owaru* and *oeru* already exist as a pair. He gives the following sentences as examples:

a) 私は話を終える
   *Watashi wa hanashi wo oeru.*
   I [top] story [acc] to finish [tr.act.pres]
   I finish the story.

b) 話が終わる
   *Hanashi ga owaru.*
   Story [nom] to finish [intr.act.pres]
   The story finishes.

Furthermore, Izuhara (2010) responds to a question regarding the nature of *owaru* in the sentence ‘with this I finish the lesson’ (*kore de jugyō wo owarimasu* これで授業を終わります). With the use of a dictionary definition, according to which *owaru* is a ‘jitadōshi 自他動詞’, he explains an ergative verb that allows both transitive and intransitive use.

The most accurate definition of *owaru* and *oeru* would be that *owaru* is a verb that forms two pairs in terms of transitivity. The first one is *owaru* [intr.] $\rightarrow$ *owaru* [tr.], whereas the second is *owaru* [intr.] $\rightarrow$ *oeru* [tr].

2.3 Use of causative

When an intransitive verb lacks its transitive pair, the role can be performed by the causative form of the intransitive verb. Intransitive verbs that lack a transitive pair are defined as *zettai jidōshi 絶対自動詞* (Amano et al., 2013, p. 70). Due to inconsistencies regarding the usage of the verb *owaru* and the general perception of it being purely intransitive, which are discussed in detail in the following Section 3, I propose the hypothesis that verbs co-occurring with collocations will be found in sentence patterns or phrases including causative forms of the verb *owaru*. 
3 Meaning and use of the verbs owaru and oeru

This section gives an overview of dictionary definitions of both verbs, as well as their representation in instructional materials, and the public’s general perception.

3.1 Use of owaru and oeru according to dictionary definitions

The verb owaru is categorized as an ergative verb regardless of the dictionary (Table 1). On the other hand, definitions of oeru point at minor inconsistencies in its use. No deviations are found in regards to the transitive use, which is present in all seven dictionaries. However, Kokugo jiten and Daijirin list the intransitive use as well:

**Kokugo jiten:** 「会期が－・えた」のように、自動的に使うこともある。
‘Kaiki ga -- eta’ no yō ni, jidōteki ni tsukau koto mo aru.
‘The session --’ Sometimes used intransitively as shown.

**Daijirin:** (自動詞)終わる。果てる。
(Jidōshi) Owaru. Hateru.
(Intransitive verb) To end. To finish.

Shin meikai kokugo jiten lists the intransitive use with an annotation of it being based on incorrect use (moto goyō ni motozuku もと誤用に基づく).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dictionary</th>
<th>owaru</th>
<th></th>
<th>oeru</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intr. use</td>
<td>tr. use</td>
<td>intr. use</td>
<td>tr. use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kōjien 広辞苑, 1955</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokugo jiten 国語辞典, 1979</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive Japanese-English Dictionary, 1993</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Japanese-English Dictionary, 1998</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daijirin 大辞林, 2006</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jaSlo, 2006</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin meikai kokugo jiten 新明解国語辞典, 2012</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>(YES)</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Comparison of the use of owaru and oeru in corpora CHJ and BCCWJ

A short comparison of the use of verbs owaru and oeru in the Corpus of historical Japanese CHJ and the Balanced corpus of contemporary written Japanese BCCWJ illustrates some interesting results (see Tables 2 and 3):
Table 2: Use of *owaru* and *oeru* in CHJ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>owaru</em></th>
<th><em>oeru</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cases</td>
<td>2,178</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of intransitive use (N + <em>ga</em>)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of transitive use (N + <em>wo</em>)</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Use of *owaru* and *oeru* in BCCWJ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>owaru</em></th>
<th><em>oeru</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total cases</td>
<td>19,244</td>
<td>4,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of intransitive use (N + <em>ga</em>)</td>
<td>5,861</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases of transitive use (N + <em>wo</em>)</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>2,921</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is clear that the verb *owaru* is used more extensively in comparison to *oeru*. Furthermore, despite some dictionary definitions allowing it, the intransitive use of *oeru* is negligible, as can be seen in some examples listed below. Out of 12 sentences, only five are actual cases of intransitive use (1-5); others can be explained with the structure indicating volition N1 *ga* oeyō to *suru* N2 (6), the potential form *oerareru* which requires the particle *ga* が (7), or an incorrect morphological analysis (8-9).

1) つまり、十二年間の修行が終えて仏子戒を受けるのではなくて、[…]
   *Tsumari, jūninenkan no shūgyō ga oete busshikai wo ukeru no de wa nakute, […]*
   In brief, as the 12-year-long training finishes, you don’t accept the Buddhist principles, […]

2) このことは、学習会が終えてからいっそう証明されました。
   *Kono koto wa, gakushūkai ga oete kara issō shōmei saremashita.*
   This became even clearer once the study session finished.

3) やっと三カ月が終えようとしていますが、正直ほっとしています。
   *Yatto sankagetsu ga oeyō to shiteimasu ga, shōjiki hotto shiteimasu.*
   Three months have finally come to pass, and I honestly feel relieved.

4) 託児が終えたのは十二時頃、[…]
   *Takuji ga oeta no wa juuniji goro, […]*
   Daycare ended at around 12 o’clock, […]

...
5) 『頼政』が終え、『地蔵舞』が演じられるころから、 [...] 『Yorimasa』 ga oe, 「Jizōmai」 ga enjirareru koro kara, [...] Yorimasa [nom] to finish [intr.act.inf] Ever since Yorimasa ended and Jizōmai has been performed, [...] 6) おもえば、私たちが終えようとしている今世紀も、なんと、戦争の嵐が吹き荒れた時代であったことか。 Omoeba, watashitachi ga oeyō to shiteiki konseiki mo, nanto, sensō no arashi ga fukiareta jidai de atta koto ka. we [nom] to end [tr.act.vol] Come to think of it, has this century, that we are about to end, also been a, what, an era, during which the storm of war blew violently? 7) いずれにせよ、無事取引が終えられるよう、同じ出品者の立場からお祈りいたしております。 Izure ni seyo, buji torihiki ga oerareru yō, onaji shuppinsha no tachiba kara oinori itashite orimasu. deal [nom] to end [poten.nonpst.adn] Either way, as a fellow exhibitor myself, I pray that your deal can be completed without problems. 8) 音大生が卒試で弾くには“技術的には”簡単かもしれません。 Incorrect analysis: 終える・卒える ➝ 卒試 (卒業試験) 9) 反抗期をむかえた子どもは手がおえなくなり、親をこまらせる。 Incorrect analysis: 終えない ➝ 負えない Additionally, the diachronic analysis points at a remarkable shift in the usage of owaru. According to results observed in CHJ, the number of cases, in which owaru is used transitively, is approximately six times higher compared to the number of sentences with intransitive use. On the other hand, BCCWJ illustrates a completely reversed picture, as the number of examples of intransitive use is six times higher. This outcome further reinforces the thought that the verb owaru in modern Japanese is heavily leaning towards an exclusively intransitive use. 3.3 Representation of owaru and oeru in instructional materials Owaru is generally represented in its intransitive use when appearing within exercises or texts for reading comprehension. Examples of transitive use are only present in textbook sections dedicated to detailed explanations or glossaries. Similarly, oeru is only mentioned in such sections and does not appear in practical example sentences or other exercises (Table 4).
### Table 4: Representation of *owaru* and *oeru* in instructional materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Textbook</th>
<th><strong>owaru</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>oeru</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intr. use</td>
<td>tr. use</td>
<td>intr. use</td>
<td>tr. use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Uvod v japonsko pisavo, 2007</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Japonsčina za začetnike 1 in 2, 2012/2016</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Minna no nihongo (shokyū 2), 1998/2013</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kanji Goi ga yowai anata e, 2013</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pregled slovnice japonskega jezika, 2005</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tobira, 2009</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Essential Japanese Grammar, 2012</em></td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The asterisk indicates that the verbs appear exclusively as part of exercises or reading comprehension texts and not in sections dedicated to detailed explanations.

#### 3.4 General perception of the use of *owaru* and *oeru*

The representation of both verbs in instructional materials mirrors the general perception of the usage of *owaru* and *oeru*. Based on various examples taken from the websites *Yahoo! Chiebukuro* 知恵袋¹ and *HiNative*², the results are consistent with previous findings. Links to full examples are provided in footnotes.

Users express their doubts about the transitive use of *owaru* and place the two verbs or the particles *wo* and *ga* in juxtaposition, questioning the correct use: ‘end a lesson’ (*jugyō wo owaru* 授業を終わる and 授業を終える) or ‘end a lesson’ (*jugyō wo owaru* 授業を終わる) and ‘the lesson ends’ (*jugyō ga owaru* 授業が終わる). It appears that most users lean towards the perception of *owaru* as solely intransitive.

In response to the above, some answers correctly state that *owaru* is an ergative verb and point out both the transitive and intransitive use, while others label the transitive use as an exception to the rule, or explain the presence of the particle *wo* with the causative *owaraseru* 終わらせる.

Discrepancies in dictionary definitions, a lack of representation in instructional materials and the public’s unanimous general perception of both verbs are all points of concern, as well as the main reasons for this research being conducted. Section 4

---

¹ Retrieved from [https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q1011595455](https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q1011595455)
[https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q12108164892](https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q12108164892)
[https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q11114803361](https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q11114803361)
[https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q12194686977](https://detail.chiebukuro.yahoo.co.jp/qa/question_detail/q12194686977)

² Retrieved from [https://hinative.com/ja/questions/5638244](https://hinative.com/ja/questions/5638244)
elaborates on the searching criteria used for sentence sampling and is followed by Sections 5-8, which are dedicated to the examination of collocations, verb forms and their relation, and the clarification of the transitivity of owaru and oeru.

4 Searching criteria

In order to extract collocations of owaru and oeru in their transitive use from three corpora, the following criteria were set (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: Searching criteria in Chūnagon](image)

Within this research, the ‘short unit method’ (tan-tan’i kensaku 短単位検索) was used, as the keywords are limited to owaru ‘終わる’ and oeru ‘終える’.

The purple box indicates the keyword (kī キー). An additional searching condition is searching by ‘lexeme’ (goiso 語彙素), which includes all of the verbs’ tokens, such as conjugated forms and various kanji characters appearing in the corpora, as long as they are all classified under the same lemma (Srdanović, 2016, p. 28).

The green box above shows the ‘front collocation 1’ (zenpō kyōki 1 前方共起 1). It is important to note that this option refers to the collocation exclusively in the context of corpus searching and not the collocation (verb object) that is discussed in the rest of this paper. Within this analysis, the front collocation option serves as a tool to limit the search to transitive verb use. For this purpose, the option is set to the accusative case particle wo ‘を’, which defines the object of a verb.
The concordancer *Chūnagon* also allows searching for distant collocations. Such collocations can be found at a distance of at least one or more interposed words (Srdanović, 2016, p. 21). However, due to an already high number of results, the option was set to a fixed distance of one word; the front collocation is immediately followed by the keyword. The option *shojikei shut sugenkei* 書字形出現形 limits the search to the form of *wo* as written in the box （を）.

On a semantical level, all collocations within examples of corpora CSJ and NUCC were analyzed. A minimal frequency of appearance of 5 for *owaru* and 15 for *oeru* was set for collocations found in BCCWJ due to a high number of results. Some additional examples with lower frequency were analyzed for comparison as they appear in both corpora (see Section 5).

On the same basis, verb forms co-occurring with a collocation that represents at least 1% of all gathered sentences for each verb were analyzed within BCCWJ. For *owaru* (1,046 sentences) this means the analysis of verbs co-occurring with collocations of a frequency of 10 or above; for *oeru* (2,921 sentences) of 29 or above (see Table 5 below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Number of results in corpora</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance frequency (general)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>owaru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 19,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 2,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 22,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oeru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 4,624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 4,746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance frequency (transitive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>owaru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 1,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oeru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 2,921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 2,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzed verb forms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>owaru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oeru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyzed collocations (semantic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>owaru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>oeru</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ: 1,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ: 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCC: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: 1,112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to a low number of sentences in NUCC, the results are grouped with those from CSJ. Both are corpora of spoken Japanese.

Detailed information regarding the semantical and morphological analyses is explained in the upcoming Sections 5, 6, and 7.

5  Semantical analysis of collocations

The semantical categorization of collocations (objects of the transitive verbs) is based on *Bunriugoihyō: zōho kaiteiban* (Word list by semantic principles, revised and enlarged
The word list is comprised of 79,027 lemmas (94,985 words in total). Words in *Bunrui goihyō* are classified as follows.

Each word is first categorized by ‘class’, *rui* 類. This category consists of four groups:

1) nouns - *tai no rui* 体の類,
2) verbs - *yō no rui* 用の類,
3) -*i* and -*na* adjectives, adverbs and adnominal adjectives - *sō no rui* 相の類, and
4) other - part of adverbs, conjunctions, and interjections - *sono ta no rui* その他の類.

The categories are then further grouped into ‘divisions’ (*bumon* 部門), followed by ‘sections’ (*chūkōmoku* 中項目), and finally ‘articles’ (*bunrui kōmoku* 分類項目). Each ‘article’ is then divided into several numbered paragraphs.

For example, the word ‘question’ (*shitsumon* 質問) can be found next to the ID number 1.3132, indicating:

- number 1 (1.3132) - class (1. noun, *tai no rui* 体の類)
- number 3 (1.3132) - division (1.3 human activity - psyche and actions, *ningen katsudō* – *seishin oyobi kōi* 人間活動ー精神および行為)
- number 1 (1.3132) - section (1.31 language/speech, *gengo* 言語)
- number 32 (1.3132) - article (1.3132 dialogue, *mondō* 問答)

All collocations in this paper are classified into semantic groups based on ‘sections’ (in the example above ‘language/speech’), in order to prevent the categorization from becoming too fragmentary and at the same time making a clear distinction between each semantic field.

Tables 6, 7, 9, and 10 provide a list of all collocations with corresponding transcriptions and translations, as well as their frequency of appearance and the semantic field they were sorted into as per the *Bunrui goihyō* classification.

As mentioned at the end of Section 4, due to a high number of results, restrictions have been applied to collocations and verb forms from BCCWJ. The collocations co-occurring with analyzed verb forms are marked in bold.

### 5.1 Owaru

Collocations of the verb *owaru* can be classified into nine semantic fields or groups. These are ‘time’, ‘person’, ‘work’, ‘speech’, ‘quantity’, ‘mental process’, ‘relationship’, ‘organization’ and ‘life’ (see Tables 6 and 7).
### Table 6: List of collocations (owaru - BCCWJ)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb object</th>
<th>Transcription</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Semantic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>質問</td>
<td>shitsumon</td>
<td>question</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>執行</td>
<td>shikkō</td>
<td>execution</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>討論</td>
<td>tōron</td>
<td>discussion</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>仕事</td>
<td>shigoto</td>
<td>work</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>一生</td>
<td>isshō</td>
<td>whole life</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>報告</td>
<td>hōkoku</td>
<td>report</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>話</td>
<td>hanashi</td>
<td>story, talk</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>説明</td>
<td>setsumei</td>
<td>explanation</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>戦争</td>
<td>sensō</td>
<td>war</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生涯</td>
<td>shōgai</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>教科書</td>
<td>kyōkasho</td>
<td>textbook</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>食事</td>
<td>shokuji</td>
<td>meal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>回</td>
<td>kai</td>
<td>-times</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>人生</td>
<td>jinsei</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>時代</td>
<td>jidai</td>
<td>period, era</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>全て</td>
<td>subete</td>
<td>everything, all</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>発言</td>
<td>hatsugen</td>
<td>statement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>点前</td>
<td>temae</td>
<td>tea-ceremony procedure</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>夢</td>
<td>yume</td>
<td>dream</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>陳述</td>
<td>chinjutsu</td>
<td>declaration</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>質疑</td>
<td>shitsugi</td>
<td>question, interpellation</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>処理</td>
<td>shori</td>
<td>processing, treatment</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7: List of collocations (owaru - CSJ/NUCC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb object</th>
<th>Transcription</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Semantic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>発表</td>
<td>happyō</td>
<td>speech</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>話</td>
<td>hanashi</td>
<td>story, talk</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>報告</td>
<td>hōkoku</td>
<td>report</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>説明</td>
<td>setsumei</td>
<td>explanation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>仕事</td>
<td>shigoto</td>
<td>work</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>幹部</td>
<td>kanbu</td>
<td>executive</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>講演</td>
<td>kōen</td>
<td>lecture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>スピーチ</td>
<td>supīchi</td>
<td>speech</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### owaru - CSJ/NUCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb object</th>
<th>Transcription</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Semantic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>～について (話)</td>
<td>ni tsuite (hanashi)</td>
<td>about (talk)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>授業</td>
<td>jugyō</td>
<td>lesson</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>高校生以降</td>
<td>kōkōsei ikō</td>
<td>during/after high school</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>野球部</td>
<td>yakyūbu</td>
<td>baseball club</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>発話</td>
<td>hatsuwa</td>
<td>utterance, speech</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>検索</td>
<td>kensaku</td>
<td>searching</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>前夜祭</td>
<td>zen’yasai</td>
<td>night before a festival</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生活</td>
<td>seikatsu</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>分析</td>
<td>bunseki</td>
<td>analysis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>文</td>
<td>bun</td>
<td>sentence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>選手</td>
<td>senshu</td>
<td>player</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>感想</td>
<td>kōsō</td>
<td>impression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>町浦和 (話)</td>
<td>machi urawa (hanashi)</td>
<td>Urawa city (story)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>入力</td>
<td>nyūryoku</td>
<td>input</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>小学校学校時代</td>
<td>shōgakkō jidai</td>
<td>time period of primary school</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>戦争</td>
<td>sensō</td>
<td>war</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>コンパニオン</td>
<td>konpanion</td>
<td>companion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>これ (紛争)</td>
<td>kore (funsō)</td>
<td>this (dispute)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ゲーム</td>
<td>gēmu</td>
<td>game</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>調べ</td>
<td>shirabe</td>
<td>investigation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ヤマト</td>
<td>yamato</td>
<td>Yamato</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>やつ</td>
<td>yatsu</td>
<td>he</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>トーク</td>
<td>tōku</td>
<td>talk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ドクター</td>
<td>dokutā</td>
<td>doctor (PhD)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ターゲット(目標)</td>
<td>tāgetto (mokuhyō)</td>
<td>target (objective)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>食事</td>
<td>shokuji</td>
<td>meal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>の (レポート)</td>
<td>no (repōto)</td>
<td>nominalization (report)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>子育て</td>
<td>kosodate</td>
<td>parenting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>それ (体験)</td>
<td>sore (taiken)</td>
<td>that (experience)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>それ (実習)</td>
<td>sore (jisshū)</td>
<td>that (practice)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>それ (説明)</td>
<td>sore (setsumei)</td>
<td>that (explanation)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Once the results are summed up, it becomes apparent that over 75% of analyzed sentences include collocations classified into the semantic field of ‘speech’, such as ‘question’ (shitsumon 質問) or ‘story’ (hanashi 話). The most prominent group is followed by the semantic field of ‘work’, including collocations such as ‘execution’ (shikkō 執行). 6% of all collocations are sorted into the semantic field of ‘life’ (‘life/lifetime’ shōgai 生涯, ‘life/living’ seikatsu 生活). The remaining 5% are evenly distributed between smaller groups (Table 8 and Figure 3).

