GRAMMATICALIZATION AND LEXICALIZATION: SUGGESTIONS REGARDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHINESE CHANGE-OF-STATE VERB

LI Wenchao

University of Zhejiang, China
widelia@zju.edu.cn

Abstract

This paper discusses the evolution of the Chinese verb 断 (*duàn* 'break'), exploring how the lexicon has transformed from being a substantive transitive verb in the past to the various functions it fulfils in contemporary Chinese: i.e. a resultative complement, an adjective, an adverb and a noun. Findings reveal that *duàn* was initially transitive and its intransitive function was derived during the Spring and Autumn period (772–476 BC). The two functions co-existed for the rest of the Pre-Qin period (before 221 BC). *Duàn*'s transition into a resultative complement began during the Eastern Han dynasty (AD 25–220), and its noun function was shaped in the Warring State period (475–221 BC). Finally, *Duàn*'s adjective and adverb functions are noted to begin in Middle Chinese (AD 420–1279). Although some of these transitions took place more or less simultaneously during overlapping periods, they developed via two separate paths: the process by which *duàn* developed into a resultative complement, an adverb, and an adjective is that of grammaticalization, while on the other hand, the process by which *duàn* developed into a noun is that of lexicalization. Essentially, it is the intransitive function that is subject to grammaticalization and it is the transitive function that is subject to lexicalization. In this paper it is therefore proposed that the above diachronic change is taken as a cline, and is put forward as follows: (a) grammaticalization and lexicalization are not distinct processes but rather happen to a lexeme more or less simultaneously; and (b) interrelationship between the two processes is orthogonal.

Keywords: grammaticalization; lexicalization; diachronic approach; Chinese

Povzetek

Članek razpravlja o razvoju kitajskega glagola 'zlomiti' 断 *duàn* in razvoju njegovih funkcij skozi čas. Medtem ko je najprej deloval le kot prehodni glagol, se lahko v sodobni kitajščini pojavlja kot rezultativno dopolnilo, pridevnik, prislov in celo kot samostalnik. Rezultati raziskave kažejo, da je prvotno prehodni glagol svojo neprehodno vlogo dobil v obdobju Pomladi in jeseni (772–476 pr.n.št.). Ti dve vlogi je vzporedno obdržal vse do obdobja Qin (221 pr.n.št.). Njegova preobrazba v rezultatsko dopolnilo se je pričela v obdobju Dinastije Vzhodni Han, še pred tem se je oblikovala tudi njegova samostalniška vloga (obdobje Vojskujočih se držav, 475–221 pr.n.št.). Njegovi najnovejši dve vlogi, tj. pridevniška in prislovna, sta se začeli pojavljati v srednji kitajščini (420–1279). Kljub temu, da so se nekateri izmed teh prehodov zgodili bolj ali manj vzporedno, je bila njihova pot sprememb precej različna; proces, preko katerega se je glagol 'zlomiti' 断 *duàn* izpopolnil v rezultatsko dopolnilo, pridevnik in prislov, je slovnični (gramatikalizacija), medtem ko je proces njegovega razvoja v

samostalnik leksikalni (leksikalizacija). Poleg tega smo prišli do ugotovitev, da je slovnični proces sprožil neprehodni glagol 'zlomiti' 断 *duàn*, njegova prehodna različica pa je bila osnova leksikalizaciji. V članku predlagamo, da omenjeno spremembo skozi čas dojemamo kot skalarno spremembo, ki jo zaznamujeta naslednji dve značilnosti: (a) gramatikalizacija in leksikalizacija se pojavljata istočasno in nista povsem neodvisna procesa ter (b) odnos med procesoma je ortogonalen.

Ključne besede: gramatikalizacija; leksikalizacija; diahroni pristop; kitajščina

1 Introduction

Chinese vocabulary has undergone a lengthy evolution. In the Pre-Qin period, the Chinese language consisted of monosyllabic roots, to which affixes were attached (Sagart, 1999). During the Early Han dynasty, disyllabic word roots occurred, with verb compounds established in the Middle Han dynasty. The change-of-state verb 断¹ *duàn* 'break' is a typical instance. In contemporary Chinese, *duàn* is usually used as a resultative complement. It forms syntactic verb compounds (V-V) with action verbs such as 切 *qiē* 'cut', 剪 *jiǎn* 'cut', etc. (1) provides an illustration of V-V 切断 *qiē-duàn* 'cut-broken'.