### Table 8: Semantic fields of collocations (owaru)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Speech</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Mental process</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ/NUCC</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>88.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 3: Semantic fields of collocations (owaru)](image)

### 5.2 Oeru

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb object</th>
<th>Transcription</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Semantic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>仕事</td>
<td>shigoto</td>
<td>work</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生涯</td>
<td>shōgai</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>食事</td>
<td>shokuji</td>
<td>meal</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>一生</td>
<td>isshō</td>
<td>whole life</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>作業</td>
<td>sagyō</td>
<td>work, duty</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>式</td>
<td>shiki</td>
<td>ceremony</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>役割</td>
<td>yakuwari</td>
<td>part, role</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>取引</td>
<td>torihiki</td>
<td>trade, business</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>旅</td>
<td>tabi</td>
<td>travel</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>役目</td>
<td>yakume</td>
<td>duty, role</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>朝食</td>
<td>chōshoku</td>
<td>breakfast</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>撮影</td>
<td>satsuei</td>
<td>photography</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>話</td>
<td>hanashi</td>
<td>story, talk</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>準備</td>
<td>junbi</td>
<td>preparation</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生活</td>
<td>seikatsu</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>授業</td>
<td>jugyō</td>
<td>lesson</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>取材</td>
<td>shuzai</td>
<td>collecting data</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>教育</td>
<td>kyōiku</td>
<td>education</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>活動</td>
<td>katsudō</td>
<td>activity</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>日</td>
<td>hi</td>
<td>day</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>電話</td>
<td>denwa</td>
<td>phone call</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生</td>
<td>sei</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>夕食</td>
<td>yūshoku</td>
<td>dinner</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>訓練</td>
<td>kunren</td>
<td>training</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>出産</td>
<td>shussan</td>
<td>birth, delivery</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>調査</td>
<td>chōsa</td>
<td>survey</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>型</td>
<td>kata</td>
<td>kata (sports)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>手術</td>
<td>shujutsu</td>
<td>surgery</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>練習</td>
<td>renshū</td>
<td>exercise</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>戦</td>
<td>ikusa</td>
<td>battle</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>会</td>
<td>kai</td>
<td>meeting</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>人生</td>
<td>jinsei</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 10: List of collocations (oeru - CSJ/NUCC)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verb object</th>
<th>Transcription</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Semantic field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>仕事</td>
<td>shigoto</td>
<td>work</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>プログラム</td>
<td>puroguramu</td>
<td>program</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>取引</td>
<td>torihiki</td>
<td>trade, business</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>報告</td>
<td>hōkoku</td>
<td>report</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>学習</td>
<td>gakushū</td>
<td>learning</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>出産</td>
<td>shussan</td>
<td>birth, delivery</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>一生</td>
<td>isshō</td>
<td>whole life</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>学校 (教育)</td>
<td>gakkō (kyōiku)</td>
<td>school (education)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>旅</td>
<td>tabi</td>
<td>travel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>結婚式</td>
<td>kekkonshiki</td>
<td>wedding</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>年間</td>
<td>nenkan</td>
<td>in a year (period)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>推論</td>
<td>suiron</td>
<td>deduction</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>話</td>
<td>hanashi</td>
<td>story, talk</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>練習</td>
<td>renshū</td>
<td>exercise</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>実習</td>
<td>jisshū</td>
<td>practice</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>本番</td>
<td>honban</td>
<td>performance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>野球</td>
<td>yakyū</td>
<td>baseball</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生涯</td>
<td>shōgai</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>生活</td>
<td>seikatsu</td>
<td>life</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>授業</td>
<td>jugyō</td>
<td>lesson</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>作業</td>
<td>sugyō</td>
<td>work, duty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>調査</td>
<td>chōsa</td>
<td>survey</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>mental process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>論文</td>
<td>ronbun</td>
<td>thesis, article</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>文</td>
<td>bun</td>
<td>sentence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>挨拶</td>
<td>aisatsu</td>
<td>greeting, address</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>speech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>大役</td>
<td>taiyaku</td>
<td>important role</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>合宿</td>
<td>gasshuku</td>
<td>training camp</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>試合</td>
<td>shiai</td>
<td>match, game</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>総会</td>
<td>sōkai</td>
<td>general meeting</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>任</td>
<td>nin</td>
<td>duty</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>インターン</td>
<td>intān</td>
<td>intern</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>焼き入れ</td>
<td>yakiire</td>
<td>quenching</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>仕込み</td>
<td>shikomi</td>
<td>preparation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>コンパ</td>
<td>konpa</td>
<td>party, event</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>～っていうの（授業）</td>
<td>tte iu no (jugyō)</td>
<td>nominalization (lesson)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>レジデント</td>
<td>rejidente</td>
<td>medical resident</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When compared to *owaru*, a noticeable difference in semantic distribution can be observed. Almost half (48.4%) of all collocations are classified into the semantic field of ‘life’. This category is followed by the semantic group of ‘work’, which amounts to a little less than a quarter of all collocations (22.3%). The only other notable category is collocations related to ‘time’ with 13.1%. The remaining groups are relatively small. It is worth pointing out that the largest semantic category of *owaru*, ‘speech’, only amounts to 5.2% within *oeru* (Table 11 and Figure 4).

**Table 11: Semantic fields of collocations (*oeru*)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Work</th>
<th>Speech</th>
<th>Mental process</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ/NUCC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Categorization of verb forms and collocations

This section discusses the categorization of verb forms.

Analyzed verbs were first grouped into six categories according to the voice (*voisu*, *tai* ヴォイス, 態) or modality (*modariti*, *hō* モダリティ, 法) they display. Due to an already high number of results, only verb forms exhibiting modality through inflection were counted.

Furthermore, almost all verbs in modality categories are found in the active voice. There are six exceptions where a combination of two morphemes can be observed (causative + volition, causative + desire). Such exceptions are only found in examples of the verb *owaru* and were assigned to both categories (see 6.1). Once categorized, all verb forms were further classified into eight subcategories (see 6.2).

All samples were exported in an .xlsx file and analyzed manually. Both categories and subcategories are based on verb forms appearing throughout sample sentences. Verb forms not observed within examples of either *owaru* or *oeru* are therefore not included.

When a verb form corresponded to one of the categories or subcategories, a point was assigned in the designated table. Two examples of point-counting are given at the end of this section (refer to Tables 12 and 13 below). This was done in order to determine the distribution of verb forms within example sentences and, most importantly, within semantic groups of collocations (Sections 7 and 8).
Both categories and subcategories as well as example sentences representative of each are provided on the following pages (Sections 6.1 and 6.2).

6.1 Categories of verb forms

10. Active form

Active voice of the verb, including the final form しゅし形 終止形 and attributive form 連体形.

1) 彼の言うには、神、すなわち、われわれとわれわれの周りの人間の創造者は、その仕事を終える前に死んだというのです。

\begin{align*}
\text{Kare no iu ni wa, kami, sunawachi, wareware to wareware no mawari no ningen no sōzōsha wa, sono shigoto wo oeru mae ni shinda to iu no desu.} \\
\text{work [acc] to finish [tr.act.nonpst.adn]}
\end{align*}

According to his words, God, the creator of us and others, died before he finished his work.

11. Causative form

Causative voice (-sase-) of the verb.

2) 時間内に仕事を終わらせることも大切な責任です。

\begin{align*}
\text{Jikannai ni shigoto wo owaraseru koto mo taisetsu na sekinin desu.} \\
\text{work [acc] to finish [tr.caus.nonpst.adn]}
\end{align*}

Finishing work within the time frame is just as big of a responsibility.

12. Passive/potential/honorific form

Indicates the passive form, potential form, or honorific form of the verb (-rare-).

Out of seven cases, five verbs (including Example 3 below), are used as honorific speech. Four co-occur with nouns meaning ‘life’, such as ‘生涯’ or ‘一生 一生’, while one is used in dialogue as a direct question ‘have you completed your PhD?’

\begin{align*}
\text{dokutā wo owararetan desu ka ドクターを終わられたのですか？}
\end{align*}

One example is ambiguous and can be interpreted as either the potential or as the honorific form - ‘you, who were able to safely complete your duty’ or ‘you, who safely completed your duty’ (buji oyakume wo oeraretan anata 無事お役目を終えられた方).

The last example is a direct question referring to a third party. Oeru is used in its potential form - ‘do you think the villagers will be able to finish the long journey?’ (murabitotachi wa [...] nagai tabi wo oerareru to omoimasuka? 村人たちは [...] 長い旅を終えられると思いますか？).
There are no examples of either verb in its passive form.

3) しかし、不幸なことに二年たらずで大腸がんにかかり、五十五歳の短い生涯を終えられました。
Shikashi, fukō na koto ni ninen tarazu de daichōgan ni kakari, gojūgosai no mijikai shōgai wo oeraremashita.
life [acc] to end [tr.pol.pst]
However, unfortunately, in less than two years, he fell ill with colorectal cancer and ended his short life at the age of 55.

13. Volition
Verb form expressing volition (-yō).

4) ちょうどその仕事を終えようとしたとき、エレベーターの動く音がした。
Chōdo sono shigoto wo oeyō to shita toki, erebēta no ugoku oto ga shita.
work [acc] to finish [tr.act.vol]
Just as I was about to finish work, I heard the sound of the elevator moving.

14. Desire
Verb form expressing desire, wish (-tai).

5) この二点御答弁いただいて、質問を終わりたいと思います。
Kono niten gotōben itadaite, shitsumon wo owaritai to omoimasu.
question [acc] to end [tr.act.des.nonpst]
Once you provide an explanation of these two points, I would like to end my question.

15. Gerundive/-te form
The gerundive or -te form of the active voice. It is generally a subcategory (see 6.2), but separated in the case of active voice due to a very high frequency of appearance.

6) 仕事を终えて味わう酒は本当に美味。
Shigoto wo oete ajiwau sake wa hontō ni bimi.
work [acc] to finish [tr.act.ger]
Alcohol savored after work is truly delicious.

6.2 Subcategories of verb forms
Subcategories highlight additional characteristics of the verbs. The eight groups are classified as follows.
16. Positive form

Positive form of the verb.

7) あの子は親の名前も顔も知らないわずか十余年の短い生涯を終えた。
   *Ano ko wa oya no namae mo kao mo shiranai jūyon no mijikai shōgai wo oeta.*
   life [acc] to end [tr.act.pst.pos]
   That child ended his short life of ten years or so without knowing the names and faces of his parents.

17. Negative form

Negative form of the verb.

8) 業者さんがとにかく仕事を終わらせないと帰れないのです。
   *Gyōsha san ga tonikaku shigoto wo owarasenai to kaerenai no desu.*
   work [acc] to finish [tr.caus.nonpst.neg]
   In any case, workers cannot go home if they do not finish work.

18. Non-past form

The verb is found in non-past tense.

9) 以上で発表を終わります。
   *Ijō de happyō wo owarimasu.*
   presentation [acc] to end [tr.act.pol.nonpst]
   With this I end my presentation.

19. Past form

The verb is found in past tense.

10) 小坂はあわてて話を終わらせた。
    *Kosaka wa awatete hanashi wo owaraseta.*
    story [acc] to finish [tr.caus.pst]
    Kosaka hurriedly finished the story.

20. Past context

The context of an analyzed sentence as a whole is placed in the past. The category was added in order to compare the use of tenses between *owaru* and *oeru*. For example, the
gerundive form of *oeru* (*oete*) does not indicate past nor non-past on its own. However, it frequently appears within sentences where the main verb is used in past tense.

11) ほどなく、彼は話を終えて戻ってきた。

_Hodonaku, kare wa hanashi wo oete modotte kita._

story [acc] to finish [tr.act.ger]

Soon after, he _finished the story_ and came back.

21. Adnominal use

The verb is used adnominally in the structure _V-ru + N._

12) 効率よく仕事を終わらせるコツ。

_Kōritsu yoku shigoto wo owaraseru kotsu._

work [acc] to finish [tr.caus.nonpst.adn]

The secret to _finishing work_ effectively.

22. Gerundive/-te form’

The gerundive form of all categories with the exception of active voice. In order to differentiate it from the main category, it is marked with the apostrophe sign ‘.’

13) 以上、私の所見を交え、質問を終わらせたいです。

_Ijō, watashi no shoken wo majie, shitsumon wo owarasete itadakimasu._

question [acc] to finish [tr.caus.ger]

With this, I have expressed my opinion and _will now finish my question._

23. Conditional form

_-ba and -tara_ forms.

14) 食事を終わったら、君の客室へ行って話し合おうか？

_Shokuji wo owattara, kimi no kyakushitsu e itte hanashiaō ka?_  

meal [acc] to finish [tr.act.cond]

_After we finish the meal, shall we head to your room and talk?_

The following Tables 12 and 13 present examples of point assignment during the analysis. The first row lists the category, while the second one lists the subcategories. When the verb form coincides with one of the subcategories, one point ‘1’ is added to the chart. If the verb form does not correspond to any category, no points ‘0’ were assigned.

Example for the sentence ‘allow me to finish my presentation’ (_happyō wo owarasete itadakimasu_ 発表を終わらせていただきます):
Table 12: Point assignment 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Non-past</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Past context</th>
<th>Adnominal</th>
<th>Gerundive’</th>
<th>Conditional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Causative</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example for the sentence ‘after finishing work, I read a book’ (*shigoto wo oeta ato hon wo yomimashita* 仕事を終えた後本を読みました):

Table 13: Point assignment 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Non-past</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Past context</th>
<th>Adnominal</th>
<th>Gerundive’</th>
<th>Conditional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once each verb form was analyzed in line with this procedure, points were summed up and edited in the form of tables and graphs. These provide a picture of the morphological distribution in two corpora separately as well as a general picture (total) where all results are counted together. This made it possible to easily determine the frequency of morphological categories and subcategories, presented in the upcoming Section 7, and, additionally, determine their relation to the semantic fields (Section 8).

7 Frequency of morphological verb categories and subcategories

In this section results of the sentence, analysis are provided. For practical reasons the forms *owarareru* and *oerareru* are marked as ‘honorific’ in tables and figures, as they are the highest in frequency (refer to Section 6.1).

7.1 Owaru

7.1.1 Categories

Over half of all examples find *owaru* in its active form (55.2%). Causative use is placed second, with 19.3% of appearance frequency. In this case, the high number is to be expected. As pointed out in Section 2.3, as well as during the analysis of instructional materials and the public’s general perception of *owaru*, the verb is consistently being presented or perceived as solely intransitive. Two smaller groups consist of the gerundive *owatte* (10.7%) and the form expressing desire *owaritai* (13.8%). Meanwhile, the volitional form *owarō* (0.9%) and the honorific form *owarareru* (0.1%) are barely present (see Table 14 and Figure 5).
When comparing the corpora of written (BCCWJ) and spoken (CSJ/NUCC) Japanese, the most remarkable difference can be found in the causative use of *owaru*. The active form is the most notable in both corpora and encompasses over 60% of the forms in CSJ/NUCC.

However, the causative use is more prevalent in the corpus of spoken Japanese (23.7%), whereas the frequency in BCCWJ reaches only 16.9%. The reason for this difference could be assigned to one specific collocation, ‘presentation, speech’ *happyō 発表*. It is ranked first in frequency and frequently co-occurs with the phrase ‘allow to finish’ *owarasete itadaku 終わらせてしまう*. This structure consists of the causative morpheme -(s)ase- and the verb ‘to receive’ in its humble form *itadaku*. It can be used when the speaker is granted permission from the listener for a specific action, or as a phrase when there is no actual need for permission and the speaker simply wants to express politeness or humbleness when talking about a planned action (Shigemori Bučar, 2008, p. 76-77).
BCCWJ on the contrary exhibits a higher percentage of the form owaritai, which most frequently co-occurs with the collocation ‘question’ shitsumon 質問 in the phrase ‘I think I want to finish’ owaritai to omoimasu 終わりたいと思います.

7.1.2 Subcategories

The verb owaru is found almost entirely in its positive form (99.2%) and non-past tense (86.5%). Even considering the context in its entirety, the past tense of the main verb can only be observed in 50 cases (Table 15 and Figure 6).

Gerundive forms are visible especially in the category of causative use (owarasete), which is due to the frequently used phrase owarasete itadaku. In this research, this structure most often co-occurs with collocations in the semantic field of ‘speech’, such as ‘question’ shitsumon 質問, ‘presentation’ happyō 発表 or ‘story’ hanashi 話. As this semantic group is the most prominent for owaru, the high percentage of causative use and gerundive forms are not unexpected. The latter is particularly present in CSJ/NUCC. There are no other significant differences between the two corpora.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 15: Subcategories of verb forms (owaru)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ/NUCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.2 Oeru

7.2.1 Categories

In contrast with the verb owaru, the distribution of categories is significantly different for oeru. Only two major groups can be identified; the active form taking up almost 60% of all examples and the gerundive form amounting to almost 40%. If counted together, the two groups make up for 95.8% of all analyzed verb forms.

No other form, including the causative use, stands out (Table 16 and Figure 7).

Table 16: Categories of verb forms (oeru)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>oeru</th>
<th>oesaseru</th>
<th>oerareru</th>
<th>oeyō</th>
<th>oetai</th>
<th>oete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>active</td>
<td>causative</td>
<td>honorific</td>
<td>volition</td>
<td>desire</td>
<td>gerundive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ/NUCC</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.5%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Subcategories of verb forms (owaru)
As explained in Section 7.1, causative use is primarily found co-occurring with collocations in the semantic field of ‘speech’. However, such collocations are not as frequent when discussing *oeru*. The lack of this category could be an explanation for the low percentage of causative forms when compared to *owaru*.

There are no significant differences between the corpora of written and spoken Japanese. However, two minor discrepancies can be mentioned; all causative forms are observed in CSJ/NUCC, while all honorific forms are located in BCCWJ.

### 7.2.2 Subcategories

It is worth noting one major discrepancy can be observed when comparing the results with those of the verb *owaru*.

While the absence of negative forms is characteristic of both verbs, the use of the tense is significantly different. *Oeru* is found in past tense in the majority of cases (past tense 271 cases, non-past tense 150 cases), and past context prevails as well (395 cases out of 689). Adnominal use is also often found in past forms.

The gerundive’ group has an extremely low frequency (0.4%), which can however be explained with the gerundive of the active form being a separate category. (Table 17 and Figure 8).

The distribution of forms (active and gerundive forms covering over 95% of all verb forms) and the major use of both past tense and past context suggest that *oeru* is a verb that tends to express ‘completion’. Past tense and past context on their own define an action that has already been finished. Furthermore, the gerundive also
implies a sequence of two or more actions, in which the first one has to be completed before the next one begins.

Other than a higher percentage of the ‘past context’ category observed in BCCWJ, no major differences between the corpora of written and spoken Japanese are present.

**Table 17: Subcategories of verb forms (oeru)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
<th>Non-past</th>
<th>Past</th>
<th>Past context</th>
<th>Adnominal</th>
<th>Gerundive'</th>
<th>Conditional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCCWJ</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>39.5%</td>
<td>59.1%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSJ/NUCC</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>31.8%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|        | 100.0%   | 0.0%     | 21.8%    | 39.3%| 57.3%        | 28.9%     | 0.4%       | 1.6%        

**Figure 8: Subcategories of verb forms (oeru)**
8 Collocations in relation to verb forms

This section provides the analysis of relations between semantic fields of collocations and morphological categories of verb forms. Only collocations of higher frequency co-occurring with analyzed verb forms are counted (refer to Section 4).

The tables in this section list all semantic fields of collocations and their frequency of appearance, as well as the morphological categories of each verb.

Full lists of collocations belonging to separate semantic fields can be found in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. Morphological categories are described in detail in Section 6.

Each table corresponds to the graph located beneath it. Sections on the graphs represent the distribution of verbal forms within a specific semantic group of collocations.

8.1 Owaru

The most prominent semantic group of collocations for the verb owaru is that of ‘speech’ (refer to Section 5). Numbers in Table 18 show that collocations in this field most frequently (62.9%) co-occur with active forms of the verb. Additionally, in the same semantic field, there are two other emerging morphological categories; the causative form owaraseru (19.3%) and the form expressing desire owaritai (17.2%).

Collocations classified into the semantic field of ‘work’ show a high co-occurrence of 85.2% with the gerundive form owatte, as well as the active form (12.5%). The remaining morphological categories fluctuate between 0–1.1%.

Similarly, the semantic groups of ‘life’, ‘time’, and ‘relationship’, although lesser in frequency, also show that the most prominent morphological categories are those of active, causative, and gerundive forms.

Other semantic groups of collocations are not common and show no particular relations in regards to any morphological category of the verbs.

Table 18: Collocations in relation to verb forms (owaru)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic field</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>owaru active</th>
<th>owaraseru causative</th>
<th>owarareru honorific</th>
<th>owarō volition</th>
<th>owaritai desire</th>
<th>owatte gerundive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Corpus Analysis of the Collocations of the Transitive Verbs owaru and oeru

The results of collocations in relation to verbal forms of oeru are consistent with the semantical and morphological analysis (Sections 5 and 7).

In all semantic fields, the most noticeable morphological are of the active voice oeru and the gerundive form oete (see Table 19 and Figure 10).

Only one exception can be observed. In line with the results of owaru, the verb oeru also displays a higher percentage of causative oesaseru, as well as the form expressing desire oetai, in the semantic field of ‘speech’. Causative is only observable...
in this semantic field. Each morphological category constitutes 7.9% of analyzed verb forms, whereas in *owaru* causative is prevalent.

This pattern of distribution can be anticipated, as the analysis of the morphological categories (Section 7) reveals that *oeru* is largely observed in either its active or its gerundive form.

The remaining categories (causative, honorific, volition and desire) are, except for the abovementioned semantic group of ‘speech’, very low in percentage or not observed in several cases.

**Table 19**: Collocations in relation to verb forms (*oeru*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semantic field</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th><em>oeru</em> active</th>
<th><em>oesaseru</em> causative</th>
<th><em>oerareru</em> honorific</th>
<th><em>oeyō</em> volition</th>
<th><em>oetai</em> desire</th>
<th><em>oete</em> gerundive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>21.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.4%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental process</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 10**: Collocations in relation to verb forms (*oeru*)
9 Conclusion

The evidence from this research suggests that differences between the use of transitive verbs owaru and oeru exist. The semantical analysis of collocations in combination with the morphological analysis of co-occurring verbs brings forth interesting results. It is however necessary to point out that major issues remain to be solved, despite numerous studies and discussions on verb transitivity.

Section 2 elaborates on verb transitivity with an emphasis on owaru and oeru as a pair. Although it is correct to refer to owaru [intr.] as a verb forming two pairs, one with its transitive counterpart owaru [tr.] and one with oeru [tr.], the analysis presented in Section 3 highlights several inconsistencies in both representation and perception of the verbs.

Firstly, some differences can be discerned in dictionary definitions of the verbs (Section 3.1), particularly regarding the transitivity of oeru. Owaru is listed as an ergative verb in all cases. These results stand out especially when the diachronic change in verb use is taken into consideration. As can be gathered from the comparison of sample sentences from CHJ and BCCWJ, it is evident that a significant shift in the use of owaru has occurred, despite the consistent dictionary definitions. In modern Japanese, owaru tends to lean towards its intransitive use, while oeru overall appears in a small number of cases (see 3.2).

Furthermore, a similar pattern can be observed in the representation of the verbs within instructional materials, as owaru is most frequently used intransitively. Oeru is rarely seen at all (see 3.3). This also leads to a non-uniform perception of the verbs, as seen in examples gathered from two websites, where users express their doubts regarding owaru and question the correctness of its transitive use (see 3.4).

With these points of concern in mind, the next part of this research deals with the analysis of example sentences gathered from three corpora; BCCWJ, CSJ, and NUCC (Sections 4-8).

Section 5 examines collocations of owaru and oeru and categorizes them into limited semantic fields in order to spot similarities and differences between the verbs. The following Section 6 explains the morphological categories, used to later analyze verb forms in Section 7. Lastly, Section 8 points out structural forms of the verbs in relation to collocations. These analyses bring forth some noteworthy results.

Firstly, owaru has been observed to most often co-occur with collocations classified into the semantic field of ‘speech’, which is also the largest semantic group found within collocations of owaru. Results also show that causative forms of the verb ‘owaraseru’ are very common in this semantic field. The high frequency of causative forms, even more so in the corpora of spoken Japanese CSJ/NUCC, is not unexpected. This is partly due to the collocations being classified into the aforementioned semantic
field of ‘speech’, which demonstrates a tendency of co-occurring with the frequently used phrase ‘owarasete itadaku’ (i.e., happyō wo owarasete itadakimasu). A higher number of verb forms expressing desire ‘owaritai’ has also been observed (i.e., happyō wo owaritai to omoimasu), although not as often as the causative. In some cases, gerundive forms have a higher frequency of appearance, for example in the semantic field of ‘work’. Other semantic fields show no particular patterns. When comparing written and spoken Japanese, causative is found in even higher percentages in the latter corpus (CSJ/NUCC).

On the other hand, oeru illustrates a different picture. While the semantic fields overlap with owaru, their distribution differs. Most prominent are the semantic fields of ‘life’, ‘work’ and ‘time’, whereas the largest group within collocations of owaru, ‘speech’, amounts to only 38 examples for oeru. Similarly, causative is also found in much smaller numbers and is only present in the corpus of spoken Japanese, among verbs co-occurring with collocations semantically classified into the group of ‘speech’. Regarding morphological categories of the verb forms, the active oeru and gerundive oete combined cover over 95% of all forms. That makes the distribution within semantic fields quite uniform and generally split between the two categories.

Another significant result is the correlation of oeru and the past tense. It is often observed in either past form, or within sentences set in the past. This characteristic, along with the high frequency of gerundive forms, which indicate a sequence of actions (one has to end before the other begins), signifies that oeru correlates to the meaning of completion.

Interestingly, both verbs appear almost exclusively in positive forms.

To sum up, it can be concluded that the distribution of semantic fields of collocations for each verb varies heavily. The semantic field of ‘speech’ covers most of the collocations for the verb owaru, whereas oeru mostly co-occurs with collocations relating to ‘life’, ‘work’, and ‘time’.

Additionally, it has been observed that compared to owaru, oeru strongly gravitates towards active and gerundive forms as well as past tense, and displays a nuance of ‘completion’.

However, it is imperative to admit that the discussion regarding the transitivity of owaru in oeru is still insufficient and in need of further research. To facilitate the understanding and correct the perceiving of verbs, it is necessary to focus on rare cases of ergative verbs during the educational process. This can be done with the help of dictionary definitions, practical examples, and the use of corpora, where special attention is given to owaru as a verb with two transitive pairs; the transitive owaru and oeru. Instructional materials should also provide detailed information, covering all aspects of the two verbs.
As future research, I propose a questionnaire that focuses on the students’ perception of the two verbs. As noted for different languages, this is a topical issue at different levels of L2 acquisition (Pavlovič, 2020; Ito, 2021, etc.). Comparing the results with this paper could potentially be the next step towards a better understanding of intransitive and transitive verbs and their relations.

Abbreviations

[acc] accusative  
[adn] adnominal use  
[caus] causative  
[cond] conditional  
[des] desire/wish  
[ger] gerundive  
[inf] infinitive  
[intr] intransitive  
[neg] negation  
[nom] nominative  
[nonpst] non-past tense  
[pst] past tense  
[pol] polite  
[pos] positive  
[poten] potential  
[pres] present tense  
[top] topic  
[tr] transitive  
[vol] volition
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Abstract

The paper analyzes the Portuguese influence on Tetun Dili phonology, which can be identified at different levels. The phonemic inventory of Tetun Dili has been enriched via borrowing of several consonantal phonemes, triggering an increase in the number of phonological contrasts. Portuguese influence also accounts for the phonetic realizations of a number of consonantal and vocalic phonemes, with some allophonic rules extended even to words belonging to the native stock. Furthermore, the massive influx of Portuguese loanwords has greatly increased the number of permissible onset clusters, and lexical borrowings from Portuguese have led to the occurrence of antepenultimate stress. Finally, Portuguese influence also accounts for the considerable inter-speaker variation. These contact-induced phenomena are shown to correlate with the following factors: knowledge of Portuguese; the exo-normative vs endo-normative orientation of speakers in the case of Portuguese, i.e. towards European or Brazilian Portuguese vs. the East Timorese variety of Portuguese.
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Ključne besede: tetun dili; portugalščina; sprememba; fonološko prestrukturniranje
1 Introduction

Previous work on the Portuguese influence on Tetun Dili (e.g. Hajek, 2007; Williams-van Klinken & Hajek, 2016; Avram, 2018; Williams-van Klinken & Hajek, 2018) was mainly concerned with the morphology, syntax, and lexicon. The present paper analyzes the Portuguese impact on Tetun Dili phonology.


The corpus of Tetun Dili examples is from: grammars (Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002a, 2002b); dictionaries (Costa, 2001a; Hull, 2002; Loch & Tschanz, 2005; Hull, 2006; Manhitu, 2007); phrasebooks (Costa, 2001b; Saunders, 2004; Hajek & Tilman, 2008); theses and dissertations (de Araújo e Corte-Real, 1990; de Albuquerque, 2011a; Greksáková, 2018); papers (Hull, 2000; Esperança, 2001; Chen, 2015). The examples are kept at a reasonable minimum. The citation forms of Tetun Dili examples are given in the current standard orthography and their transcription is in IPA.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 outlines the language situation in East Timor, with a focus on Tetun Dili and Portuguese. Section 3 is a brief overview of the phonologies of Tetun Terik and Tetun Dili. Section 4 is concerned with the imported consonantal phonemes. Section 5 looks at the new phonological contrasts. Section 6 deals with the phonetic realizations of the imported phonemes. Section 7 discusses a number of selected allophonic rules. Section 8 analyzes developments in syllable structure. Section 9 focuses on stress placement. Section 10 summarizes the findings.

2 Language situation in East Timor: Tetun Dili and Portuguese

Tetun Dili\(^1\) is spoken in East Timor\(^2\). Tetun Dili is one of the two official languages of East Timor, alongside Portuguese. Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002b: 5) write that Tetun Dili is spoken by “some 60–70% of the population of East Timor”, while de Albuquerque (2010a, p. 30) states that it is “falado por mais de 80% da população” [= spoken by more than 80% of the population, translation mine]. It is estimated that some 36% are first-language speakers and some that 60% speak Tetun Dili as a second language (Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002b, p. 5).

The status of Tetun Dili is a matter of some dispute in the literature (see also Avram 2005a), with various authors employing different labels: “pidgin” Smith (1995, p. 360);

\(^1\) Also known as Tetum-Praça/Tetun-Prasa.

\(^2\) The official name of the country is Timor Loro Sa’e in Tetun and Timor-Leste in Portuguese, respectively.
“creole” (Ross, 2017); “an Austronesian language” (Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002a, 2002b); “an Austronesian language with many Portuguese loans” (Chen, 2015, p. 29); “a koiné with heavy Portuguese lexical influence” (Greksáková, 2018, p. 82).

As for Portuguese, it is spoken only by 36% of East Timor’s population. In addition to the difference in the number of speakers, there is a clear asymmetrical power relationship between Tetun Dili and Portuguese (Taylor-Leech, 2009; de Albuquerque, 2010a, 2018; Greksáková, 2018). Although official language policies favour the promotion and development of Tetun Dili as a nation-building instrument, knowledge and use of Portuguese still carries considerable prestige (Taylor-Leech, 2007, 2009; Ross, 2017). From a sociolinguistic perspective, there is a continuum of Portuguese varieties. According to de Albuquerque (2011b, p. 70) this can be represented as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>European Portuguese norm</th>
<th>popular Portuguese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>urbanized areas</td>
<td>rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dili, Baucau</td>
<td>border with Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Viqueque, Oecussi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Portuguese continuum in Timor-Leste (de Albuquerque 2011b, p. 70)

In the above representation, “popular Portuguese” is, to quote Thomaz (2010, p. 39), “das Portugiesische von Timor, das von Personen mit geringer Bildung gesprochen wird” [= the Portuguese of Timor, which is spoken by persons with little education, translation mine]. De Albuquerque (2011b, p. 75, n. 5) defines it as “a subvariedade do PTL [= português de Timor-Leste] que o falante aprendeu de maneira não formal e [...] sofre maior influência da língua materna do falante, ou seja, mais distante da norma europeia” [= a subvariety of Portuguese which the speaker acquired in a non formal manner and which [...] undergoes more influence from the speaker’s mother tongue, namely more distant from the European norm, translation mine]. In fact, the picture is more complex. Neither Thomaz (2010) nor de Albuquerque (2011b) mention Brazilian Portuguese. However, as noted by Hajek & Tilman (2008, p. 181), “you’ll hear at least three different Portuguese accents in East Timor [...] Portuguese as spoken by most Timorese” [...] European Portuguese [and] Brazilian Portuguese”. The latter variety is a relatively new addition to the Portuguese continuum in East Timor, a consequence of post-independence developments, given that Brazil runs a wide range of support programs in the country, including for the teaching of Portuguese.
3 Phonology of Tetun and Tetun Dili

Historically, Tetun Dili developed out of Tetun Teturik, spoken in the south of the island of Timor as well as in the southwest, i.e. the area of the East Timor – West Timor border\(^3\). Tetun Terik and Tetun Dili differ in a number of respects in their phonology, morphology, syntax, and lexicon, as shown by e.g. Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, pp. 53-56). In what follows, the focus is on the differences between these two major varieties of Tetun in their inventories of phonemes.

There is consensus among authors such as das Dores (1907), Troeboes et al. (1987, p. 14-28), Taryono et al. (1993, p. 25-34), van Klinken (1999), Hull (2000, p. 167, p. 189), Costa (2001a, p.: 23-22), Esperança (2001, p. 50-60), Thomaz (2002, p. 52) with respect to the inventory of consonant and vocalic phonemes of Tetun Terik. As shown in Table 1, the system of vocalic phonemes of Tetun Terik is relatively simple, consisting of /i/, /u/, /e/, /ɔ/ and /a/:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Tetun Terik: Vocalic phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low mid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tetun Terik also has a relatively small number of consonantal phonemes. The system of consonant phonemes is set out in Table 2 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Tetun Terik: Consonantal phonemes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bilabial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fricatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approximants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The inventory of consonantal phonemes of Tetun Dili is discussed by Costa (2001a, p. 22), Hull (2000, p. 167), Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002a, p. 11, 2002b, p. 12), de

\(^3\) Which is part of the Republic of Indonesia.
Albuquerque (2011a, p. 77, p. 83), a.o. Several authors posit a so-called “minimal inventory” (Hull, 2000) or “Umgangslautung” [= colloquial pronunciation, translation mine]⁴ (Saunders, 2004). According to Hull (2000, p. 189) this consists of “11 consonantal phonemes, given the loss of /’/ and the assimilation of /w/ to /b/” (Hull, 2000, p. 189), as shown in Table 3:

| Table 3: Tetun Dili: Consonantal phonemes (minimal inventory) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                         | bilabial        | alveolar     | velar        | glottal      |
| stops                   | p               | t            | k            |              |
|                        | b               | d            |              |              |
| fricatives              | s               | h            |              |              |
| nasals                 | m               | n            |              |              |
| tap                     | r               |              |              |              |
| approximants            | l               |              |              |              |


| Table 4: Tetun Dili: Consonantal phonemes (maximal inventory) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|                         | bilabial        | labio-dental | alveolar     | alveo-palatal | palatal     | velar        | glottal      |
| stops                   | p               | t            | k            |              |              |              |              |
|                        | b               | d            | g            |              |              |              |              |
| fricatives              | f               | s            | f            | h            |              |              |              |
|                        | v               | z            | h            |              |              |              |              |
| nasals                 | m               | n            |              | n            |              |              |              |
| tap                     | r               |              | r            |              |              |              |              |
| trill                   | r               |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| liquid                  | l               |              | l            |              |              |              |              |
| glide                   | w               |              | w            |              |              |              |              |

---

⁴ Used “von der großen Mehrheit der Bevölkerung” [= by the large majority of the population, translation mine] (Saunders, 2004, p. 16).

⁵ Saunders (2004, p. 16) writes that “die Hochlautung wird vorwiegend von gebildeten Bewohnern der Hauptstadt Dili verwendet” [= the standard pronunciation is mainly used by educated inhabitants of the capital Dili, translation mine].
The maximal inventory in Table 4 is the consequence of the fact that Tetun Dili has borrowed a number of consonantal phonemes, the topic of the next section.

4 Imported consonantal phonemes

Tetun Dili has increased the number of consonantal phonemes, in comparison with Tetun Terik of which it is historically an offshoot. The additional consonantal phonemes are from Malay and, in particular, Portuguese.

Costa (2001a, p. 24) writes that “foram, ainda, introduzidas no tétum [...] consoantes, em especial devido à importação de palavras [...] predominantemente de origem portuguesa” [= due especially to the import of words of [...] predominantly of Portuguese origin, consonants were also introduced into Tetun, translation mine]: /p/, /g/, /v/, /z/ and /ʒ/. However, according to other authors, the number of the imported consonantal phonemes is larger. Hull (2000, p. 189), for instance, lists nine “(Malay and/or Portuguese-derived) consonantal phonemes”: /g/, /ɲ/, /ʎ/, /p/, /τ/, /ν/, /z/, /ʃ/ and /ʒ/. Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 10) attribute to Portuguese exclusively the increase in the number of consonantal phonemes of Tetun Dili, writing that “Portuguese loans are responsible for introducing the phonemes /p g v z ʃ ʒ ɲ ʎ r/”. De Albuquerque (2011a, p. 85) writes that “alguns dos sons [do] Malaio e [do] português [...] foram incorporados à fonologia” [= some of the sounds of Malay and Portuguese [...] were incorporated into the phonology, translation mine]: /p/, /v/, /z/ and g/.

As can be seen, there are discrepancies in the number of consonantal phonemes of foreign provenance, i.e. from Malay and Portuguese. One of the factors accounting for these discrepancies is the differential extent to which these imported consonantal phonemes have been integrated into the phonology of Tetun Dili. According to de Albuquerque (2011a, p. 86), “/p e /g/ já foram incorporadoss de maneira efetiva” [= /o/ and /g/ have already been effectively incorporated, translation mine], whereas “/v/ e /z/ “encontram-se limitados a empréstimos lusófonos” [= are limited to Lusophone loanwords, translation mine]. Note, however, the inconsistency: /ν/ and /z/ are also listed among the imported consonantal phonemes which are characterized as “sendo produtivos e aparecendo em alguns vocábulos nativos” [= being productive and occurring in some native words] (de Albuquerque, 2011a, p. 85). Other consonants, i.e. [ʃ, ʒ, ɲ, ʎ] “foram emprestadas da língua portuguesa e não foram incorporadas” [= have been borrowed from the Portuguese language and have not been incorporated, translation mine] and “permanecem limitadas somente aos itens lexicais de origem lusófona” [= remain confined to lexical items of Lusophone origin, translation mine] (de Albuquerque, 2011a, p. 87). On de Albuquerque’s (2011a) analysis, some of the imported consonantal phonemes have a restricted distribution, occurring only Portuguese loanwords.
A second factor is the considerable inter-speaker variation with respect to the occurrence of the imported phonemes. According to Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 10), “many speakers do not have the full set of consonant phonemes”. The only reason for the absence of some of the imported consonantal phonemes in the Tetun Dili of such speakers mentioned in the literature is the influence of the L1s of the speakers (e.g. Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002b, p. 10; de Albuquerque, 2011a). However, the East Timor variety of Portuguese must also have played a role. Indeed, one of the characteristics of the phonology of East Timor Portuguese is the absence of the following phonemes from its phonemic inventory: /p/, /v/, /ʃ/, /ʒ/, /ɲ/, /ʎ/ (de Albuquerque, 2010b, pp. 276-277, 2011b, pp. 70-72, 2011c, pp. 234-235; Thomaz, 2010, p. 39). In other words, the local variety of Portuguese functions as a “filter” and the aforementioned consonantal phonemes do not make it into Tetun Dili.

5 Phonological contrasts

For Tetun Dili speakers with the maximal inventory of consonantal the massive influx of Portuguese loanwords has led to the emergence of new phonological contrasts:

(1) a. /f/-/v/
   b. /f/-/b/
   c. /f/-/p/
   d. /s/-/z/
   e. /s/-/ʃ/
   f. /z/-/ʒ/
   g. /n/-/ɲ/
   h. /l/-/ʎ/

However, according to Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 10), “especially for those who are not native speakers of Tetun Dili, there is the possibility of a merger for: /v/-/b/, /ʃ/-/s/, /ʒ/-/z/, /ɲ/-/n/, and /ʎ/-/l/”. In this case again, the absence of these phonological contrasts may be attributed to the absence of the consonantal phonemes /v/, /ʃ/, /ʒ/, /ɲ/, /ʎ/ in the locally spoken variety of Portuguese.
6 Phonetic realizations of imported phonemes

6.1 Nasal vowels

Some speakers of Tetun Dili denasalize vowels in Portuguese loanwords. This is captured by the rule in (2) and illustrated by the example in (3):

(2) \( \tilde{V} \rightarrow [−nasal] \)
(3) \( \text{jardín} \ [\tilde{j}aɾdi] \) ‘garden’ < Portuguese \( \text{jardim} \)

Denasalization of vowels is also a characteristic of East Timor Portuguese, as shown by de Albuquerque (2010b, p. 278, 2011c, p. 235). Consider the examples below:

(4) a. East Timor Portuguese \( \text{amanhã} \ [\tilde{amanj}aɾn] \) ‘tomorrow’
    b. East Timor Portuguese \( \text{ontem} \ [\tilde{ɔnte}m] \approx [\tilde{ɔnten}] \) ‘yesterday’

As can be seen in the examples under (3) and (4) the denasalized vowel is followed by a non-etymological nasal. De Albuquerque (2011c, p. 235) attributes denasalization in East Timor Portuguese to the influence of Tetun, claiming that “ha com frequência […] a inserção de un [n] epentético” [= the insertion of an epenthetic [n] frequently occurs, translation mine] since Tetun “ha um grande número de substantives terminados com um sufixo -n” [= has a large number of nouns ending in a suffix –n, translation mine]. In fact, denasalization is an instance of unpacking, whereby “the phonetic features present in a single segment are split into a sequence of two segments” (McColl Millar, 2015, p. 57). In denasalization, as put by e.g. Crowley (1997, p. 46), “the original nasal and vowel features […] are distributed over two sounds”:

(5) \( \tilde{V} \rightarrow V + C[+nasal] \)

Therefore, the Portuguese nasal vowels are reinterpreted as sequences made up of an oral vowel and a nasal consonant. As is well known, nasal vowel unpacking is widely attested (Crowley, 1997, p. 46; Paradis & Prunet, 2000):

---

6 See also section 5.2.
7 Where the occurrence of [m] instead of the expected [n] is an instance of spelling pronunciation.
8 Also known as “segmentalization” (McColl Millar, 2015, p. 57).
9 Nasal vowel unpacking is also taken as evidence that nasal vowels are underlyingly two segments (Paradis & Prunet, 2000).
(6) a. French *camion* [kamiɔ] > Bislama [kamioŋ] ‘truck’
   b. French *avion* [aviŋ] > Romanian *avion* [avion] ‘airplane’

### 6.2 Diphthongs

Many of the Portuguese loanwords in Tetun Dili contain diphthongs. However, Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 12) write that “speakers tend to reduce many of these to single vowels”. This is informally expressed by the rule in (7):

(7) \(V_1V_2 \rightarrow V_1\)

The following examples (from Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002b, p. 12) illustrate monophthongization:

(8) a. *padeiru* [padɾu] ~ [padeɾu] ‘baker’ < Portuguese *padeiro*
   b. *tezoura* [tezoɾa] ~ [tezoɾa] ‘scissors’ < Portuguese *tezoura*

Monophthongization is also attested in East Timor Portuguese (de Albuquerque, 2011b, p. 279), as shown below:

(9) a. East Timor Portuguese *manteiga* [mantega] ‘butter’
   b. East Timor Portuguese *vassoura* [basora] ‘broom’

### 6.3 Labio-dentals

Both the voiceless and the voiced labio-dentals are subject to variation. In addition to the labio-dental pronunciation these consonants are also phonetically realized as bilabial stops. Consider first /f/:

(10) /f/ \(\rightarrow\) [f] ~ [p]

   *fila* [fila] ~ [piла] ‘to return’

The same variation has been observed in East Timor Portuguese (Thomaz, 2010, p. 39; de Albuquerque 2011, p. 73):