(1) Resultative complement

- a. 张三 切断 了 电源。 (Transitive)
 Zhāng-sān qiē-**duàn** le diàn-yuán.
 Zhangsan cut-off PERF power
 'Zhangsan cut off the power.'
- b. 电源 切断 了。 (Intransitive)
 Diàn-yuán qiē-**duàn** le.
 power cut-off PERF
 'The power was cut off.'

In (1), *duàn* is a resultative complement. The V-V form 切断 *qiē-duàn* 'cut-broken' can be either transitive or intransitive. About 3,000 years ago *duàn* was a substantive transitive verb, meaning 'to cut/break'. Although semantically *duàn* in (1) still retains the original meaning, i.e. 'broken', syntactically it no longer bears the initial function, i.e. that of a transitive verb.

Another illustration comes from 打断 *dǎ-duàn* 'hit-broken', but this presents a different picture. 打断 *dǎ-duàn* can have two meanings. The first meaning is 'hit-broken', where 打 *dǎ* is an action verb and *duàn* a resultative complement (such use resembles 切断 *qiē-duàn* 'cut-broken' in (1)). The second meaning of 打断 *dǎ-duàn* is 'to interrupt'. More interestingly, when 打断 *dǎ-duàn* has the first meaning, i.e. 'hit-broken', it may either be transitive (e.g. 打断了树枝 *dǎ-duàn le shù-zhī* 'The branch

¹ Throughout the paper, the discussions use the simplified Chinese character (e.g. 断) while the illustrations use the traditional Chinese characters (e.g. 斷).

was hit and it broke.’) or intransitive (e.g. 树枝打断了 *shù-zhī dǎ-duàn le* ‘The branch broke’). However, when 打断 *dǎ-duàn* has the second meaning, i.e. ‘to interrupt’, it only bears transitivity, as shown in (2):

- (2) a. 打断谈话 *dǎ-duàn tán-huà* ‘interrupt the discussion’
 b. *谈话打断 *tán-huà dǎ-duàn* ‘the discussion interrupts’

The ill-formed (2b) can be improved by turning the sentence into a passive voice, thus (2b) becomes 谈话被打断了 *tán-huà bèi dǎ-duàn le* ‘the discussion was interrupted.’²

This having been said, we can not say that *duàn* has absolutely lost its substantive function. In the following compound nouns, *duàn* retains the transitive function:

(3) **Transitive use in compound verbs**

- a. 断水 *duàn-shuǐ* ‘water break’
 b. 断电 *duàn-diàn* ‘power off’
 c. 断粮 *duàn-liáng* ‘run out of food’
 d. 断炊 *duàn-chuī* ‘run out of rice and fuel’
 e. 断档 *duàn-dàng* ‘out of stock’
 f. 断种 *duàn-zhǒng* ‘heirless’

The objects of *duàn* in this respect are limited to 水 *shuǐ* ‘water’, 电 *diàn* ‘electricity’, 种 *zhǒng* ‘heir’, etc. The following compound nouns shows a more idiomaticised 断 *duàn*:

(4) **Transitive use in compound nouns**

- a. 断头台 *duàn-tóu-tái* ‘cut-head-platform (scaffold)’
 b. 粘滞性断裂 *zhān zhì xìng duàn liè* ‘glutinous fracture’

Duàn also plays a role as an adjective, which is exemplified by (5).

(5) **Adjectival use in compounds**

- a. 断枝 *duàn-zhī* ‘broken-branch’
 b. 断绳 *duàn-shéng* ‘broken-string’

One may argue that a verb may modify a noun, and is as such translated into English with an adjective or a past participle. A representative example is *duàn-zhī* (break-branch) ‘broken branch’ (5a), however, but this does not yet prove that Chinese 断 *duàn* is to be analysed as an adjective.

Trying to eliminate the impossible roles, we can first say that in *duàn-zhī* (5a), 断 *duàn* can not be analysed as a verb. There are two reasons for it. The first reason is that the NP *duàn-zhī* (break-branch) is composed by *duàn* + a noun (*zhī* (branch)). If *duàn* was an intransitive verb, the word order would have to be a noun (branch) + *duàn*.