(11) East Timor Portuguese *força* [forsa] ~ [poɾsa] ‘force’ < Portuguese *força*

Consider next the phonetic realizations of /v/:
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(12) /v/ → [v] ~ [b]
   a. xavi [ʃave] ~ [saβe] ‘key’ < Portuguese xave
   b. servisu [serβisu] ~ [serβisu] ‘work’ < Portuguese serviço ‘service’

This again parallels the situation in East Timor Portuguese (de Albuquerque, 2010b, p. 277, 2011b, p. 72), as illustrated by the examples below:

(13) a. East Timor Portuguese chave [save] ~ [saβe] ‘key’
   b. East Timor Portuguese livro [livru] ~ [liβru] ‘book’

6.4 Tap /ɾ/

According to de Albuquerque (2011a, p. 80), /ɾ/ is realized as [x] or [ɣ]. Reproduced below are some of de Albuquerque’s (2011a) examples:

(14) a. aeroporto [aerupɔxtu] ~ [aerupɔxtu] ‘airport’ < Portuguese aeroporto
   b. boraxa [boɾaxa] ~ [bɔxasjɑ] ~ [buxasa] ‘rubber’ < Portuguese boraxa

(15) farda [faɣda] ~ [faɣda] ‘uniform’ < Portuguese farda

De Albuquerque (2011a, p. 80) further writes that these phonetic realizations of /ɾ/ are an instance of “hipercorreção baseada na língua portuguesa” [= hypercorrection based on the Portuguese language, translation mine], without any specification as to which variety of Portuguese. Massini-Cagliari et al., 2016, p. 59) write with respect to Brazilian Portuguese that “the fricatives [h] and [x] are currently the most frequent realizations of strong r”, as seen in the following examples:

(16) a. Brazilian Portuguese barriga [baxiga] ‘belly’
   b. Brazilian Portuguese porta [pɔxta] ‘door’

Moreover, Massini-Cagliari et al. (2016, p. 60) explicitly mention the fact that [h] and [x] as phonetic realizations of the rhotic are “exclusively found in BP and do not occur in EP [= European Portuguese]”. To conclude, the variation noted by de Albuquerque (2011a) in the phonetic realization of /ɾ/ reflects the influence of Brazilian Portuguese.
6.5 Alveo-palatals

The two alveo-palatals /ʃ/ and /ʒ/ found in Portuguese loanwords frequently undergo depalatalization. Hajek & Tilman (2008, p. 21), for instance, note that “<ʃ> “sometimes pronounced as [...] ‘sh’ at the end of a word or before a consonant”. Hajek & Tilman (2008, p. 21) further write that the [ʃ] “is considered very refined and can be a good indicator that the speaker also speaks Portuguese”. The examples under (17) illustrate depalatalization of /ʃ/:

(17) /ʃ/ → [ʃ] ~ [s]
   a. festa [feʃta] ~ [festa] ‘party, celebration’ < Portuguese festa
   b. xavi [ʃave] ~ [sabe] ‘key’ < Portuguese xave

The same phonetic realizations also occur in East Timor Portuguese (de Albuquerque, 2010b, p. 277, 2011b, p. 72):

(18) a. East Timor Portuguese bicho [bifu] ~ [isu] ‘worm’
   b. East Timor Portuguese chá [ʃa] ~ [sa] ‘tea’

The next set of examples illustrates depalatalization of /ʒ/:

(19) /ʒ/ → [ʒ] ~ [z]
   a. janela [ʒanεla] ~ [zanεla] ‘window’ < Portuguese janela
   b. justisa [ʒustisa] ~ [zustisa] ~ [zustisa] ‘justice’ < Portuguese justiça

East Timor Portuguese also exhibits depalatalization of /ʒ/ (de Albuquerque, 2010b, p. 277, 2011b, p. 72, 2011c, p. 235). Consider the examples below:

(20) a. East Timor Portuguese ajuda [aʒuda] ~ [azuda] ‘help’
   b. East Timor Portuguese hoje [oʒe] ~ [oze] ‘today’
   c. East Timor Portuguese já [zja] ‘already’

The Tetun Dili [sj] and [zj] reflexes of /ʃ/ and /ʒ/ constitute examples of unpacking. As shown by Operstein (2010, pp. 150-151), unpacking of /ʃ/ in particular is cross-linguistically widely attested. Below are two of Operstein’s (2010, p. 151) examples:

(21) a. Italian scienza [ʃentsa] > Piedmont Italian [ʃjentsa] ‘science’
   b. 16th-c. English ash [æʃ] > Welsh <aiss>
6.6 Palatals

Depalatalization may also affect /ɲ/ and /ʎ/ in Portuguese loanwords. The former has up to four possible phonetic realizations:

\[(22) \quad /\text{ɲ}/ \rightarrow [n] \sim [nj] \sim [jn] \sim [n]\]

a. *banhu* [bɐɲu] \sim [banjo] ‘bath’ < Portuguese *banho*

b. *linha* [liɲa] \sim [lijna] \sim [lina] ‘line’ < Portuguese *linha*

Depalatalized realizations of /ɲ/ are also reported to occur in East Timor Portuguese (de Albuquerque, 2010b, p. 277, 2011b, p. 72, and 2011c, p. 235). While in some cases, as in (23a), there is [ɲ] \sim [nj] variation, in others, as in (23b), [nj] this appears to be the only phonetic realization:

\[(23) \quad \text{a. East Timor Portuguese } bonitinho [bonitɲu] \sim [bonitinju] ‘nice-DIM’}

b. East Timor Portuguese *rascunho* [raskunju] ‘sketch’

As for /ʎ/, its various phonetic realizations are illustrated in the following example:

\[(24) \quad /\text{ʎ}/ \rightarrow [ʎ] \sim [jl] \sim [l]\]

*pilha* [piʎa] \sim [pilja] \sim [pila] ‘battery’ < Portuguese *pilha*

Similar cases are attested in East Timor Portuguese (de Albuquerque, 2010b, p. 276, 2011b, p. 72, 2011c, pp. 234-235).

\[(25) \quad \text{a. East Timor Portuguese } olho [oʎu] \sim [olju] \sim [ojlu] ‘oil’}

b. East Timor Portuguese *espelho* [espelɲu] \sim [espelju] ‘mirror’

Depalatalization of both /ɲ/ and /ʎ/is yet another instance of unpacking, very frequent cross-linguistically (Operstein, 2010, p. 150; McColl Millar, 2015, p. 57). The former is illustrated in (26) and the latter in (27):

\[(26) \quad \text{a. 16-th-c. French } cicogne [sikɔɲ] > Breton *cicoing* ‘stork’}

b. Spanish *cañon* [kaɲon] > English *canyon* [kʰæŋjan] ‘canyon’

\[(27) \quad \text{a. Spanish } botella [boteʎa] > Central Basque *boteila* [botejla] ‘bottle’}

b. Spanish *ollo* [oʎo] > Eastern Basque *ailo* [ojlo] ‘oil’
7  Allophonic rules

7.1  Allophone [ɐ] of /a/

In Portuguese loanwords one of the allophones of /a/ is [ɐ]. The phonological context in which it may occur is described by the rule in (28) and exemplified in (29):

(28) /a/ → [ɐ] / [-stress]σ

(29) pilha ['pi.ɐ] ‘battery’ < Portuguese pilha

This particular allophone may be accounted for in terms of European Portuguese influence. According to Massini-Cagliari et al. (2016, p. 62), “one of the most salient features of the EP vowel system as compared to BP is the occurrence of [ɐ]” in “unstressed syllables”, as illustrated below:

(30) European Portuguese casa ['ka.zɐ] ‘house’

7.2  Nasalized allophones of vowels

Costa (2001a, p. 23) writes that in Tetun Dili “as consoantes nasais [m] e [n] nasalizam ligeiramente as vogais que as precedem” [= the nasal consonants [m] and [n] slightly nasalize the vowels which precede them, translation mine]. This is expressed by the following allophonic rule:

(31) V → [+nasal] / __ C[+nasal]σ

The rule in (31) applies to both Portuguese loanwords and to words from the native stock, as shown in (32) and (33) respectively:

(32) kintál ['kĩn.taːl] ‘garden, verandah’ < Portuguese quintal
(33) laran ['la.rɐn] ‘inside’

According to Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 12), nasalization of vowels may also “when vowels immediately [...] follow nasals”, when “they are usually nasalized”, as in the example below:

(34) manu ['mɐ.nu] ‘bird’
Such examples suggest the allophonic rule in (35):

(35) \( V \rightarrow [+\text{nasal}] / C[+\text{nasal}] __ \)

However, nasalization also occurs when the vowel is not preceded by a nasal consonant, both in Portuguese loanwords (36) and in native Tetun words (37):

(36) \( \text{banhu} \ ['b\ddot{a}.nju] \ ‘bath’ < \text{Portuguese banho} \)

(37) a. \( \text{aman} \ ['\ddot{a}.m\ddot{a}n] \ ‘father’ \)
    b. \( \text{inan} \ ['\ddot{I}.n\ddot{a}n] \ ‘mother’ \)

Note that in the examples above the nasalized vowel precedes a nasal consonant in the onset of the following syllable. Therefore, the rule accounting for the cases in (34) and (36)-(37) can be formulated as follows:

(38) \( V[+\text{stress}] \rightarrow [+\text{nasal}] / __ \sigma[C[+\text{nasal}]] \)

The allophonic rule in (38) appears to have been borrowed from Brazilian Portuguese, in which “there is (almost) obligatory phonetic nasalization of a stressed vowel preceding a nasal onset consonant” (Massini-Cagliari et al., 2016, p. 63):

(39) a. Brazilian Portuguese \( \text{ano} \ ['\ddot{a}.n\ddot{u}] \ ‘year’ \)
    b. Brazilian Portuguese \( \text{cima} \ ['s\ddot{f}.m\ddot{a}] \ ‘top’ \)

### 7.3 Allophones of /s/ and /z/

The phonology of Tetun Dili as spoken by some of its users includes the following allophonic rule:

(40) \( /s/ \rightarrow [\ddot{\jmath}] / __ \ C[+\text{voice}]\sigma \)

As shown below, for such speakers the rule in (40) applies to both Portuguese loanwords and words from the native stock:

(41) \( \text{pasta} \ [\text{pa}\ddot{f}t\ddot{a}] < \text{Portuguese pasta} \)
As noted by Williams-van Klinken (2002b, p. 10), this is “a result of Portuguese influence”, without specifying the particular variety.

Similarly, /z/ is phonetically realized as [ʒ], in the phonological context specified by the allophonic rule in (43), as illustrated by the example in (44):

(43) /z/ → [ʒ] / __ C[+voice]s

(44) dezmaia [deʒmaia] ‘to faint’ < Portuguese desmaia

Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 10) state that the retraction of /z/ to [ʒ] is “once again due to Portuguese influence”, with no reference, however, to a particular variety. The allophonic rules in (40) and (43) can only have been borrowed from European Portuguese, in which “the coronal fricatives [s, z] palatalize in coda position to [ʃ, ʒ]” (Massini-Cagliari et al., 2016, p. 58 and 59 – table 4.2), as exemplified below:

(45) a. European Portuguese deste [deʃti] ‘of this.M’
   b. European Portuguese vesgo [veʒgu] ‘squint-eyed’

Finally, some speakers also have the allophonic rule in (46):

(46) /s/ → [z] / __ # V

As illustrated by the following example, the rule also operates in compounds consisting of native Tetun words:

(47) /liːs/ + /asu/ → [ˈliːzasu] ‘garlic’ [lit. ‘onion dog’]

The allophonic rule in (47) is borrowed from European and/or Brazilian Portuguese.

### 7.4 Allophones of /l/

As shown in the allophonic rule below, /l/ is optionally velarized:

(48) /l/ → [ɬ] / __s
The domain of operation of the allophonic rule above includes not only Portuguese loanwords, but also native Tetun words, as illustrated in (49) and (50) respectively:

(49) finál [fi:na:l] ‘final’ < Portuguese final

(50) nanál [na:na:l] ‘tongue’

This is yet another instance of a borrowed allophonic rule. As shown by Massini-Cagliari et al., 2016, p. 57), “the velarized consonant [ɫ] is the typical EP pronunciation” in coda position. Consider the following example:

(51) European Portuguese mal [ma:ɫ] ‘evil’

8 Syllable structure

8.1 Word-initial consonant clusters

Troeboes et al. (1987, p. 22) claim that “dalam bahasa Tetum [...] terdapat konsonan ganda, yaitu /kb/, /kd/, /kl/, /km/, /kn/ dan /kr/”, which, “walaupun dituliskan dengan dua huruf, dianggap sebagai satu fonem” [= in the Tetun language there are double consonants, i.e. /kb/, /kl/, /km/, /kn/ and /kr/, which, although written with two letters, are considered one phoneme, translation mine]. However, no evidence is produced in favour of their alleged mono-phonemic status. Moreover, cross-linguistically no such co-articulated consonants are reported to exist. Therefore, /kb/, /kd/, /kl/, /km/, /kn/ and /kr/ are consonant clusters (see also Taryono et al., 1993, p. 37).

The clusters /kb/, /kd/, /kl/, /km/, /kn/ and /kr/ are the only ones which may occur in word-initial onsets in Tetun Terik, which explains why in Tetun Dili as well “in native Tetun words, word-initial consonant clusters always begin with /k/” (Williams et al., 2002b, p. 9). As for Tetun Dili, as shown by Williams-van Klinken (2002b, p. 54), in many cases these clusters are simplified via deletion of /k/, as in (52), or epenthesis of [a], as in (53):

(52) Tetun Terik ktodan [ktodan] > Tetun Dili todan [todan] ‘heavy’

(53) Tetun Terik kmanek [kmanek] > Tetun Dili kamanek [kamanek] ‘wonderful’

The massive influx of Portuguese loanwords has led to the occurrence of a large number of new CC- clusters.

Most of the new word-initial clusters contain a stop as C₁. Particularly well represented are stop + liquid clusters. A first group consists of five stop + tap clusters:
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(54) a. /pr-/  
    promete ‘to promise’ < Portuguese promete
b. /br-/  
    brinku ‘ear-ring’ < Portuguese brinco
c. /tr-/  
    troka ‘to exchange’ < Portuguese troca
d. /dr-/  
    droga ‘drug’ < Portuguese droga
e. /gr-/  
    grupu ‘group’ < Portuguese grupo

The second group is made up of three stop + lateral clusters:

(55) a. /pl-/  
    plástiku ‘plastic, plastic bag’ < Portuguese plástico
b. /bl-/  
    bluza ‘blouse’ < Portuguese blusa
c. /gl-/  
    glória ‘glory’ < Portuguese glória

Two clusters always have /p/ as their C₁. One such cluster is /ps-/:

(56) psikoloxia ‘psychology’ < Portuguese psicologia

The second one is /pn-/:

(57) pneo¹⁰ ‘tyre’ < Portuguese pneu

Contra de Albuquerque (2011a, p. 91), who claims that “na sílaba CCV, a C₁ se restringe à série de oclusivas”, fricative-initial word-initial clusters are also found. In these clusters C₁ is always /f/ and C₂ is a liquid, i.e. the tap (58) or the lateral (59):

(58) /fr-/  
    fraku ‘weak’ < Portuguese fraco

¹⁰ The cluster /pn-/ may be broken up by epenthesis of [e] or [i]: [pneo] ~ [pineu] (Hajek & Tilman, 2008, p. 224).
(59) /fl-/  
*flanela* ‘flannel’ < Portuguese *flanela*

### 8.2 Word-medial consonant clusters

As shown by Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 9), “word-internal consonant sequences in underived words are restricted to /kC/ and /mC/:

(60) a. *naksobu* ‘to fall apart’  
b. *hamlaha* ‘hungry’

Portuguese loanwords have introduced other word-medial clusters. Of these, Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 9) only mention “(s)C+liquid sequences”, i.e. CCC- clusters, as in the following example:

(61) *estrada* [es.ˈtɾada]11 ‘road’ < Portuguese *estrada*

However, several -CCCC- clusters occur in word-medial position:

(62) a. *abstratu* [ab.ˈstra.tu] ‘abstract’ < Portuguese *abstrato*  
b. *demonstrasaun* [de.mon.ˈstra.un] ‘demonstration’ < Portuguese *demonstração*

While a word-medial cluster such as /-bstr-/ directly reflects European and/or Brazilian Portuguese influence, those illustrated in (62b) and (62c) also reflect the phonetic realization of nasal vowels in Tetun Dili. Recall from section 6.1 that in Portuguese loanwords nasal vowels may undergo unpacking into V + C[+nasal] sequences; this therefore accounts for the occurrence of the /-nstr-/ cluster in (62b) and (62c).

### 8.3 Resyllabification of word-medial consonant clusters

Williams-van Klinken et al. (2002b, p. 12) note that word-initial unstressed [i] “before /sC/ clusters [is] often absent altogether”. The /s/12 in the coda of the word-initial syllable is resyllabified in the onset of the following syllable; such cases yield phonetic (i.e. non-phonological) CCC- clusters:

---

11 Where the occurrence of [e] is an instance of spelling pronunciation.  
12 Phonetically realized as [ʃ] as in European Portuguese.
(63) estrondu [ʃ.tron.du] ~ ['ʃtron.du'] ‘din’ < Portuguese estrondo

Resyllabification follows the model provided by European Portuguese, in which “vowels may [...] be deleted when they occur in unstressed syllables” (Massini-Cagliari et al., 2016, p. 62).

9 Stress placement

In Tetun Terik, stress falls mostly on the penultimate syllable\(^{13}\), but word-final stress is also attested (Costa, 2011a, p. 24; Williams-van Klinken et al., 2002a, p. 12, 2002b, p. 9; de Albuquerque, 2011a, p. 92-93). Portuguese loanwords have introduced a third possible type, i.e. antepenultimate stress. Consider the following examples:

(64) a. múzika ‘music’ < Portuguese música
    b. polísia ‘police’ < Portuguese polícia
    c. úmidu ‘humid’ < Portuguese húmido

According to de Albuquerque (2011a, p. 92), however, “falantes não-escolarizados” produce forms with penultimate stress” and produce forms such as:

(65) a. animál [a.’ni.mal] ‘animal’ < Portuguese animal
    b. ipóteze [i.po.’te.ze] ‘hypothesis < Portuguese hipótese

The account suggested here is that antepenultimate stress reflects the influence of European and/or Brazilian Portuguese, whereas the rightward stress shift, i.e. the occurrence of penultimate stress, should be traced to East Timor Portuguese. As noted by de Albuquerque (2011b, p. 74; 2011c, p. 235; see also de Albuquerque 2014a), “até palavras que possuem a acentuação gráfica não-penúltima são realizadas como paroxítonas” [= even words which have a non-penultimate graphic stress are realized as paroxitones, translation mine], as seen in the example below:

(66) cómico [ko.’mi.ku] ‘graceful’

Summing up, cases such as those illustrated in (65) and (66) reflect the tendency of many speakers towards rightward stress shift, which brings Portuguese loanwords with either final stress or antepenultimate stress in line with words from the native stock.

\(^{13}\) The Austronesian and Papuan languages spoken in Timor-Leste exhibit predominantly penultimate word stress (see Zanten & Godemans, 2007).
10 Discussion and conclusions

The findings in sections 3 through 9 are summarized in Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>imported consonantal phonemes /p, v, f, ʒ, ɲ, ʎ/</td>
<td>European and/or Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phonological contrasts /f/-/v/, /f/-/b/, /f/-/p/, /s/-/z/, /s/-/ʃ, /n/-/ɲ/, /l/-/ʎ/</td>
<td>European and/or Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>denasalization of vowels</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monophthongization</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>replacement of labio-dentals with bilabial stops</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allophones [ɣ] and [x] of //</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depalatalization of /ʃ/ and /ʒ/</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depalatalization of /ɲ/ and /ʎ/</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allophone [ɐ] of /a/</td>
<td>Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nasalization of vowels preceding a nasal onsets consonant</td>
<td>Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allophone [j] of /z/</td>
<td>European Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allophone [ʒ] of /z/</td>
<td>European Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>allophone [z] of /s/</td>
<td>European and/or Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allophone [t] of /l/</td>
<td>European Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new word-initial consonant clusters</td>
<td>All three varieties of Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>new word-medial consonant clusters</td>
<td>European and/or Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antepenultimate stress</td>
<td>European and/or Brazilian Portuguese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rightward stress shift</td>
<td>East Timor Portuguese</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Tetun and Portuguese components of Tetun Dili are less strictly separated on phonological grounds than hitherto assumed. The evidence of separation includes: imported consonantal phonemes, see section 4; new word-initial and word-medial consonant clusters, see section 8; antepenultimate stress, see section 9. Indeed, these are all attested only in Portuguese loanwords. Also, Portuguese loanwords cannot serve as bases for prosodically motivated partial reduplication and truncated compounds (Avram, 2007, 2008). However, unlike other languages, e.g. Japanese in
which loanwords are strictly separated from other lexical strata (Avram, 1993 and 2005), there is also evidence of partial integration of the two components of Tetun Dili, e.g. the extension of allophonic rules to words from the native stock, illustrated in 7.3 and 7.4.

The variety of Tetun Dili which is most influenced by European and/or Brazilian Portuguese is illustrative of category (4) “strong cultural pressure: moderate structural borrowing” in Thomason & Kaufman’s (1988, pp. 74-75) scale of borrowing. The structural effects typical of this category include: new phonemes; new phones; new allophonic rules; new syllable structure features; new stress rules. These are precisely the structural effects amply illustrated in sections 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 respectively.

As repeatedly shown in sections 3 through 9, there is considerable inter-speaker variation in Tetun Dili phonology. In previous analyses of the Portuguese impact on the phonology of Tetun Dili, this variation has been correlated with the extent of Tetun Dili–Portuguese bilingualism (in particular, Greksáková, 2018, pp. 324-350; see also de Albuquerque, 2010b, 2011b, 2011c, 2014a). However, as shown in the present paper, it also reflects the coexistence of conflicting exo-normative and endo-normative orientations, the former towards European and/or Brazilian Portuguese, the latter towards East Timor Portuguese. This accounts for the occurrence of contradictory tendencies. The examples discussed in 6.1 and 7.2 are a striking example of the clash between conflicting norms. As shown in 6.1, under the influence of the East Timor variety of Portuguese, the nasal vowels in Portuguese loanwords undergo denasalization. On the other hand, some speakers appear to have borrowed the allophonic rule of Brazilian Portuguese, whereby stressed vowels preceding a nasal onset consonant are nasalized, as illustrated in 7.2. Similarly, while many speakers do not have /ʃ/ and /ʒ/ in their inventory of consonantal phonemes, as seen in 4, others borrowed the allophonic rules of European Portuguese whereby /s/ and /z/ are realized in coda position as [ʃ] and [ʒ] respectively, and – as shown in 7.3 – extend them even to words from the native stock. The situation is further compounded by the occasional occurrence of instances of spelling pronunciation, see 6.1. and 8.3, in which the phonetic realizations of some loanwords reflect their orthography in Portuguese. Summing up, the general picture that emerges is a complex one and the intricacies of inter-speaker variation cannot therefore be merely reduced to variation between a more Portuguese-like phonology and a more Tetun-Dili-like one.
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Abstract
This paper analyzes the universal concept that sonorants are marked geminates in the gemination process of Sylheti Bangla (henceforth SHB). Evidence from SHB suggests that when SHB speakers confront borrowed words with sonorant initial or obstruent initial heterosyllabic clusters, it is invariably the sonorant that gets assimilated. In addition, SHB data indicates that when faced with choices between two sonorants of the heterosyllabic clusters, speakers opt for the less sonorous one for gemination. Given this phenomenon, the proposal that sonorant gemination is absent in SHB could not be the ultimate one as it receives additional support from the fact that SHB also possesses many underlying sonorant geminations. Based on this investigation the hierarchy of the constraints *GG*RR>*LL*NN is proposed for analyzing the gemination process in SHB. Finally, this paper illustrates some additional constraints in the SHB gemination process found to be necessary.
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Povzetek
Članek analizira univerzalni koncept, da so zvočniki zaznamovani soglasniki v procesu podvojevanja v silihetski bengalščini (odselj SHB). Podatki iz SHB kažejo, da so v izposojenkah z raznozložnim soglasniškim zaporedjem, vedno zvočniki tisti, ki so podvrženi prilikovanju (asimilaciji). Soglasniško podvojevanje zaradi prilikovanja se vedno zgodi v prid manj zvočnega soglasnika. Posledično torej predlog, da v SHB ni podvojenih zvočnikov, ni ustrezen, saj je podvojena zvočnika pojavljata v globinski podstavi. Na podlagi raziskave predlagamo naslednjo hierarhijo omejitev *GG*RR>*LL*NN za analizo procesa podvojevanja v SHB. Članek v zaključku ponazarja nekatere dodatne omejitve v postopkih geminacije SHB, za katere je bilo ugotovljeno, da so potrebne.

Ključne besede: podvojevanje; zvočnik; nezvočnik; omejitve; optimalnostna teorija
1 Introduction

One of the most significant discoveries in the field of loanword adoption is the speakers’ distinct propensity to modify the borrowed words employing a varied range of phonological phenomena such as epenthesis, deletion, gemination, etc. to obtain unmarked structures. This paper explores one such predominant phonological phenomenon of gemination process applied by the Sylheti speakers. Gemination has already been defined by several linguists. Catford (1977, p. 277), for example, views the articulation of gemination as involving “a higher articulatory effort accompanying the act of moving and holding the articulators to maintain a longer occlusion time for the geminate contoid”, whereas Davis (2011a) states that geminates or ‘double consonants’ contrast with their ‘singleton’ part. Following Ladefoged & Maddieson (1996), Pajak writes that “cross-linguistically, geminates are on average between one-and-a-half to three times as long as singletons” (2009, p. 269).

Many languages across the world contain geminate consonants such as Arabic, Berber, Estonian, Finnish, Cypriot Greek, Hindi, Hungarian, Italian, Japanese, Malayalam, Persian, Saami, Swiss German, Turkish, etc. (Kubozono, 2017). Crosslinguistic evidence shows that the presence of gemination in the intervocalic position is very frequent, while it is rare when not adjoining to any vowel (Kubozono, 2017). Elucidating the reason Pajak (2009) claims that the contrast between singletons/geminates in the intervocalic position is perceptible, on the contrary, when gemination is adjacent to a consonant, this contrast is less perceptible.

A rigorous investigation of SHB data is indicative of the fact that a certain number of geminated words emerge in SHB through the modification of borrowed words consist of obs+son or son+obs or son+son clusters. Another variation noticed in SHB gemination is derived from the borrowed words include a CV.CV or CV.CVC structure into a geminate structure CVC.CV or CVC.CVC. In such instances, the onset of the final syllable gets geminated and acts as a coda of the first syllable. In all the gemination processes, SHB follows the typological trend in admitting the occurrence of gemination only in the intervocalic position. Edge geminates are prohibited in SHB since the constraint *COMPLEX holds a prominent position in this variety of Bangla. Additionally, the facts of SHB gemination also demonstrate that it corroborates the cross-linguistically established view that sonorant sounds are less preferable than geminate consonants.

The cause of the dispreference of sonorant geminates relies on the core principle of Adaptive Dispersion Theory (Lindblom, 1986; Flemming, 1996, 2004; Ito & Mester, 2006), which is “an attempt to model typology of phonological inventories as a set of elements evenly spaced (or ‘dispersed’) in an acoustic-perceptual way” (Ito & Mester, 2006, p. 666). According to Flemming, the selection of phonological contrast is based on three main principles: 1. maximize the number of contrasts, 2. maximize the distinctiveness of contrasts, and 3. minimize articulatory effort, adding that “the existence of such constraints implies that the well-formedness of a word cannot be evaluated in isolation,
it must be evaluated regarding a set of forms that it contrasts with” (Flemming, 1996, p. 1). Further, in one of his other works Flemming (2004, p. 15) writes that “the auditory distinctiveness of the contrasts should be maximized so that the differences between words can easily be perceived by a listener, minimizing confusion”. If the realization of contrast between phonemes is insufficiently distinct, it can be neutralized or modified to make it more distinct. For instance, in the case of vowels if the vowels are well distributed in the acoustic zone they are considered as preferable phonemes, but those candidates whose dispersion in the acoustic space is partial, have less chance to be treated as phonemes in languages. Taking this theory into account, many previous works such as Kawahara (2007) and Kubozono (2017) explain that languages avoid sonorant geminates because, in the case of sonorant sounds, the segmental boundaries are not distinct which causes difficulties in perceiving the segmental duration of sonorants. Since the basis of a phonological geminacy contrasts is the constriction duration between singletons and geminates, and the constriction of sonorant segments is hard to perceive, as such they do not make a very perceptible minimal pair. To encapsulate, it could be generalized that as the contrasts between singleton and geminates sonorants are difficult to discriminate perceptually, languages prefer avoiding sonorant geminates.

Turning now to SHB, it is noteworthy that the most geminable candidates in SHB are obstruents, nasals, while laterals are less geminable, and glides and rhotics are not geminable at all. Based on this hierarchy, the ranking of constraints proposed for SHB gemination is *GG *RR>>*LL *NN>>*OBSGEM. In this paper, I will illustrate all the variations of gemination that occur in SHB, and their relative constraints with the help of Optimality Theory (Prince & Smolensky, 1993/2004; Kager, 1999). Data for this research were collected from the spontaneous speech by Sylheti speakers from in and around the Dharmanagar district of North Tripura and transcribed. The collected data were cross-checked with the researcher’s native language’s knowledge and intuition.

2 Sonorants are marked geminates

The segmental composition of geminates has always been an interesting topic to linguistic research from the phonetic as well as phonological point of view. One of the most significant findings was the fact that in the case of gemination, languages display their preference for obstruents over sonorous segments. After having surveyed geminate consonants in many languages, Taylor (1995, p. 122) revealed that “[s]ince all 28 languages…. have at least one obstruent geminate..., if a language has at least one geminate sonorant, it will also have one geminate obstruent”.

Having conducted a cross-linguistic survey to experiment with the nature of geminate consonants in languages of the world, Podseva (2002) hypothesized that languages display dispreference for sonorant geminates since ‘the sonorant geminates are easily confused with corresponding singletons’ and this problem occurs because
‘sonorants are spectrally continuous with flanking vowels, and consequently their constriction duration is difficult to perceive’ (Kubozono, 2017). The following languages demonstrated in Table 1 are surveyed by Podseva (2002) to investigate the status of geminate sonorant in the languages of the world.

Table 1: Status of geminate sonorant in the languages of the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nasals</th>
<th>Liquids</th>
<th>Glides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Finnish, Hindi, Icelandic, Karo Batak, Maithili, Persian, Ponapean, Somali, Tiyre, Toba, Batak</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Punjabi, Selkup, Yakut, Fula</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Chaha, Japanese, LuGanda, Maranungku</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. !Xo‘o~</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Biblical Hebrew, Wolof</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While Podseva’s work was based on a hypothesis, Kawahara (2007) conducted an experimental study on the nature of geminate consonants. In his work, Kawahara demonstrated how languages across the world apply phonological processes such as degemination, occlusivization, coda nasalization, etc. to ignore sonorant gemination, and concluded that sonorants are less preferred segments for gemination. Explaining the reason behind the dispreference of sonorant geminates, he stated that the contrast of phonological geminacy is based “on a constriction duration difference between singletons and geminates”, and due to the “blurry transitions into and out of flanking vowels, sonorants have a disadvantage in signaling their duration” (Kawahara, 2007, p. 2). It is therefore difficult to perceive sonorant geminates accurately. Kawahara also pointed out that the blurriness of the segmental boundary is not the only reason behind the difficult perception of sonorant geminates. One of the further factors is amplitude and its changes, which ‘are steep for the stops but shallow for the sonorants’, and make the perception of the segmental boundary of sonorants more difficult. Yet another factor that inhibits the perception of sonorant boundary is the ‘stretched out’ of the cues of sonorant segments (Kawahara, 2007).

Now I will briefly discuss how Kawahara (2007) cited examples from different languages’ application of phonological processes to resolve sonorant gemination which evinces sonorants are marked candidates for gemination. Luganda allows obstruent gemination as in /µ +kub/ = /kkubo/ ‘path’, but when consonants in the initial position of the syllable are liquids or glides, occlusivization is applied to avoid sonorant geminates as in µ -wangal → [ggwaanga] ‘nation’. Following Whitney (1889), he mentioned that Sanskrit completely disallows retroflex untrilled liquid [r] gemination. Due to this fact, in Sanskrit geminate approximants undergo degemination, for example, [punar+ramate] →
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[puna:\ramate] *[punarramate]. However, fricative, stop gemination, and other types of sonorant gemination such as laterals, nasals are allowed in Sanskrit for example, asse, arkk\ka, etc. In the line of Sanskrit, Greek also applies degemination to avoid sonorant geminates. Unlike Sanskrit, Greek also degeminates nasal gemination. In Japanese, when a mimetic suffix /-ri/ is placed with a floating mora, it causes gemination for examples, /bata-μ-ri/ → [batta-ri] ‘accidentally’, /poka-μ-ri/ [pok\ka- ri] ‘openly’. However, in the case of root-final syllables with liquids or glides, degemination takes place, and a coda nasal occurs as in /kira+N+ri/ → [ki\Nra-ri] ‘shiningly’. In Selayarese, gemination is formed when the root with voiceless obstruent as initial consonant is preceded by the prefix /taɁ/, such as /taɁ+tuda/ [tatt\uda] ‘bump against’, /ta? + kalup/ [tak\kalup] ‘faint’, but when the root begins with nasals and liquids, the gemination gets blocked for instance, /ta? + muri/ [ta\muri] ‘smile’.

The phenomena applied to ignore sonorant geminates cited in (Kawahara, 2007) are mentioned below.

a. occlusivisation (Berber, Luganda)

b. coda nasalization (Japanese)

c. degemination (Greek, Sanskrit)

d. floating mora flopping (Japanese)

e. blocking of gemination (Ilokano, Selayarese)

Misperception is the main reason that results in the phonological processes triggered by constraints against geminate sonorants.

### Table 2: List of phonological processes used in the languages of the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Geminate types avoided</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Obst.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusivization</td>
<td>Berber</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LuGanda</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasalisation</td>
<td>Chaha, Endenzen &amp; Ezha</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coda nasalization</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floating mora flopping</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degemination</td>
<td>Sanskrit</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blocking of gemination</td>
<td>Ilokano</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Selayarese</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ indicates the presence of sonorant sounds used as geminated ones in the mentioned languages

* indicates avoidance of sonorants as gemination in the mentioned languages

-- indicates the absence of sonorant sounds in the mentioned languages, so, it can not be stated whether they undergo gemination or not.

✓/* indicates marginal use of sonorant sounds
3  An Overview of Sylheti Bangla

Sylheti Bangla is primarily spoken in the Sylhet District located in the North-Eastern region of Bangladesh. It is also spoken in the three states of India — Tripura (the North Tripura district), Assam (the Barak Valley), and Meghalaya. Outside Bangladesh or India, SHB is also widely spoken in the United Kingdom. For the current paper, Sylheti spoken by the people of North Tripura is surveyed and examined. Tripura is a state of Northeast India bordered by Bangladesh to the north, south and west, and the Indian states of Assam and Mizoram to the east. During the time of independence of Bangladesh (1971), an influx of inhabitants of Sylhet District with Sylheti tongue entered India due to the political turmoil in Bangladesh, and many of them as refugees made their residence in the North District of Tripura. These people were gradually rehabilitated in Tripura as citizens of India. For that reason, in the North Tripura District SHB is spoken by the people who ancestrally belong to the Sylhet District of Bangladesh, and in this way, the particular variety of Bangla became the sole language of communication in the North part of Tripura Especially in and around Dharmanagar.

SHB falls in the south-east group of Bangla dialects. However, it was formerly written in its script, Sylheti Nagari, similar in style to Kaithi (a script that belongs to the main group of North Indian scripts used in Bihar). Many scholars also find the affiliation of Sylheti with the Kamrupi group due to some interesting characteristics of this dialect which are found only in the Kamrupi group. Other characteristics can be called the exclusive property of East Bangla. Hence, nowadays it is almost invariably written in Bangla script. Approximately 70% of the Sylheti vocabulary is considered to have derived from Arabic, Persian, Hindi, Assamese, and some of the other Bangla dialects.

A close observation of SHB data reveals that a significant number of geminated words emerged in SHB through the modification of borrowed words. When SHB speakers confront consonant clusters appears in the word boundary combining of obstruent+sonorant, they tend to geminate obstruent candidate. The examples of SHB gemination are demonstrated as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrowed words with obst+son medial clusters</th>
<th>Gemination in SHB</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aṭ.ma</td>
<td>aṭ.ṭa</td>
<td>‘soul’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kon.ya</td>
<td>xoin.na</td>
<td>‘would be bride’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bon.ya</td>
<td>boin.na</td>
<td>‘flood’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cok.ro</td>
<td>sak.ka</td>
<td>‘wheel’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pod.ro</td>
<td>φɔd.ḍɔ</td>
<td>‘lotus’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cʰoṭ.ro</td>
<td>saṭ.ṭi</td>
<td>‘umbrella’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>juk.ro</td>
<td>huk.kur</td>
<td>‘Friday’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pot.ro</td>
<td>φaṭ.ṭa</td>
<td>‘leaf’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The preference of obstruents over sonorants in Table 3 can be attributed to the Syllable Contact Law (Vennemann, 1988, Davis, 1998, Gouskova, 2000) which proposes that sonority creates a bad contact in case it rises across the syllable boundary. Henceforth, to respect this law, the onset of the final syllable gets assimilated to the former. Besides these examples, there are some other geminated words that emerged in SHB from the alternation of borrowed words consisting of heterosyllabic consonant clusters of sonorant + obstruent segments. Consider the following examples in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrowed words with son+obs medial cluster</th>
<th>Gemination in SHB</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>kir.ṭon</td>
<td>kit.ṭon</td>
<td>‘devotional song’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kar.ṭik</td>
<td>kattṭik</td>
<td>‘name of Hindu God’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bor.ḍi</td>
<td>bodb.ḍi</td>
<td>‘elder sister’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḍorta</td>
<td>ḍot.ṭa</td>
<td>‘bettele nut cutter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kur.ḍi</td>
<td>kuf.ḍi</td>
<td>‘chair’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bor.ḍa</td>
<td>bof.ḍa</td>
<td>‘name of a Bengali month’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dur.ḍa</td>
<td>ḡub.ḍa</td>
<td>‘grass’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the data set in Table 4, consonant cluster combinations are the examples of either falling or equal sonority, and thus obey the Syllable contact law. However, in these cases also like the previous one (data set 3) sonorant sounds get assimilated, and obstruents are susceptible to any change. There thus should be other reasons behind the dispreference of sonorant gemination in SHB which will be discussed later.

From the example set (3, 4), it is evident that when the borrowed words with heterosyllabic cluster consist of a sonorant + obstruent combination, or an obstruent + sonorant combination, it is invariably the sonorant sound in the syllable which is more prone to assimilation. In SHB, we do not observe examples of glides and rhotics gemination. However, we can not conclude that SHB is completely devoid of sonorant geminates. For instance, when borrowed words are composed of a sonorant + sonorant sequence, the less sonorous one dominates the more sonorous one. The following examples in Table 5 illustrate this point.
Table 5: Gemination from son+son medial clusters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrowed words with son+son medial clusters</th>
<th>Gemination in SHB</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pur.ņo</td>
<td>āun.ņo</td>
<td>‘complete’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɣʰur.ņi</td>
<td>gun.ņi</td>
<td>‘whirl’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kon.ya</td>
<td>xoin.ņa</td>
<td>‘daughter’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pur.ņi.ma</td>
<td>āun.ņi</td>
<td>‘full moon’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apart from this, SHB also contains underlying nasal and lateral geminations as cited in the following examples in Table 6.

Table 6: Lateral and nasal gemination in SHB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral and nasal geminates in SHB</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gul.li</td>
<td>‘bullet’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʈil.la</td>
<td>‘hill’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gul.la</td>
<td>‘round’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gin.na</td>
<td>‘hate’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hun.ņo</td>
<td>‘zero’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ul.laʃ</td>
<td>‘enjoyment’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al.la</td>
<td>‘God’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now the question arises why do SHB speakers disallow rhotic and glide gemination, and on the other hand allow nasal and lateral gemination. The Complexity Condition theory could help to elucidate this point. The theory states that if a segment's sonorous value is high, it indicates that the segment has greater complexity (Rice, 1992), and the complex segments are more prone to the violation. In the ladder of the sonority hierarchy, the least sonorous segment is obstruent and vowel carries the status of the most sonorous segment. The universally accepted sonority scale is provided in Figure 1.

vowels > glides > liquids > nasals > obstruents

Figure 1: Modal Sonority Hierarchy (e.g. Clements, 1990; Kenstowicz, 2004)

In the light of the Complexity Condition theory, it could be stated that rhotics and glides are more complex than laterals and nasals due to their greater sonority value. A similar phenomenon is viewed in the Pali language (Dutta, 2017). In Pali, the borrowed words from Sanskrit underwent phonological process gemination where the most
sonorous sounds get assimilated, and the less sonorous sounds retain their existence in the syllable. Like SHB, in Pali also when the borrowed words consist of liquid or glide plus nasal or lateral it is always the rhotic or glide sounds that get assimilated, and lateral or nasal get priority over them. Some instances of Pali gemination are cited here.

karma kamma ‘work’ (Dutta, 2017)
mulja mulla ‘price’

The above-mentioned Pali geminate instances point out that when the segment is more complex, it is more prone to violation.

Another variety of gemination present in SHB emerges from the phonological alternation of borrowed words include a CV.CV or CV.CVC syllable structure. In such cases, the onset of the final syllable gets geminated and acts as the coda of the first syllable, as in Table 7.

Table 7: Gemination from borrowed words with CV.CV/CV.CVC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borrowed words with CV.CV/CV.CVC</th>
<th>Gemination in SHB</th>
<th>Gloss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gu.li</td>
<td>gul.li</td>
<td>‘bullet’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>go.ɡi</td>
<td>go.ɡi</td>
<td>‘mattress’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca.ɡor</td>
<td>ca.ɡor</td>
<td>‘shawl’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>je.ta</td>
<td>je.ta</td>
<td>‘win’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʈi.la</td>
<td>ʈi.la</td>
<td>‘hill’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʃa.ka</td>
<td>ʃu.ka</td>
<td>‘hole’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pa.ka</td>
<td>pa.ka</td>
<td>‘ripe’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Optimality Theory and SHB Gemination

Optimality theory (Prince & Smolensky, 1993, 2004) is the latest development of classical generative phonology replacing rule-based models. It admits a universal set of constraints CON as ranked and violable. However, it is important to note that their ranking is not universal, and the differences give birth to cross-linguistic variation. In other words, languages differ from each other in giving priorities to some constraints over others. Due to such differences, the constraint which is minimally violated in one language may be maximally violated in another.