² 被 *bèi* is an auxiliary verb, denoting the passive voice.

Furthermore, to function as a transitive verb, *duàn* must appear with a light verb 弄 *nòng* or 打 *dǎ*, which correspond to the verb *do* in English and する *suru* in Japanese.

- (5) a'. 弄断 了 树枝
 nòng duàn le shù-zhī
 do-break PERF branch
 a." 打断 了 树枝
 dǎ duàn le shù-zhī
 do-break PERF branch

The second reason is that when a verb modifies a noun, the verb *duàn* must either be in the past participle form or, an aspect marker gets necessary. See the example below.

- (5) a'''. 断 了 的 枝
 duàn le de zhī
 broken PERF NOM branch
 ‘the branch that was broken’.
 (的 is a nominalizer)

With this in mind, it is reasonable to deem 断 *duàn* as an adjective. Another similar example is 断椅 *duàn yǐ* (broken-chair).

However, example (6) shows that *duàn* can also function as an adverb.

- (6) **Adverbial** use in compound nouns/verbs³ or phrases
 a. 断言 *duàn-yán* ‘absolutely assert’
 b. 断定 *duàn-dìng* ‘absolutely judge’
 c. 断不能相信 *duàn bú néng xiàng xìn* ‘absolutely unreliable’
 d. 断不能接受 *duàn bú néng jiē shòu* ‘absolutely unacceptable’

In the corpus of contemporary Chinese⁴, there are 199,742 tokens of contemporary *duàn*. We took 800 tokens at random, and found the following distribution of different functions⁵.

³ Due to the lack of morphological marker, the Chinese category is hard to identify. It seems that 断言 *duàn-yán* ‘absolutely assert’ and 断定 *duàn-dìng* ‘absolutely judge’ can be a compound noun or a compound verb according to different syntactic environments.

⁴ The corpus of Modern Chinese (<http://ccl.pku.edu.cn/>) is constructed by the Center for Chinese Linguistics at Beijing University.

⁵ *Duàn*’s noun use is mostly seen in Old and Middle Chinese. It appears to have declined in Modern Chinese.

Table 1: Function distribution of *duan* in The corpus of Modern Chinese (sample)

Category	Type	Token
Transitive verb	20	93
Intransitive verb	15	175
Adjective	10	89
Adverb	9	76
Resultative complement	21	210

The functions that 斷 *duàn* presents in contemporary Chinese significantly differ from those it fulfilled 3,000 years ago. In *Odes* (1046–771 BC, Western Zhou dynasty), 斷 *duàn* is a substantive transitive verb, meaning ‘cut/break’. We detected three tokens of the transitive use in the *Odes*, eight tokens in the *Book of Change* (1046 BC–771 BC, West Zhou dynasty) and three tokens in *The Classic of History* (772–476 BC, Spring and Autumn). Illustrations are provided in (7)–(8).

- (7) 七 月 食 瓜， 八 月 斷 壺。
 Qī yuè shí guā, bā yuè **duàn** hú.
 Seventh month eat melon, eighth month **cut.down** bottle-gourd
 ‘In the seventh month, they eat the melons; and in the eighth, they cut down the bottle-gourds.’ (Odes)
- (8) 繫 辭 焉， 以 斷 其 吉凶。
 Jì cí yān, yǐ **duàn** qí jí-xiōng.
 Append explanation PART PREP **determine** PART good evil
 ‘He then appended his explanation (to each line of the diagrams), to determine the good or evil indicated by it.’ (Book of Change)

This study explores the evolution of the Chinese verb 斷 *duàn* in an effort to understand how it has transformed from a substantive transitive verb to the various forms it takes in contemporary Chinese: i.e. a resultative complement, an adjective and an adverb.

Categorisation of the development of the Chinese language basically follows the insights suggested by the linguist Karlgren (1918):

- Old Chinese: Shang dynasty, Zhou dynasty, Spring and Autumn and Warring State period (1200 BC–221 BC);
- Pre-Middle Chinese: Qin dynasty and Han dynasty (221 BC to AD 220);
- Middle Chinese: Southern and Northern dynasties (AD 420–589), Sui, Tang (AD 618–907), Song dynasties (AD 220–1279); and
- Pre-Modern Chinese: Yuan, Ming and Qing dynasties (AD 1271–1912).