A formal mechanism of UG is GEN which serves to generate a large group of logically possible competing candidates for a given input while the function of another formal mechanism EVAL is to evaluate each candidate applying some constraint
hierarchy to identify the most harmonic or optimal candidate as the output of the language. The candidate which satisfies the higher ranking constraint of the language is considered an optimal candidate even though the candidate violates the lower-ranked constraints. Two main forces aim to decide the optimal candidate of a language: markedness and faithfulness constraints. Markedness constraints have no access to the input. They only evaluate the well-formedness of output candidates. On the other hand, faithfulness constraints have access to both input and output. Markedness constraints penalize candidates that violate their terms whereas faithfulness constraints penalize those candidates that have not been faithful to the input. When a candidate violates a constraint it is marked with an asterisk '*', whereas fatal violation is represented by an exclamation mark ‘!’ . A pointing hand is used to mark an optimal candidate.

The universal ranking of constraints for gemination cited in Podseva (2002) is *GG >> *LL >> *NN. With a slight modification this ranking of constraints appears in Kawahara (2007) is *GG >> *LL >> *NN >>* GEMOBS. The investigation of SHB gemination process exhibits that in SHB the most geminable candidates are obstruents, nasals and laterals are less geminable and glides and rhotics are completely prohibited as geminate consonants. So, the ranking of constraints for SHB gemination based on this hierarchy is *GG*RR>>*LL*NN>>*GEMOBS. Additional constraints necessary for this process are AGREECC, SYLLABLE CONTACT, and IDENT C/_V. AGREECC rules out the surface form in which adjacent consonants are not identical with the input. The positional faithfulness constraint IDENT C/_V violates the surface form whose features of the prevocalic segment in the output are different from the input.

| Table 8: Representation of poḍ.mo > φɔd.ɔ in the optimality theory |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| poḍ.mo                          | AGREECC | SYLCONT | *GG  | *RR  | *LL  | *NN  | IDENT C/_V | *GEMOBS |
| a) poḍ.mo                       |         |         | *!   | *!   |      |      |            |         |
| b) φɔd.ɔ                         |         |         | *    |      |      |      |            |         |
| c) φɔm.ɔ                         |         |         |      |      |      |      | *!          |         |

The above Table 8 illustrates that the candidate with obstruent gemination is evaluated as optimal despite disobeying the constraints IDENTC/_V and *GEMOBS. This happens because it satisfies all the higher-ranked constraints. The candidate with sonorant gemination is eliminated by the constraint *NN, while the faithful candidate is eliminated by the constraint *AGREECC. Thus, the correct ranking of constraints is AGREECC, SYLLCONT >> *GG >> *RR >> *LL >> *NN >> IDENT C/_V, GEMOBS.

The above-mentioned constraints are identical regarding gemination and occur in the sonorant + obstruent medial clusters but their rankings are different. Here,  

\[1\] G – Glide; L – Liquid; N – Nasal; GEMOBS – Geminate obstruent
constraint IDENTC/_V is a higher-ranked constraint since the prevocalic segment of the winning output is the same as the input.

**Table 9:** Representation of kir.ton > kit.ton in the optimality theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>kir.ton</th>
<th>AGREECC</th>
<th>IDENT C/_V</th>
<th>*GG</th>
<th>*RR</th>
<th>*LL</th>
<th>*NN</th>
<th>*GEMOBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) kir.ton</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) *kit.ton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) kir.ron</td>
<td></td>
<td>*!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 demonstrates that the candidate with an obstruent gemination appears to be the optimal candidate because the faithful candidate violates the higher-ranked constraint AGREECC, and the candidate with a sonorant gemination violates another higher-ranked constraint *RR. This justifies the ranking of the constraints AGREECC, IDENTC/_V, *GG >> *RR >> *LL >> *NN above *GEMOBS.

Our concern is to demonstrate that when the SHB speakers encounter two sonorants as the elemental composition of the heterosyllabic clusters, the less sonorous sound gets priority over the more sonorous sound. Table 10 is set to analyze this phenomenon in the optimality theory framework.

**Table 10:** Representation of pur.ɳo > φun.nɔ in the optimality theory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pur.ɳo</th>
<th>AGREECC</th>
<th>IDENT C/_V</th>
<th>*GG</th>
<th>*RR</th>
<th>*LL</th>
<th>*NN</th>
<th>GEMOBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) pur.ɳo</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) *φun.nɔ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) φur.rɔ</td>
<td></td>
<td>*!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above table, it is evident that the surface form /φun.nɔ/ is evaluated as an optimal candidate as it satisfies all the higher-ranked constraints whereas the faithful candidate /pur.ɳo/ violates higher-ranked constraint AGREECC, and another surface form /φur.rɔ/ is ruled out by the higher-ranked constraints IDENT C/_V and *RR. So the constraints AGREECC, IDENTC/_V, *GG >> *RR >> *LL >> *NN outrank the constraints *NN, *GEMOBS.

However, the above-mentioned constraints are not adequate for the explanation of the derivation from the CV.CV or CV.CVC syllable structure into the geminate syllable CVC.CV or CVC.CVC. To establish constraints of this gemination, we need to take into account metrical stress in SHB. The prominent stress pattern of SHB is disyllabic where the first syllable attracts stress, and SHB speakers prefer heavy syllables to be considered as stressed for example /ˈhuk.na/ (ˈHL) (ˈCVC.CV) ‘thin’, /ˈgin.na/ (ˈHL)
In SHB, the CVC syllable is treated as a heavy syllable for its two moraic values. In the case of borrowed words consisting of CV.CV/CV.CVC structure, the first syllable is light. This leads to the transformation of the first syllable (stressed syllable to a heavy syllable) resulting into a CVC structure in SHB. This phenomenon necessitates the constraint stress by weight position (SWP), which eliminates the candidate that violates the principle that stressed syllable must be heavy. Alternatively, it can be said that this constraint assigns a violation if the stressed syllable is not heavy. Additional relevant constraints are MAX-IO, DEP-IO, and *GEM. MAX-IO assigns a violation if the sounds in the input do not have output correspondence. On the contrary, DEP-IO assigns a violation if the sounds in the output do not have input correspondence. The constraint *GEM disallows gemination.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gu.li</th>
<th>SWP</th>
<th>MAX-IO</th>
<th>DEP-IO</th>
<th>*GEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) gu.li</td>
<td>!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) ➞ gul.li</td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>!</td>
<td>!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) gul</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in Table 11, the first surface form cannot be considered an optimal candidate due to its violation of a higher-ranked constraint SWP. The third surface form with the deletion of the final syllable of the input assigns a violation of the higher-ranked constraint MAX-IO. In the optimal surface candidate that is /gul.li/ violation of lower-ranked constraints occurs at the expense of satisfying the higher-ranked constraints SWP and MAX-IO. Henceforth, the constraint ranking required for this phenomenon is SWP, MAX-IO>>DEP-IO, *GEM.

5 Conclusion

This paper demonstrated how systematically SHB speakers adopt loanwords by modifying them into gemination. Preferring obstruents over sonorants, the SHB gemination process corroborates the universal view that sonorants are marked geminates. Because the sonorant segment creates less constriction duration with the singleton in the spectrum, the insufficient distinction causes misperception in the speakers’ minds driving them to avoid geminate sonorants. However, an interesting observation is that when the input consists of two sonorants, SHB speakers prefer the less sonorous one, which proves that sonorant gemination is not completely absent from SHB. Henceforth, in SHB the most geminable candidates are obstruents, followed by nasals and laterals, while glides and rhotics are not geminable.
As far as the ranking of constraints within OT is concerned, it is noted that in the case of consonant clusters of different sonority, the order is AGREECC, SYLCONT >> *GG >> *RR >> *LL >> *NN >> IDENT C / _V, GEMOBS. In respect to consonant clusters of equal sonority, the order is AGREECC, IDENT C/_V >> *GG >> *RR >> *LL >> *NN >> *GEMOBS. Besides, it is also observed in SHB when the cluster consists of a sonorant + sonorant sequence, the nasal sound retains its position. The ranking of constraints for such variation is AGREECC, SYLCONT, IDENTC/_V >> *GG >> *RR >> *LL >> *NN. In respect to the gemination process where input forms of the CV.CVC or CVC.CV syllable structures are transferred into surface forms of a CVC.CVC or CVC.CV, the ranking of constraints is SWP, MAX-IO >> DEP-IO, *GEM.
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Abstract

The present study has been carried out to investigate the perturbation effect of the voicing of initial stops on the fundamental frequency (F0) of the following vowels in Pahari. Results show that F0 values are significantly higher following voiceless unaspirated stops than voiced stops. F0 contours indicate an initially falling pattern for vowel [a:] after voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops. A rising pattern after voiced stops and a falling pattern after voiceless unaspirated stops is observed after [i:] and [u:]. These results match Umeda (1981) who found that F0 of a vowel following voiceless stops starts high and drops sharply, but when the vowel follows a voiced stop, F0 starts at a relatively low frequency followed by a gradual rise. The present data show no statistically significant difference between the F0 values of vowels with different places of articulation. Place of articulation is thus the least influencing factor.

Keywords: Pahari; perturbation; fundamental frequency; voicing; place of articulation

Povzetek

V študiji smo v paharščini raziskovali učinek zvočne premene (perturbacije) na osnovno frekvenco samoglasnika, ki se pojavi zaradi prisotnosti zvenečega zapornika pred samoglasnikom. Rezultati kažejo, da so vrednosti F0 na samoglasnikih bistveno višje po nezvenečih nepridihnjenih zapornikih v primerjavi z njihovimi nezvenečimi zapornikami pari. Potek F0 v primeru samoglasnika [a:] izkazuje prvotno padajoč vzorec po zvenečih in nezvenečih nepridihnjenih zapornikih. V primeru samoglasnikov [i:] in [u:] opažamo naraščajoči vzorec po zvenečih zapornikih ter padajoči vzorec po nezvenečih nepridihnjenih zapornikih. Rezultati se ujemajo z Umeda (1981), ki pravi, da se F0 samoglasnika po nezvenečih zapornikih začne visoko in močno pade, ko pa samoglasnik sledi zvenečemu zaporniku, pa ima F0 razmeroma nizko vrednost, čemur sledi postopni dvig. Toda točni podatki ne kažejo statistično pomembnih razlik med vrednostmi F0 na samoglasnikih v primeru različnih zapornikov glede na mesto artikulacije. Iz teh rezultatov zaključujemo, da mesto artikulacije predhodnega zapornika najmanj vpliva na F0 samoglasnika.

Ključne besede: paharščina; zvočna premena (perturbacija); osnovna frekvenca; zvenečnost; mesto artikulacije
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background of the study

Consonantal perturbation of the fundamental frequency is an important phenomenon in the field of linguistics. It is worth investigating as it provides the basis for the theories of tonogenesis, the chronological development of tones in a language. F0 rising or falling is posited to contribute to the development of contrastive tones owing to the voicing distinction of consonants at the initial position (Chavez-Peon, 2005). In tonal languages, the same linguistic segment may convey different meanings if uttered with different tones. F0 contrast at the stop release also serves as the cue for the perception of stop laryngeal features (Whalen, Abramson, Lisker & Mody, 1993). When other cues are ambiguous, F0 serves for signaling the voicing distinction at the prevocalic position (Hanson, 2009; Kirby & Ladd, 2015).

It is well recognized that in many languages, initial consonants characteristically perturbate the onset F0 of the vowels (Mirza, 1990). Li (1980) argues that voicing of consonants in the prevocalic position perturbates the F0 of the vowels. F0 at the onset of the vowel is associated with the phonological features of initial stops (Francis, Ciocca, Wong & Chan, 2006).

1.2 Historical background of the Pahari language

Pahari is a term used for a string of various dialects spoken in different regions including the great Himalayas and Nepal (Shakil, 2004). It is the mother tongue of the millions of mountain-dwelling people. Shakil (2004) claims Pahari is one of the ancient languages of central and South Asia. The languages of the sub-continent belong to Indo European group of languages. According to Masica (1991), Indo Aryan family is the sub-branch of Indo-European languages. Nigram (1972) divides Indo-Aryan languages between the eastern group and the central northern group. Pahari belongs to the central northern group of Indo Aryan family. Pahari language is spoken almost in the entire Azad Jammu and Kashmir (AJ&K). It is the mother tongue of most of the Kashmiri people.

1.3 Pahari stops and vowels

According to Khan (2011), there are twelve oral stops with four places of articulation in Pahari. These places are bilabial, dental, alveolar, and velar. Pahari stops exhibit a three-way laryngeal contrast as voicing, voiceless aspirated, and voiceless unaspirated. Voiced stops are /b, d̪, d, g/, voiceless unaspirated stops are /p, t, t̪, k/ and voiceless aspirated stops are /pʰ, tʰ, t̪ʰ, kʰ/. There are twelve oral vowels in Pahari. Among them, six are long vowels [a:, æ:, u:, i:, o:, e:] and six are short vowels [i, e, æ, ə, o, u]. The present study deals with three long vowels [a:, i:, u:] taken from three dimensions;
central, front, and back respectively. According to Khan (2014), [a:] is a central, mid, long unrounded vowel; [i:] is a high, front, long, unrounded vowel; and [u:] is a high, back, long, rounded vowel.

2 Literature review

2.1 Fundamental frequency of vowels

Fundamental frequency perceived by the human ear as the pitch is one of the certain phonetic features associated with vowels. According to Xu and Xu (2003b), it serves as a chief speech variable that provides linguistic information and performs a vital role in discourse. Pommerening and Volkner (n.d) state that by changing the fundamental frequency, speakers convey significant linguistic and paralinguistic information to the listener.

2.2 Stops and voicing

Stops are the most important category of consonants. Schiefer (1986) states that in the majority of languages, the stop category can easily and more accurately be analyzed in contrast with all the other consonants. It is because of some laryngeal features associated with stops i.e. voicing, breathiness, and aspiration, etc. Stops are the only category that includes all these features so it is termed as a universal category. Some languages have a three-way laryngeal contrast as aspiration, voicing, and breathiness; on the other hand, some have a four-way contrast as in most of the Indo Aryan languages (Dutta, 2007). According to Khan (2012), Pahari stops exhibit a three-way laryngeal contrast as voicing, voiceless aspirated, and voiceless unaspirated.

Voicing is an important phonological feature of stops that makes two categories of stops: voiced and voiceless. According to Chen (2011), the main consonantal distinction lies in voicing which has a close association with the F0 perturbation. Carne (2008) states that voicing distinction at initial consonants results in intrinsic perturbations in the F0 of the following vowel. There is a great influence of voicing on the acoustical characteristics including the fundamental frequency of the vowels (House & Fairbanks, 1953).

2.3 Stop voicing and F0 perturbation of vowels

In most languages when vowels are preceded by consonants, the fundamental frequency of the vowels is affected by the voicing of consonants (Lofqvist, 1975). According to Hanson (2009), the initial few tens of milliseconds are considered to be influenced by the voicing properties of the preceding consonants. In some of the
languages, the effect extends further even near to the end of the vowel length but this is less frequent. However, it is agreed that F0 of a vowel at onset is significantly higher when it follows voiceless consonants and lower when it follows voiced ones.

As the F0 movement along the vowel contour is concerned, Wong and Xu (2007, p. 1293) claim that there are two opposite views. One view is ‘rise-fall dichotomy’ and the other is ‘no-rise view’. The first view suggests that F0 is lowered after voiceless consonants and raised from a lower onset after voiced ones. According to Silverman (1986), the association of the consonant voicing and the manner of F0 movement is called ‘rise-fall dichotomy’. The ‘no-rise view’ suggests that F0 is lowered after all stops.

Stop voicing and F0 perturbation has been found in the majority of the languages and has been documented widely. Major studies show that voiced stops lower whereas voiceless stops raise the F0 of the vowels. A general trend of the high F0 after voiceless stops and lower F0 after voiced stops was observed by Shimizu (1989) in five Asian languages (Japanese, Korean, Burmese, Thai, and Hindi).

F0 perturbation has been observed more at the beginning part of the vowel that lessens along the vowel length. Evidence from House and Fairbanks (1953) on the association of stops with the following vowel F0 shows that average F0 was lower after a voiced consonant and higher after the voiceless consonants and it was also observed that this difference in F0 occurred at the onset of voicing instead of occurring throughout the vowel. The greatest effect was also observed by Carne (2008) at the onset that diminished across the duration of a vowel.

3 Methodology

3.1 Research design

The study is purely quantitative. The speakers were provided with a word list prepared by the researcher. Quantitative analysis includes the acoustic measurements of the F0 using Praat prosody pro 5.3.2. Data were spread on Microsoft excel to obtain the required values and to present the results in the form of tables and figures. Different statistical procedures and tests were applied on SPSS to check the significance of the results.

3.2 Participants

Six adult native speakers (three males, three females) of Pahari with ages ranging from 20-50 years were selected randomly. Their education level was between intermediate and masters. All the participants were born and in raised district Bagh and are permanent residents of this area. None of the participants reported any account of
language impairments or any ailment that would have affected his speaking during recordings. They had normal voices and normal communicative ability.

3.3 Stimuli

A list of 36 monosyllabic words in the CVC context was prepared that contained Pahari stops at the initial position. Each stop was followed by vowels [a:], [i:] and [u:] leading to twelve different combinations for the single vowel. These vowels are the edge vowels that show most of the characteristics acquired by the vowel segments. They are taken from three positions; front, center, and back. Real words were chosen despite this constraint, there were five gaps in the stimuli.

3.4 Data collection procedure

The selected words were recorded on Praat with a frequency of 44100 Hz. A silent room was chosen to record the language samples in a neutral pitch. A high-quality Shure SM10A-CN low impedance microphone was also employed to facilitate the recordings and to avoid background noise. The participants were asked to utter each word three times with a pause after each utterance. The repetitions of each speaker were saved as wave files on Praat.

The recorded sounds were edited on Praat prosody pro 5.3.2. The waveforms of each recorded word were segmented by marking boundaries manually across the target vowels. This procedure involved the identification of the onset of the vowel portion by the beginning of voicing after the burst of the stop. The boundaries were determined by repeatedly listening to the recordings and by the continuous inspection of the waveform. Each repetition of all the participants was labeled separately and then all were assembled. The speech analyzing software automatically located the required values of F0 by identifying the mean F0 and mean-norm F0. These values were taken in Hertz (Hz) and the corresponding time locations were recorded in milliseconds (ms) when documented on Microsoft Excel.

Segmented portions were measured by employing the programmed Praat scripts. Fundamental frequency values were measured at the onset after the release of the stop and along with twelve intervals of the tonal contour via autocorrelation function of Praat. The required data were spread on Microsoft Excel to take the mean F0 and mean-norm F0 values. Onset F0 was taken just at the beginning of the voicing of the vowel after the burst of the stops. The data were presented in tabular form and the results were highlighted in the form of figures by employing MS Excel.
3.5 Data analysis procedure

After taking the F0 values on Microsoft excel, SPSS was used for statistical analyses. Consonant was the independent variable and F0 was the dependent variable. For statistical analysis, the data were gone through the independent t-test and one-way ANOVA. After obtaining all the assumed values, each research question was answered. Stop voicing effect was measured by comparing voiced stops with the voiceless counterparts. For F0 contours, each vowel was analyzed separately in the context of the twelve stops. It was also checked whether the place of articulation for stops, such as bilabials, dentals, alveolars, and velars had any difference in the F0 on the following vowel.

4 Results

Perturbation by the voicing of initial stops on the F0 of the following vowels was analyzed by taking the onset F0, mean F0, and F0 contours within the first 100ms.

4.1 Onset F0

Onset F0 values of [a:, i:, u:] preceded by voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops were measured to find the maximum effect of preceding stops on vowel F0. It was suggested by House and Fairbanks (1953) that the greatest effect of preceding consonant occurs at the onset of voicing that decreases along with the vowel. Following results were obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stops</th>
<th>Onset F0 [a:]</th>
<th>Onset F0 [i:]</th>
<th>Onset F0 [u:]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>164.09</td>
<td>173.728</td>
<td>162.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>150.14</td>
<td>154.588</td>
<td>154.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t̪</td>
<td>167.469</td>
<td>180.197</td>
<td>176.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d̪</td>
<td>156.43</td>
<td>157.503</td>
<td>156.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ṱ</td>
<td>169.479</td>
<td>166.923</td>
<td>171.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ḓ</td>
<td>155.779</td>
<td>160.26</td>
<td>163.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>167.783</td>
<td>178.415</td>
<td>178.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>154.137</td>
<td>154.414</td>
<td>154.893</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stop Voicing and F0 Perturbation in Pahari

If the onset F0 of the three vowels following voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops are compared, it is found that the F0 values of [a:] following voiceless unaspirated stops range from 160 to 170 Hz, whereas that of [i:] range from 165 to 180 Hz and that of [u:] are between 162-178 Hz. It is also found that the onset F0 of [a:] following voiced stops range from 150-160 Hz, onset F0 of [i:] are in the range of 154-160 Hz, and that of [u:] range from 155-163 Hz. This shows that there is no big difference in the onset F0 of the three vowels preceded by voiced stops. On the other hand in an environment of voiceless unaspirated stops, F0 values of [i:] are the highest, and that of [a:] are the lowest. This shows that vowels' intrinsic pitch plays a role in the context of voiceless unaspirated stops. As it is not the focus of the study, further investigation is left for future studies.

4.2 Mean F0

Mean F0 of the vowels was analyzed to find F0 differences preceded by voiced and voiceless stops. The following results were obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stops</th>
<th>Mean F0 [a:]</th>
<th>Mean F0 [i:]</th>
<th>Mean F0 [u:]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>161.704</td>
<td>172.954</td>
<td>180.492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>156.355</td>
<td>168.183</td>
<td>174.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʈ</td>
<td>164.774</td>
<td>178.527</td>
<td>181.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɖ</td>
<td>159.338</td>
<td>165.929</td>
<td>169.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ʈ̪</td>
<td>167.854</td>
<td>173.495</td>
<td>177.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɖ̪</td>
<td>157.635</td>
<td>168.237</td>
<td>174.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>164.781</td>
<td>179.341</td>
<td>180.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>156.835</td>
<td>167.067</td>
<td>171.283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3 F0 contours of vowels following voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops

F0 contours of vowels are also shaped by the voicing effect of the previous stops. These contours were marked by taking F0 values for each vowel over the first twelve-time intervals from the onset. According to Mirza (1990), these twelve periods constitute approximately 100ms that is considered as an adequate time to exhibit any change in the F0 of vowels by preceding stops. Mohr (1971) claims that the influence of the preceding consonant on F0 is limited to the early portion of the vowel and does not run across the entire vowel length. It has also been found by Umeda (1981) that the effect of the preceding stop continues for 100ms on the F0 of the following vowel. Moreover, in tonal languages, F0 perturbation sustains for a shorter duration as compared to nontonal languages. The effect of all the stops on the F0 track of each vowel was marked and the following patterns were found:
4.3.1  F0 contours of [a:]

F0 path of [a:] within twelve intervals of time following /p/ and /b/ depicted in Figure 3 shows that /p/ raises the fundamental frequency to 164 Hz that is much higher than that of /b/ (150 Hz). When examined in the vowel duration F0 of /pa:/ sharply falls to 158 Hz during the initial five-time intervals and rises again gradually to 166 Hz in the next intervals. F0 of /ba:/ slightly lowers to 148 Hz and then gradually rises to 166 Hz. The figure indicates that there is a sharp fall of 6 Hz from a raised onset in the
environment of voiceless unaspirated stop and a narrower fall of 2 Hz from a lowered onset in case of voiced stops when data from all participants are included.

Similarly, F0 contour after /t/ also shows a steep fall of 6 Hz from a higher onset level and rises again to 169 Hz. Conversely the onset F0 of [a:] following /d/ slightly falls from a lower onset for about 1 hertz and then rises to 167 Hz. Here the fall after the voiceless stop is steeper again. F0 contours of the vowel [a:] following /t/ and /d/ show that F0 after /d/ falls sharply for 6 Hz from a raised onset before rising again. On the other hand, F0 after /d/ is 156 Hz that is lower than that of /ta:/ and after a slight fall of 1 Hz, it rises gradually to 163 Hz. F0 trajectory of /ka:/ versus /ɡ/ shows a similar fall rise pattern. After /k/, there is a fall of 7 Hz from a raised onset and after /ɡ/, there is a fall for 3 Hz from a lower onset.

4.3.2  F0 contours of [i:]

In Figure 3, F0 contours of [i:] following voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops are also represented. These contours show that F0 of /pi:/ falls for 4 Hz during the first five-time intervals. F0 of /bi:/ starts from 154 Hz that abruptly rises to indicate a straight contour up to 177 Hz. Here voiced stops generate a gradual rising pattern. Likewise, the F0 path of Hz) to 174 Hz having a fall of 6 Hz and rises to 184 Hz. Conversely, instead of a fall rise pattern, /d/ continuously raises the F0 track of the following [i:] that starts from 157 Hz to 173 Hz. It is demonstrated by the F0 contours of [i:] following /t/ and /d/ that F0 of the vowel following /t/ is higher (166 Hz) that raises gradually up to 176 Hz. Likewise, F0 after voiced /d/ is 160 Hz that is lower than that of /t/. It also rises gradually to 176 Hz. F0 contours after /t, d/ are somewhat different from the previous contours. These contours rise after both the stops although F0 is lower for voiced than after the voiceless stop. On the other hand, the F0 contour of /ki:/ shows a fall rise pattern (a fall of 4 Hz from 178 to 174 Hz) whereas that of /ɡi:/ shows a continuous rise from 154 Hz to176 Hz.

Data from all the participants show that there is a steep fall of F0 track from a raised onset in case of voiceless unaspirated stops (except for /t/) and a gradual rise from a lower onset in case of all voiced stops.

4.3.3  F0 contours of [u:]

Figure 3 also presents the F0 contours of [u:] following voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops. It is clear that F0 of /pu:/ gradually rises from 162 Hz to 188 Hz and that of /bu:/ also rises continually from 154 Hz to187 Hz. Both the contours show a rising pattern; from a lower onset in case of voiced stop and from a higher onset in case of a voiceless stop. The perturbation of the F0 by /t/ and /d/ indicate that the F0 path following /t/ slightly lowers for about 1 Hz and then rises. Contrarily, /d/ constantly raises the F0 track of [u:] from 156 Hz to 179 Hz. F0 contours of [u:], following /t/ and /d/ display
that F0 path of /tu:/ falls initially for 2 Hz. F0 after voiced /d/ is 163 Hz that rises gradually. F0 course of /ku:/ shows a fall rise pattern in which it shows a fall for 5 Hz from 178 Hz to 173 Hz, whereas that of /g/ shows a continuous rise from 154 Hz to 181 Hz.

4.4 Statistical analysis and conclusions

To check the significance of the hypothesis, the data were statistically analyzed on SPSS. For this purpose paired sample t-test was applied to examine the difference between the F0 differences after voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops. Two stops in each pair were similar in all features except voicing. F0 of vowels obtained at different intervals were undergone the statistical analysis. Table 3 describes the statistical analysis based on t-values and p-values of the obtained data.

Correlation coefficient and mean difference were also analyzed to check the resemblance between F0 values of vowels preceded by the minimal pairs of stops. In assimilating the F0 where the significance between voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops is less than or equal to 0.05, it is considered that the F0 differences are significant. In some cases where the significance is greater than 0.05, the results show that stops have no significant effect on the F0 of the vowel. Pair sample t-test presents the following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean F0</th>
<th>std. d</th>
<th>pairs</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/a:/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>161.173</td>
<td>2.857</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>154.633</td>
<td>6.162</td>
<td>pa: -ba:</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>6.540</td>
<td>4.671</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t̪</td>
<td>164.423</td>
<td>2.967</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d̪</td>
<td>158.351</td>
<td>4.439</td>
<td>ťa: -- ɖa:</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>6.072</td>
<td>7.259</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t̪</td>
<td>167.153</td>
<td>3.771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d̪</td>
<td>156.777</td>
<td>3.140</td>
<td>ťa:--ɖa:</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>10.376</td>
<td>22.976</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k̪</td>
<td>164.281</td>
<td>3.477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g̪</td>
<td>155.812</td>
<td>4.176</td>
<td>ka:--ga:</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>8.469</td>
<td>10.706</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>/i:/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>172.578</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>166.255</td>
<td>2.470</td>
<td>pa: -ba:</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>6.323</td>
<td>3.175</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t̪</td>
<td>164.632</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d̪</td>
<td>164.632</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>ťa: -- ɖa:</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>13.347</td>
<td>10.072</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean F0</td>
<td>std. d</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>Mean difference</td>
<td>t-value</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>172.015</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>textStatus</td>
<td>5.117</td>
<td>4.960</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>156.777</td>
<td>3.140</td>
<td>textStatus</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>4.960</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>166.898</td>
<td>1.887</td>
<td>textStatus</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>4.960</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>165.096</td>
<td>2.373</td>
<td>textStatus</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>13.440</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rows in front of [a:] show the significance of the obtained results in a voiced voiceless context. The first row of Table 3 reveals that /pa:/ and /ba:/ are less correlated as evident from their correlation coefficient of 0.753. It means that vowel [a:] following this pair of stops differs in F0 frequencies. There is a maximum difference (6.540) in the mean of the pair. The significant difference is depicted by (t=4.671) and (p<0.05). Similarly, /t̪/ and /d̪/ have greater mean difference (6.072) and significant (t=7.25 and p< 0.05). Comparing /ʈa:/ and /ɖa:/ on similar lines depicts that this pair of consonants has less correlation and less mean difference but there is a significant difference between their F0 as shown by (t=4.960) and (p<0.05). The case with /ka:/ and /ɡa:/ is pretty similar as evident from (t=10.706) and (p<0.05). This pair has a highly significant difference in the F0 values.

The second group of rows depicts the statistical analysis F0 of [i:] on similar grounds. /pi:/ and /bi:/ are less correlated as their correlation coefficient (0.659) shows. There is a difference in their mean (6.323) as well. The significant difference is represented by (t=3.175) and (p<0.05). A similar comparison of /t̪i:/ and /d̪i:/ depicts that this minimal pair has a significant difference between the F0 as shown by its (t=4.960) and (p<0.05). Pair /ʈi:/ and /ɖi:/ also have a greater mean difference (5.117). Its t-value (4.960) and (p< 0.05) also illustrate the significant difference. Corresponding is the case of /ki:/ and /ɡi:/ as apparent from (t=9.079) and (p<0.05). This pair is less correlated as its correlation coefficient (0.844) shows. The mean difference (13.440) is also great.

The third group of rows reveals that minimal pair /pu:/ and /bu:/ also has less correlation (0.987). There is a maximum difference of 4.895 in their mean. This pair has
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Likewise /t̪u:/ and /d̪u:/ have large mean difference 13.441, less correlation coefficient 0.977, and significant (t=12.833) and (p < 0.05). There is also a significant difference between the F0 of /t̪u:/ and /d̪u:/ as shown by its (t=3.016) and (p<0.05). /ku/ versus /ɡu:/ difference is also significant as depicted by t=5.969 and p<0.05. The statistical analysis confirms that there is a significant difference between the F0 of vowels preceded by voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops.

Besides influencing the onset F0, preceding stops influence shaping the entire F0 contours of the vowels. The close examination of the F0 track of vowel [a:] shows that the F0 falls during the first five intervals and rises again during the next intervals after all stops. It is also observed that there is a steep from raised onset level after voiceless unaspirated stops /p, t̪, t, k/ and a shallower fall from the lowered onset after voiced stops /b, d̪, ɡ, ɖ/. A very similar F0 pattern of vowels having an initially falling and then rising pattern after voiceless consonants were observed by Lea (1973).

Literature reveals two views about stop voicing and F0 perturbation; ‘rise-fall dichotomy’ and ‘no-rise view’ (Wong & Xu, 2007, p. 1293). F0 contours of [a:] align with ‘no-rise view’. This view states that F0 declines, after all, stops including voiced and voiceless stops. F0 contours of [a:] show the same pattern of F0 falling after both types of stops. Ohde (1984) also found ‘no-rise view’ as the F0 was falling in almost all the contexts. After voicing onset a considerable fall was observed for both voiced and voiceless stops and he also added that F0 after voiced stops was slightly falling which is exactly explicated by the F0 paths of [a:] after voiced stops.

For paths of vowel /iː/ after voiceless unaspirated stops /p, t̪, k/ show a similar pattern as that of [a:]. These stops raise the onset fundamental frequency of [iː] to a higher level which abruptly shows a steep fall and rises again after the first five intervals. Korean too shows an abrupt fall after voiceless tense stops (Shimizu, 1989). However, the F0 path after /t̪/ shows dissimilarity in the current study as it shows a continuous rising pattern. It also falsifies the ‘no-rise view’ that F0 falls after both types of stops. On the other hand, F0 after voiced stops /b, d̪, ɡ, ɖ/ shows a continuous rising pattern from a lower onset. F0 contours of [iː] after voiced stops are in great alignment with the general rising trend found by other studies. Except for /t̪/ all stops confirm the ‘rise-fall dichotomy’. Quite similar results were found by Umeda (1981, p. 350) who found that F0 of a vowel following voiceless stops starts high and drops sharply, but when the vowel follows a voiced stop, F0 starts at a relatively low frequency followed by a gradual rise.

F0 contours of [uː] following voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops are also comparable with that of the vowel [iː] having an exception of /p/ that gradually raises the F0 instead of lowering. It shows a negation of ‘rise-fall dichotomy’. All the other voiceless unaspirated stops show the falling pattern from the raised onset. It is seen that except for /k/, the fall is not much steeper. There is a slight lowering of the F0 and then a rising pattern is observed. /k/ causes a bit steep fall. On the other hand, all the
voiced stops generate a continuous rising pattern of F0 from a lowered onset. According to Shimizu (1989) in Japanese and Hindi, F0 curves after voiced stops also show a continuous rising pattern.

F0 contours of [u:] following voiced and voiceless unaspirated stops are also comparable with that of the vowel [i:] having an exception of /p/ that gradually raises the F0 instead of lowering. It shows a negation of ‘rise-fall dichotomy’. All the other voiceless unaspirated stops show the falling pattern from the raised onset. It is seen that except for /k/, the fall is not much steeper. There is a slight lowering of the F0 and then a rising pattern is observed. /k/ causes a bit steep fall. On the other hand, all the voiced stops generate a continuous rising pattern of F0 from a lowered onset. According to Shimizu (1989) in Japanese and Hindi, F0 curves after voiced stops also show a continuous rising pattern.

To conclude, it may be said that voicing is a distinctive feature in Pahari and the results show that voicing of initial stops has a strong influence on the following vowel F0. There is a significant difference between the F0 values of three vowels following voiced and voiceless stops. F0 is raised by voiceless unaspirated stops and lowered by voiced stops at the onset. Moreover, the F0 contours of the vowels are also shaped by the influence of the preceding stop. F0 track of the vowel [a:] is lowered after both types of stops that align with ‘no-rise view’. On the other hand, F0 paths of [i:] and [u:] show a continuous rising after voiced stops and lowering after voiceless stops confirming the ‘rise-fall dichotomy’ with two surprising and even unpredicted results of /ti:/ and /pu:/ . These two F0 paths constantly rise instead of falling.

So, Pahari voiceless and voiced stops induce a high and low pitch on the following vowel respectively. This pitch distinction at the prevocalic position is attributed to change the tone of the vowel, hence the tone of the entire utterance. Tonal variation is considered to be one of the factors responsible for tonogenesis in a language. Pahari stops with voicing distinction have a strong tone inducing effect.
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Abstract
This study presents an Optimality-Theoretic analysis of Saraiki word stress. This study presents a first exploration of word stress in the framework of OT. Words in Saraiki are mostly short; secondary stress plays no role here. Saraiki stress is quantity-sensitive, so a distinction must be made between short and long vowels, and light and heavy syllables. A metrical foot can consist of one heavy syllable, two light syllables, or one light and one heavy syllable. The Foot structure starts from right to left in prosodic words. The foot is trochaic and the last consonant in Saraiki words is extra metrical. These generalizations are best captured by using metrical phonology first and Optimality constraints later on.
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1 Introduction

The analysis of stress remains a ‘hot debate’ in phonology. Stress refers to the phonetic prominence of one or more syllables in the prosodic word. One syllable in the prosodic domain of a word often seems more prominent than others, where phonetic prominence can be indicated by different phonetic cues: pitch, length, and loudness, or a combination of these. Cross-linguistic variation concerning stress makes it complicated to analyze: factors that play a role are, among others, the stress domain, syllable weight, the role of edges, and whether or not secondary stress occurs (see; Beckman (1986); Halle and Vergnaud (1987); Hayes (1982, 1995), among many others). In the past, such factors were analyzed by ‘parameter settings’ (Hayes, 1980), but this approach has largely been replaced by OT constraints taking over these functions.

Kager (1999) lists several cross-linguistic properties of word stress: (i) culminativity, i.e. words tend to have only a single peak, (ii) demarcativity, i.e. stress is usually located at a word margin, (iii) rhythmicity, i.e. stress usually alternates and (iv) quantity-sensitivity, which refers to the fact that in some languages a heavy syllable in a word (i.e. a syllable with a long vowel, or a closed syllable) attracts stress. In other, quantity-insensitive languages, weight is irrelevant for stress assignment. Quantity-insensitive stress can be further divided into two categories: either stress is fixed on some syllable at or near the edge or it is rhythmically assigned. Tryon (1970) provides an example from the Australian language Maranungku, which has a rhythmic stress pattern. In this language, primary stress is located on the first syllable and secondary stresses are assigned to odd-numbered syllables thereafter. In some cases, a final syllable is always stressless, for example in Pintupi (Hansen & Hansen, 1978).

A wide variety of stress systems are reported in the context of fixed stress systems and free stress systems. Turkish is one of the documented languages which have fixed primary stress at the final syllable of the word (Inkelas, 1999; Sezer, 1981). Likewise, Finnish places stress on the syllable in the initial position (Anttila, 1997), without taking into account the syllable weight and syllable structure. Hence such languages are insensitive towards quantity, keeping an edge-oriented stress system. However, there are also languages with weight edge-oriented stress systems, such as the Murik language (Kager, 2004). In the domain of free stress systems, languages carry stress on random positions within a word. In such languages, morphology might influence the prosodic structure, as, in the Pashto language (Shafeev, 1964). Saraiki appears to be an edge-oriented quantity-based stressed language. Not all details are known, and the influence of morphology has not yet been well analyzed. The phonetic cues of stress also do not appear to be quite the same (but some basic notions are given) as in a stress-timed language like English. The phonetic cues, pitch, duration, and intensity are considered as the basic notions of stress in English. Nevertheless, in Saraiki stress ‘pitch rise and rising intensity’ are the phonetic cues (Atta, van de Weijer, and Zhu, Accepted). Thus, this article should be seen as the first step towards an analysis of the Saraiki stress,
phonologically. Other studies in the literature are related to different aspects of Saraiki language (see Atta, 2019; Shackle, 1976). Saraiki belongs to the family of Indo-Aryan family and this study is limited to the variety of the Saraiki, viz. central Saraiki, spoken in Pakistan. The OT constraints we will use will be discussed in the following sections.

This article is arranged as follows: in the next section, a brief introduction to Saraiki syllable structure is given. This will be elaborated here with a specific view of the function of syllable structure for stress assignment. The next part covers the analysis of Saraiki word stress within the OT framework. The last section concludes it.

2 Syllable structure and the status of moras in Saraiki

The role of syllable structure and syllabification is fundamental in shaping the stress system of quantity-sensitive languages. Saraiki is rich in syllable structure; the following are the possible syllable structures in Saraiki:

(1) V/VV /ɑ/ ‘come’ /əɑ/ ‘come in’
CV/CVV /tū/ ‘you’ /piu/ ‘father’
VC/VVC /utɔ/ ‘camel’ /əoɔʰ/ ‘difficulty’
CVC /beh/ ‘sit’ /kʰas/ ‘snatch’
CCVC /ɡrʊk/ ‘run’ /tɾut/ ‘break’
CVCC /limb/ ‘plaster’ /pʌndʰ/ ‘distance’
CCV /kʰʰɾi/ ‘stop’ /kɾi/ ‘will do’
VCC /æm/ ‘mango’ /uns/ ‘love’
CCVCC /ɡɾaxɾ/ ‘tree’ /ɡɾusɾ/ ‘right’

Saraiki prohibits ‘CCC’ in initial and final position and structures of ‘VVCC’ or ‘CCVV’ are not permitted. What is crucial is that Saraiki has a phonemic contrast between long and short vowels. In the examples below long vowels are indicated by length mark (::{ }) while short vowels are given without this length mark. The following examples illustrate this:

(2) piːɾ ‘pain’ piɾ ‘saint’
ţuːl ‘long’ ţol ‘determined’
mal ‘goods’ mal ‘dirt’
Though, the quality difference (tense/lax)\(^1\) is used in English to represent the (phonetic) contrast of long and short vowels without any length mark and in Saraiki, the peripheral vowels are longer than the central vowels (Shackle, 1976). However, in Saraiki the vowels are differentiated based on quantity (long/short) with length marks. So, long vowels in Saraiki have two morae and short vowels have one mora. Likewise, the distinction between short and long vowels is commonly made in terms of mora in metrical phonology: short vowels have one more, long vowels have two (Hayes, 1995):

\[
\text{(3)} \quad \sigma \\
\mu \mu \\
C \quad V \\
\text{C V:}
\]

Finally, in most languages closed syllables count as equally heavy as syllables with long vowels. In terms of mora, both are therefore represented with two morae:

\[
\text{(4)} \quad \sigma \\
\mu \mu \\
\mu \mu \\
C \quad V \quad C \\
\text{C V:}
\]

Hence, Saraiki has a potential weight contrast between light and heavy. Quantity here refers to either the weight or the length of the syllable. In metrical phonology, the moraic theory (Hayes, 1982) is widely used to assign a weight to the syllable as it is a crucial element in stress assignment in many quantity-sensitive languages. This theory suggests that in syllable structure, the onset does not carry weight while the nucleus always does and the coda might. In this way, syllables are distinguished between light and heavy (McCarthy, 1986). As suggested by McCarthy, open syllables with a short vowel are always considered as light (i.e. have one mora), whereas closed syllables may be heavy or light subject depending on the language: in some languages these count as heavy (two morae), in other languages they count as light (one mora). Languages in which they are heavy are said to have “weight by position”.