The data are predominantly drawn from the Chinese Text Project corpus (<http://ctext.org/>). The scope of the investigation of Old Chinese is confined to the period BC 1200 to the first few centuries AD. The Pre-Qin period is relatively long and therefore the number of targeted sources for this period is higher than that for the other two periods. A list of documents used in the study is provided at the end of the article.

This paper is organised as follows: Section 1 gives a brief introduction to the various functions of *duàn* in contemporary Chinese. Section 2 touches upon two concepts that are relevant to this study, i.e. grammaticalization and lexicalization. Section 3 explores the function of *duàn* in Old Chinese. Section 4 focuses on Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese, and Section 5 on Pre-Modern Chinese. Section 6 highlights the results and further addresses theoretical implications of this study.

2 Grammaticalization and lexicalization

The central point of this study is to uncover how *duàn* moved from functioning as a transitive verb in Old Chinese to functioning as a resultative complement, an adverb, and an adjective in contemporary Chinese. This obliges us to touch upon some general issues regarding the concepts of grammaticalization and lexicalization.

Grammaticalization deals with the question of how a lexical item develops into a marker of a grammatical category or how a marker representing a less grammatical function takes on a more grammatical one (Kurylowicz, 1965, Bisang, 2011). The concept *grammaticalization* was first put forward by Zhou Boqi, a Chinese scholar of the Yuan dynasty (1279–1368). He stated: ‘Today’s function words are all former full words (今之虛字皆古之實字 *jīn-zhī-xū-zì-jīe-gǔ-zhī shí-zì*)’. Such a process was known as ‘voiding (虛化 *xūhuà*)’. About 500 years after Zhou Boqi, German linguist Bopp (1816, 1833) conducted a pioneering study on grammaticalization evolution, with a particular focus on the affixes of Germanic languages. The boom of modern studies of grammaticalization was inspired by the publications of Givón (1971, 1979). The point of departure for cross-linguistic studies is Givón’s note that ‘today’s morphology is yesterday’s syntax’. Later on, Christian Lehmann (1982) established a milestone with his publication *Thoughts on Grammaticalization*. Since then, grammaticalization has been studied intensively in different linguistic fields (Narrog and Heine 2011) such as e.g. *functional linguistics* (Croft, 2000, Harder & Boye, 2011), *cognitive grammar* (Langacker, 1987, 1991, 2011), *pragmatics* (Traugott, 2002), *construction grammar* (Trousedale, 2008, Gisborne & Patten, 2011), *language acquisition* (Diessel, 2011) and *social linguistics* (Nevalainen & Palander-Collin, 2011).

The definition of grammaticalization has been revised. In earlier times, focusing only on lexicons was most common. One classical definition comes from Kurylowicz (1965, p. 69), according to whom, grammaticalization consists of increasing the range

of a morpheme from a lexical to a grammatical (or from a less grammatical to a more grammatical) status. For instance, the English *will* was originally a substantive verb, meaning ‘want’, but was grammaticalized as an auxiliary verb, expressing the future of ‘to be’ (Himmelman, 2004). Traugott and Heine (1991) argue that, when discussing how substantive lexicons move into function words, the important factor of construction can not be neglected, as the development of grammatical items is shaped by the constructions in which these items occur. Therefore, they refine grammaticalization as ‘the development of constructions [...] via discourse practices into more grammatical material’, e.g. lexical items transit into morphological formatives. This view was welcomed by many linguists, including Bybee, Perkins, Pagliuca, Lehmann, and Himmelman. Bybee, Perkins and Pagliuca (1994, p. 4) demonstrate that the entire construction (not simply the lexical meaning of the stem) is the precursor, and hence the source, of the grammatical meaning. Lehmann (1992, p. 406) notes that lexical items alone do not grammaticalize. They do so only in specific contexts. Himmelman (2004, p. 31) contends that ‘it is the grammaticizing element in its syntagmatic context which is grammaticized’. Most crucially, grammaticalization involves a number of correlated changes, which leads to another concept: lexicalization.

Lexicalization is considered to require the following conditions: phonetic reduction, morphological demotivation, and the loss of semantic compositionality. Himmelman’s (2004) account of lexicalization is introduced below.