\(^1\) The vowel distinction is normally called as long and short in British English, however, in North America Tense and Lax are common. In English long-short and tense-lax go together and in other languages, it might be independent.
In Saraiki, concerning syllable structure, it is of interest that \textit{no second syllable (which is usually also the final syllable) is without an onset}. Sometimes gemination occurs to satisfy this onset requirement, for instance, /ammā/ ‘mother’ and /abba/ ‘father’. Sometimes to satisfy the stress requirements gemination is noted too (see Shackle, 1976, p.27).

Since syllables in Saraiki are either open or closed in moraic representation, Saraiki can differentiate syllables in terms of their weight, based on the phonemic contrast between long and short vowels and syllable structure. A moraic representation to clarify the idea is illustrated here:

\[(5) \quad \sigma \quad \sigma \quad \sigma \quad \sigma \]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{Light} \\
\mu \\
C V \\
\text{Heavy} \\
\mu \mu \\
C V V \\
\text{H} \\
\mu \mu \\
C V C \\
\text{super H} \\
\mu \mu \mu \\
C V C C
\end{array}
\]

Thus, the above moraic representation suggests that a mono-moraic syllable is light (L), a bimoraic one is heavy (H). Standard German (Hall, 2002) distinguished three or more than three moraic syllables, which are known as super-heavy syllables.

Some elements do not take part in prosodic structure, therefore, such prosodic units are considered as extra metrical in the initial or final position of the prosodic word. The concept of extrametricality was first introduced by Liberman and Prince (1977) and comprehensively elaborated by Hayes (1995) later on;

a) Elements like a syllable, foot, and the segment can be extra metrical.

b) Extrametricality occurs on the right or left edge of a word.

c) The right edge is unmarked for extrametricality.

Though these rules apply in many languages such as English (Hayes, 1982), Arabic (McCarthy, 1979), etc., questions may be raised in some situations. For instance, in quantity-sensitive language, in the CV.CVC structures the last C may be extrametrical but in the CV.CVV the last V (or the mora of a vowel) may not be, although the weight of both syllables is equal. If the right edge is unmarked for extrametricality, then for a trochaic language, a mora of a final VV might be extra metrical as it has no role in the prosodic structure. We are not trying to fix this issue here as this is beyond our scope of study and this requires further theoretical investigation. Moreover, in Saraiki, we do not have such final syllable structures to face the ambiguity. For the time being, we are following the existing practice of extrametricality. Thus, we need to be careful
about the role of extrametricality in different languages, and we will examine its role carefully in Saraiki.

Taking into consideration the observations of McCarthy (1979) for Arabic concerning syllable weight, we assume that in Saraiki all open syllables are light and closed syllables may be light or heavy. Whereas closed syllables with VV (long vowel or diphthong) or VCC are heavy. Generally, the moraic representations of words cited from Saraiki are presented below:

![Moraic Representation Diagram](image)

The last example requires some discussion as this contains three moras. According to Hayes (1980), a foot can contain maximally two moras. Here the point of interest is a super-heavy syllable in Saraiki. As moraic theory demands that only two moras can make a foot therefore, the last mora is considered as extrametrical. The above example also shows that in Saraiki, the right edge of the prosodic word is extrametrical.

Since biconsonantal clusters are absent in medial position (especially as coda but might be the onset of next syllable) of the word. Such clusters, when they occur in medial position are split between two syllables i.e., /kʰəʈ.ɑ̃/,[*kʰə.t[ɑ] ‘cot’ and /su.ʰəɾə̃/,[*su.ʰəɾ.rə̃] ‘moringa tree’. An interesting fact regarding syllable structure at medial position is that consonant cluster becomes the onset of the following syllable only if the preceding syllable has a long vowel (peripheral vowel) as the nucleus. Thus the division of consonant cluster at medial position suggests that extrametricality might play a role at the right edge. We will therefore assume that in Saraiki the last consonant in CVC and VCC is extrametrical (<C>). This means that in Saraiki we find only two types of syllables, light (L) and heavy (H), and their moraic representations look like this:
After having established the above syllable structure, we move on towards foot construction in the next section.

2.1 Foot construction and stress assignment in Saraiki

In prosodic structure, the foot is crucial for stress assignment. There are two main types of feet, trochees (strong weak) and iambs (weak strong), which are further divided into subtypes, as proposed by Hayes (1995). Here ‘L’ denotes a light syllable and ‘H’ stands for a heavy syllable.

Feet are represented by parentheses and the stress mark in the foot indicates its trochaic or iambic nature (Cohn & McCarthy, 1998; Selkirk, 1980). The hierarchy of prosodic categories is given as:

Generally, whether monosyllabic words are light or heavy, they are always stressed therefore, no need to list such words in Saraiki for stress assignment.
Now let us turn to disyllabic words. Data concerning stress is given in (10). Note that a trill sometimes occurs as a free variant of tap/flap and as a syllabic consonant after dental plosives (Atta, van de Weijer, and Zhu, 2020). Therefore, one can observe these three forms in the data below.

(10) a. disyllabic words with CV.CV

\`

\p a.\ s a ‘side’ (‘L L)
\p a.\ l a ‘cold’ (‘L L)
\p h a.\ l a ‘door’ (‘L L)
\k h a.\ l a ‘ford’ (‘L L)

b. Disyllabic words with VCCCV or VVCV or CVCCV

\`

\i n\d\a ‘his/her’ (‘H H)
\u t\h.\t\i ‘wake up’ (‘H H)
\u s.\t\r i ‘clever’ (‘H H)
\i t\l a ‘so much’ (‘H H)
\k h\a \t\r a ‘cot’ (‘H H)

From the inspection of the above data, we noted that the foot type of Saraiki is a moraic trochee. Moraic representations of examples from (10) are given in (11).

(11) (a) has two moras;

\`

(b) has three moras (2+1)

\`

(c) three moras (1+2)
As we expected, foot structure is quantity-sensitive in Saraiki since heavy syllables construct a foot by themselves. These final heavy syllables also lead us to fix the direction of feet construction: this process starts from the right edge of the prosodic word as it is obvious from the syllable structure of disyllabic words below:

Disyllabic words with CV.CV<C> or CVC.CV<C>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Stress Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.apps.‘loo &lt;r&gt;</td>
<td>‘explore’ L (‘H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ma.‘roo&lt;r&gt;</td>
<td>‘twist’ L (‘H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sak.‘roo&lt;r&gt;</td>
<td>‘crispy’ H (‘H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mør.‘døo&lt;r&gt;</td>
<td>‘dead’ H (‘H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uæ.‘loo&lt;r&gt;</td>
<td>‘waterspout’ L (‘H)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To summarize so far, the following characteristics of Saraiki stress have been discovered:

24. Saraiki is a quantity-sensitive language since heavy syllables cannot serve in the weak position of a stress foot.

25. In the case of two light syllables stress falls on the left (‘L L).

26. If the foot structure is (Schmidt), the heavy syllable will attract stress.

27. Syllables with schwa or light syllables never attract main stress and heavy syllables always do.

28. The foot is trochaic and feet are assigned from right to left.

If these considerations are correct, we predict that stress would fall on the medial syllable in trisyllabic words. Data for such words are given in (12), noting that there are far fewer examples of this than disyllabic words.

(12) Trisyllabic words with V.CV.CV or CV.CV.CCV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Stress Pattern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u.‘ba.i.løa</td>
<td>‘haste’ L (‘L L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>su.‘hø.ʒrɔ</td>
<td>‘moringa tree’ L (‘L L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bø.‘ha.ri</td>
<td>‘broom’ L (‘L L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We see that our prediction is borne out. In fact, concerning trisyllabic words, there are no counterexamples (e.g. with different syllable structures) in Saraiki.
Now let’s turn to the OT analysis of these examples.

3 OT analysis of Saraiki Stress

This section shows how the Saraiki stress system is captured in Optimality Theory. The above characteristics of Saraiki stress can be ‘translated’ into a metrical constraint ranking. Before an analysis of Saraiki stress, we would like to introduce some of the relevant constraints. For example, in languages in which stress is subject to weight sensitivity, the constraint WSP (weight to stress position) is high ranked. This constraint is defined as follows:

(14) WSP “heavy syllables are stressed”. (Prince & Smolensky, 1993)

Likewise, foot construction (whether based on syllables or moras) is an essential part of stress assignment. Feet typically consist of two units (see also above). This is captured by the constraint FOOT BINARITY (FT.BIN):

(15) FOOT BINARITY (FT.BIN) “feet must be binary at syllabic or moraic level” (σ σ) or (µ µ). (Broselow, 1992)

We saw that consonant extrametricality played a role in Saraiki stress. When a language has extrametrical units, it violates WBP and MAX-IOµ and satisfies *FINAL-C-µ and *3µ (only for VCC# and VVC# but not for VC#). All these constraints are defined as follows:

(16) *3µ “no three moras in one syllable” (Kager, 1999)  
   WBP “a coda consonant is moraic” (Hayes, 1989)  
   *FINAL-C-µ “the final mora is extrametrical” (Hayes, 1989)  
   MAX-IOµ “output must contain maximum input moras”

We start our analysis with monosyllabic words. Keeping in mind the basic elements of prosodic structure (µ) as given above, we assumed that the word limb ‘plaster’ has two moras. However, OT is free to consider other candidates (‘freedom of generation’),
e.g. with three moras (i.e. without extrametricality, or with two feet, or even without stress). Such candidates will fail because of other constraints, in particular *3µ and a general constraint that requires prosodic structure. The purpose to analyse monosyllabic words is to clarify the status of moraic feet in Saraiki.

(17) Input: /liµmbµ/  *3µ  FT. BIN  *FINAL-C-µ  MAX-IOµ  WBP
    a. (liµmbµ)  *!  *  *
    b. <liµmbµ>  *  *

The first candidate breaches the high ranked constraints and is thus excluded from winning. The second contender, although it has two violation marks, emerges as the winner. This suggests that these two constraints are ranked low in the prosodic constraint hierarchy of Saraiki. The high position of *3µ confines structures like -VVC and -VCC- to word-medial position as extrametricality only occurs at the right edge. The examples from Saraiki, /us.tri/ ‘clever’ and /əo.trə/ ‘poor’ reflect the position of *3µ constraint in the framework of OT.

(18) Input: /uµsµtriµ/  *3µ  FT. BIN
    a. (uµsµtriµ)  *!
    b. <uµsµtriµ>

Input /əµoməram/
    a. <əµoməram>
    b. (əµoməram)  *!

Let’s now analyze a disyllabic word with a simple CV.CV structure. Rhythmically, this simple structure has two possible outputs i.e. stress on the ultimate or the penultimate. Since the stress is on the left syllable, a left-headed foot must be involved. OT expresses this with a single constraint ‘FOOT-FORM trochee’:

(19) FOOT-FORM trochee  “foot must be left-headed”

Since we already argued that the constraint ‘FT BIN’ is high ranked so, the interaction of the two constraints FT.BIN and ‘FOOT-FORM trochee’ is illustrated as follows:
Let’s inspect why some applicants are defeated. Candidate (c) incurs a violation of the FT-FORM constraint, whereas the ‘a’ contender fatally violates FT-BIN. The ‘b’ candidate satisfies both these constraints and comes out as the winner. If we compare the two winners in the above two tableaux, a slight difference in the foot formation is noted, the winner in (18) (liµmµ<b>) obeys moraic foot binarity (a foot consists of two morae and have stress on the left mora) while the second one in (20) (＇p̩ɑµ.s̩ɑµ) obeys both foot binarity and moraic binarity. One strong reason in this regard is that there are no monosyllabic words with a single mora (i.e. a short vowel) in Saraiki. This follows from the analysis proposed so far. Since the prosodic words have a foot, and a foot is binary (either in terms of moras or of syllables), a monosyllabic word may have two moras. It then also follows that a word with a closed syllable (short vowel followed by a consonant), is bimoraic. This proves that Saraiki is a language that has “weight-by-position” (cf. above)

Let’s test our analysis so far on another category of disyllabic words that have structures like CVC.CVVC or CV.CVVC. These kinds of data are special as the analysis will help to look at different issues related to Saraiki stress. The first notable thing is the stress assignment on such words i.e. (H H) and (L H). Previously, we saw only one kind of words i.e. (L L), therefore no dispute is noted, our coming discussion will deal with words having other than (L L) structure. Examples for such structures and their moraic representation are given below:

(21) p̩ə.‘loo <Ç> ‘explore’ L (’H)
    ma.‘roo<Ç> ‘twist’ L (’H)
    sak.‘roo<Ç> ‘crispy’ H (’H)
    mər.‘d̪aa<Ç> ‘dead’ H (’H)

(22) Moraic representation
Recall the characteristics of Saraiki stress: it appeared to be quantity-sensitive which means heavy syllable will attract stress (the constraint WSP is ranked high). Hence, in case of an unequal weight (L H) for quantity sensitive languages, it is easy to predict stress assignment while in the case of equal weight (H H) of syllables a competition is noted. In the first tableau, the word ‘limb’ violates foot binarity so the last ‘C’ is considered as extrametrical to avoid this violation. It indicates that the last ‘C’ in CVVC’ and CVC is considered as extrametrical in Saraiki. This means that such structures violate WBP and MAX-IO-µ as given above in (18). Two characteristics quantity and trochaic stress, suggest the superiority of right edge alignments in ‘LH’ structures. The alignment constraint for the right edge in OT is ALL FT-R and the constraint PARSE SYL demands all syllables must be parsed into feet; these are given in (22). Let’s take a word with (LH) structure first for analysis:

$$\text{(23) \hspace{1cm}} \begin{array}{ll}
\text{ALL-FT-R} & \text{“all feet must be right aligned in prosodic word”} \\
\text{PARSE-SYL} & \text{“syllables must be parsed into feet”} \\
\end{array}$$

![Tableau](tableau.png)

The first candidate emerges as optimal and has violations of three low ranked constraints. This winner also suggests that it is only necessary for the foot to follow foot binarity either at the syllable or moraic level. The satisfaction of FT-FORM requires regenerating feet on the moraic level. As the extrametrical consonant is associated with the next syllable in Saraiki, it suggests the structure is something like a stressed to unstressed syllable. Therefore, the optimal winner means that binary feet are favored while leaving the remaining syllable unparsed. The second candidate though has three violation labels but is not a winner. Since none of the other candidates survives under this constraint ranking as they bear fatal violations. The constraint ranking so far is depicted as:

$$\text{(24) \hspace{1cm}} *3\mu, \text{FT-BIN, FT-FORM trochee, *FINAL-C-µ, ALL-FT-R, WSP, MAX-IO-µ, PARSE-SYL, WBP}$$

While taking this constraint ranking a word of structure [H H] is scrutinized. These kinds of words have five possible feet structures; (i) (‘H) (‘H), (ii) H(‘H) (iii) (H `H) (iv) (‘H)H, and (v) (‘H H), where the preferred structure is H(‘H) when the foot is
regenerated on moraic level, in Saraiki. Now the point of concern is to find out the
reasons, on what basis the rest of the structures are not favored? As discussed earlier,
Saraiki is trochaic so those structures which oppose it are categorically ruled out
in Saraiki as (H `H). Since the structure (`H ) (H) bears stresses clashes so language dislikes
it and (`H)H violates another constraint ALL-FT.R so dispossessed. The rest of the
candidates, H(`H), and (`H H) have no solid reasons for eviction, at surface level. The
stress assignment in quantity sensitive languages is subject to quantity and rhythmicity
(Kager, 2004). Though quantity is the main factor to attract stress in a quantity-
sensitive language in some situations rhythm comes into play as in case of (H H)
structures. Extrametricality in Saraiki is not limited to regulate foot structure only but
rather it helps to determine the rhythmic structure of prosodic words which has strong-
weak rhythmicity. To regulate such structures, OT introduced ‘RHTYPE-T (feet have
initial prominence)’ and RH-CONTOUR (a foot end on strong-weak contour at moraic
level) as constraints WSP is not enough to handle the situation. In reality, these
rhythmic constraints are related to the vowel quantity. WSP is only affected when ‘L’
syllable received stress in the presence of ‘H’ but a violation of WSP in ‘HH’ could not
help to select either one ‘H’ or the other in Saraiki. Thus, concerning the above data
stress is noted only on long vowels (never on short vowels) in Saraiki. All the examples
of structure ‘CVC.CVVC’ and ‘CV.CVVC’ have short vowel unstressed. So a constraint
‘*LONG-V unstressed’ dominates WSP. With the addition of this constraint we look at
the winner of next tableau:

(25) **LONG-V unstressed** “no short vowels stressed in the presence of long vowel”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>*3μ-</th>
<th>FT.BIN</th>
<th>FTFORM</th>
<th>*FINAL-</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>*LONG-</th>
<th>WSP</th>
<th>MAX-</th>
<th>PARSE-</th>
<th>WBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>trochee</td>
<td>C-μ</td>
<td>FT-</td>
<td>V-unstressed</td>
<td>IO-μ</td>
<td>SYL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>*sǝµkµ.(`roµµ)&lt;</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>(<code>sǝµkµ.</code>roµµ)&lt;</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>(`sǝµkµ).roµµ&lt;</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>(`ǝµkµ.roµµ)&lt;</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before fixing the label of the optimal winner, let’s analyze the defeated candidates
first. The second participant though has four violation tags and is rejected because of
the fatal violation of foot form which is not conforming to the language requirements.
The ‘c’ candidate is defeated at its first step by incurring the violation of foot direction.
Though the last candidate follows the basic prosodic structure of language it meets a
fatal violation. In Saraiki, stress is never assigned to a syllable with schwa or syllables
that have short vowels, in the presence of long vowels. It is also common in many
languages as in Dutch (van Oostendorp, 2012). Thus the ‘d’ candidate could not be the
winner. The first contender has three violation marks but is the winner. These are not
the minimal violations incurred by the first participant as compared to the violations of any other competitors but lack any fatal violation. A parallel look at candidates ‘a’ and ‘d’ presents the involvement of one constraint, based on which one is a winner and the other is not. This is ‘*LONG-Vunstressed’ which is responsible to evaluate the optimal winner in such syllable structures. Thus the role of WSP is confusing as suggested by Kager (2004), who suggested in (H H) foot WSP is violated either the stress falls on the first syllable or second. However, this concept is not clear in some situations: it is obvious, one foot can carry one stress, and automatically the violation of WSP occurred where the other syllable remained unstressed. It can be only possible if syllable foot binarity stands low in ranking in language. The matter of fact is this constraint is ranked high in Saraiki. Thus the motivational factor in Saraiki is not the WSP rather vowel quantity determines the stress in case of equal syllable weight. Thus we can get the final ranking hierarchy for disyllable words in Saraiki language as follows:

(26) \(*3µ,FT.BIN,FT-FORMtrochee,All-FT\rightarrow R,*FINAL-C-µ,\*LONG-V_{unstressed},\*WSP,\*MAX-IO-µ,\*PARSE-SYL,WBP\)

Since any constraint ranking represents the language as a whole, it should be equally applicable in all words of the language. Initially, we extend this to words with three syllables. As discussed earlier, the structure of three-syllable words is very simple and they are limited in number. These words are limited to CV.CV.CCV and CV.CV.CV (there is no counterexample at monomorphemic) and attract stress on the penult. Under the same constraint ranking a word from this category is given in the tableau below:

(27) Input:/ɓʊµ.ɦɑµ.ɾɪµ/ *3µ- FT.BIN FTFORM trochee All-FT→R *FINAL- C-µ *LONG-V_{unstressed} WSP MAX- IO-µ PARSE- SYL WBP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ɓʊµ (ɨɦɑɾɪµ)</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>ɓʊµ. (ɨɦɑµɾɪµ)</td>
<td>*!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>(ɓʊµ.ɨɦɑµ).ɾɪµ</td>
<td>*! *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>ɓʊµ. (ɨɦɑµ.ɨɾɪµ)</td>
<td>*!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The constraint ranking, for three-syllable words, appears to be appropriate like it was with the disyllable structures. The analysis looks as simple as the syllable structure itself is. Candidate ‘a’ appears as optimal as it has the minimum violations. The rest of the contenders bear fatal violations of high ranked constraints, and thus rejected from the winning.

To summarize the above analysis, we come up with the conclusion that Saraiki word prosody has the following constraint ranking and characteristics:
29. Saraiki is a trochaic and quantity sensitive language.
30. No short vowel is stressed in the presence of a long vowel.
31. The right edge of the prosodic word must coincide with the right edge of the grammatical word.
32. Words have only one foot: there is no secondary stress.

4 Conclusion

Saraiki word stress can be analyzed by using metrical phonology as well as in the context of OT in a straightforward way. The results of both theories i.e., metrical phonology and OT, lead to the conclusion that the language has a trochaic stress system and falls in the category of quantity-sensitive languages: feet are constructed based on moras. Consonant extrametricality functions at the right edge of the word. In case different syllables might bear the stress, the ones with long vowels win. Finally, stress is morphologically derived words and at sentence level requires further exploration.
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