Lexicalization represents:

- (a) creating a new lexeme out of two or more existing ones, which may continue to exist independently, e.g. collocations.
- (b) creating a formative that can be used productively for the formation of new lexemes, e.g. compounds, inflectional formatives;
- (c) deriving a new lexeme from a single existing one, which may continue to exist independently, e.g. individual lexical items, grammatical formatives; and
- (d) patterns of semantic features that are systematically encoded in the lexicon, e.g. semantic/cognitive features.

Himmelman’s (2004) account of lexicalization is elegant, but handling ‘compounds’ as a result of lexicalization appears problematic. Take Chinese verb compounds (V-V) for instance. The predicate-complement type of Chinese V-V consists of an action V1 + a resultative complement V2. Crucially, V2 was originally a substantive change-of-state verb that was grammaticalized into a complement. Grammaticalization is also seen in the V-V motion, where the second constituents, i.e. directional complements, are derived from substantive motion verbs.

Due to the lack of morphological change, it is difficult to tackle the issue where the function of *duàn* is transferred via grammaticalization manipulation, and which comes from lexicalization device. This study assumes that *duàn*’s various functions develop via

two separate paths. The process by which *duàn* developed into a resultative complement, an adverb, and an adjective is the case of grammaticalization, while the process by which *duàn* developed into a noun is the case of lexicalization.

Another issue is the interrelationship between grammaticalization and lexicalization in case of *duàn*, given the co-occurrence of multiple transitions. Regarding this interrelationship, previous research falls into two main streams. One such stream conceives the two processes as a constant change leading from lexicalization to grammaticalization and the other way around (Himmelman, 2004). The other stream deems the two processes to be opposite (Heine, 1993); in other words, lexicalization is the reverse process of grammaticalization (Kuryłowicz, 1965). Alternatively, the two processes are in an orthogonal or parallel relationship (Himmelman, 2004). This study introduces data from Chinese, in particular the change-of-state verb *duàn*. It explores how the verb is grammaticalized and lexicalized, and how the two processes relate.

In addition, it is hypothesised that the change always happens in the direction content words to empty words, from lexical items to grammatical elements, or from less grammatical to more grammatical, and never in the opposite direction. This leads to the assumption that grammaticalization is unidirectional. In *language evolution*, Heine and Kuteva (2007) claim that nouns are transformed regularly into adverbs, yet the reverse is exceedingly uncommon. Incorporating this insight, they produce an 'evolutionary network', which describes the developmental layers of commonly recognised grammatical categories, c.f. Figure 1.

Figure 1: Layers of grammatical evolution via pathways of grammaticalization
(Heine & Kuteva, 2007, p. 111)

This view, however, is challenged by scholars such as Newmeyer (1998) and Norde (2001). Consequently, another concept, ‘degrammaticalization’, has been posited. Degrammaticalization refers to a change from a grammatical element to a lexical item, or from a more grammatical element to a less grammatical one. This study makes a further step forward in investigating whether the transformation of the multiple functions of *duàn* is unidirectional or reversible.

3 断 *duàn* in Old Chinese

We begin by looking at the function of 断 *duàn* in Old Chinese.

3.1 断 *duàn* as transitive verb

In the Pre-Qin period (before 221 BC), 断 *duàn* is mostly employed for its transitivity. The following illustration comes from 詩經 *Odes* (1046 BC–771 BC, Western Zhou dynasty):

- (9) 是 断 是 度。
 Shì **duàn** shì dù.
 PRON **cut.down**, PRON convey
 ‘We cut them down, and conveyed them here.’ (Odes)

是 *shì* is a pronoun, denoting ‘this/them’, followed by the transitive verb 断 *duàn*. This SOV word order is not unfamiliar in Old Chinese and somewhat resembles Modern Japanese, which is alleged to be an Altaic language.

The following illustration comes from 莊子 *Zhuang Zi* (350 BC - 250 BC), completed in the Warring State period, 500–600 years after the Western Zhou dynasty:

- (10) 越 人 断 髮 文 身。
 Yuè rén **duàn** fà wén shēn.
 Yue people **cut** hair tattoo body
 ‘The people of Yue cut their hair and tattooed their bodies.’ (Zhuang Zi)

断 *duàn* is employed in a transitive way, taking 髮 *fà* ‘hair’ as its object. The option of its transitive role is further detected (eight tokens) in *Xun Zi* (Warring State: 475 BC–221 BC), 14 tokens in *Mo Zi* (Spring and Autumn – Warring State).

3.2 断 as intransitive verb

The following is from 尚書 *The Classic of History* (Spring and Autumn period: 772–476 BC), where 断 *duàn* functions as an intransitive verb. Intriguingly, 断 *duàn* here denotes a metaphorical meaning, i.e. ‘make decision’:

- (11) 惟 克 果 斷， 乃 罔 后 艰。
 Wéi kè guǒ duàn, nǎi wǎng hòu jiān.
 Only can quickly **make.decision**, CONJ avoid future difficulty
 'If one can make a bold decision, he may avoid future difficulties.'
 (The Classic of History)

Another instance of intransitive use (metaphorical) is found in 墨子 *Mo Zi* (490–221 BC), vol. 2:

- (12) 政令 不 斷 則 民 不 畏。
 Zhèng lìng bú duàn zé mín bú wèi.
 Orders NEG **stop**, CONJ people NEG stand in awe
 'If his orders do not stop, people would not stand in awe before him.'
 (Mo Zi)

In (12), 斷 *duàn* means 'to stop'; it is modified by the negation word 不 *bú*. In *Mo Zi*, vol. 6, 斷 *duàn* is also found to function as a substantive intransitive verb, as in (13):

- (13) 於是 教 民... 日 帶 劍... 擊 則 斷。
 Yú shì jiāo mín ... rì dài jiàn ... jī zé duàn.
 CONJ teach people... daytime carry weapons, attack CONJ **break**
 '(The ancient sage-kings) taught the people to carry weapons in the daytime.
 When assaulted by the animal, people attack it, then the head of the animal
 will break.'
 (Mo Zi)

斷 *duàn* as an intransitive verb, is found 13 times in *Mo Zi*. Among them, nine tokens are in a substantive intransitive form, i.e. 'break (intr.)', while four tokens render a metaphorical meaning, i.e. 'stop'. Heine, Claudi and Hünemeyer (1991) propose that the grammatical structure of the development of lexemes runs as follows: person > object > activity > space > time > quality. The metaphorical function seen in (11) and (12) suggests that the lexicalization of 斷 *duàn* probably began as early as the Spring and Autumn period. We look for more evidence of this by looking at data in Middle Chinese and Pre-Modern Chinese.

3.3 斷 as adjective

The following illustration is taken from 荀子 *Xun Zi* (Warring State: 475 BC–221 BC), where 斷 *duàn* plays an adjective role:

- (14) 周 公 之 狀， 身 如 斷 菑。
 Zhōu gong zhī zhuàng, shēn rú duàn zī.
 Zhou Duke GEN shape, body like **broken** dry tree
 'The shape of Duke Zhou is like a broken dry tree.'
 (Xun Zi)

However, adjective use is only detected once in all Pre-Qin sources. As a result, it may not be appropriate to propose that the adjective function appears in the Warring State period. We leave this point for now and return to it in Section 4.

3.4 断 *duàn* as a noun

Apart from its transitive and intransitive characters, some noun uses are found in Old Chinese, meaning ‘judgement’. (15) is an illustration from 荀子 *Xun Zi*.

- (15) 履 天子 之 籍， 聽 天下 之 斷。
 Lǚ tiān zǐ zhī jí, tīng tiān xià zhī **duàn**.
 Undertake King GEN duty, listen people GEN **advice**
 ‘Undertake the duties as a king and take advice from the people.’ (Xun Zi)

In *Zhuang Zi* (350–250 BC), there are four tokens of noun use.

- (16) 是 以 一 人 之 斷 制 利 天下。
 Shì yǐ yī rén zhī **duàn** zhì lì tiān xià.
 Be PREP one man GEN **judgement** rule benefit world
 ‘In all this one man is seeking to benefit the world by his judgement and enactments (of such a nature).’ (Zhuang Zi)

Table 2 lists types and tokens for different functions of 断 *duàn* in Old Chinese.

Table 2: Types and tokens of 断 *duàn*’s functions in Old Chinese

Source	Period	Trans. verb	Intrans. verb	Result. compl.	Adj.	Adv.	Noun
周易 Book of Changes	Western Zhou	8 (8) ⁶	0	0	0	0	0
詩經 Odes	Western Zhou	2 (3)	0	0	0	0	0
尚書 The Classic of History	Spring and Autumn	3 (3)	1 (1)	0	0	0	0
孫子兵法 Military Science of Sun Zi	Spring and Autumn	0	0	0	0	0	0
墨子 Mo Zi	Spring and Autumn – Warring Sate	28 (42)	8 (13)	0	0	0	0
論語 Analects	Spring and Autumn – Warring Sate	0	0	0	0	0	0
荀子 Xun Zi	Warring State	7 (8)	10 (11)	0	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
莊子 Zhuang Zi	Warring State	3 (3)	3 (3)	0	0	0	4 (4)
孟子 Mencius	Warring State	2 (2)	2 (2)	0	0	0	0
管子 Guan Zi	Warring State	14 (15)	17 (20)	0	0	0	1 (1)

Perhaps we can pause here and draw a preliminary conclusion: 断 *duàn* was initially transitive and obtained its intransitive function during the Spring and Autumn

⁶ The number outside of the brackets, (), is the type and the number inside of the brackets is the token.

period (772 BC–476 BC). The two functions co-existed for the rest of the Pre-Qin period. Moreover, by the Warring State period, the intransitive use seems to be employed in abundance.

Furthermore, it is assumed that in the Warring State period the transitive 断 *duàn* ‘break’ was lexicalized and developed the metaphorical meaning of ‘judge’. The appearance of adjectival use is only found once in a source written in the period 475 BC–221 BC, therefore it is not yet possible to conclude that it occurred as early as the Warring State period. However, the upcoming discussion of Middle Chinese (Section 4) might provide an answer. The adverb function is not detected in the Pre-Qin period, nor is the resultative complement use.

4 断 in Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese

Having drawn a picture of 断 *duàn*’s evolution in Old Chinese, this section engages in an analysis of Middle Chinese data. Pre-Middle Chinese is a significant period with regard to Chinese linguistics. With the occurrence of disyllabic words (e.g. disyllabic nouns, disyllabic particles and disyllabic verbs), serial verb constructions gradually declined and verb compounds appeared.

There is an ongoing debate on whether serial verb construction (SVC) exists in Chinese. In earlier times, domestic linguists such as Lü (1958) and Ma (1961) argued that the multiple verb constructions in contemporary Chinese are not SVCs but ‘complex-predicate constructions’. Lü (1979), Hu and Wen (1990), Chao (1980) welcomed the terminology ‘SVC’ in modifying multiple verb constructions in contemporary Chinese. Lü (1979) defines SVC as follows: V1 and V2 are carried out by the same agent; the two must be strictly successive; there could be a conjunction between V1 and V2. Yang and He (1992) discussed the relationship between V1 and V2 from a semantic point of view. They offered the following definition. ‘The two verbs share the same subject. They must be assigned to the successive relation. Essentially, the two constituents are not syntactically equal, there must be a core constituent, and the other plays the role as a modifier’. This study argues that SVC started declining in Middle Chinese and totally disappeared in contemporary Chinese. The instances that SVC supporters often bring about are 跑上来 ‘pǎo shàng lái’ (run-asend-come), 跑下去 ‘pǎo xià qù’ (run-decend-go), 走进来 ‘zǒu jìn lái’ (walk-enter-come), 爬出去 ‘pá chū qù’ (creep-exit-go). We do not deem them SVCs because syntactically the three constituents are not equal. Third constituents, i.e. 来, 去, are grammaticalized into deictic, merely indicting the direction.

This section first looks back to the devolvement of V-V and then moves on to see *duàn*’s other functions in this period.

4.1 A brief quest to identify the development of Chinese verb compounds

Instances of 斷 *duàn* following an action verb can be traced back as far as the Warring State period. The following piece of data is drawn from *Xun Zi* (475 BC–221 BC).

- (17) 斬 斷 枯 磔。
 Zhǎn **duàn** kū zhé.
 Cut **break** wither dismember
 ‘Behead someone as a warning to others and tear a person asunder by five carts.’⁷
 (Xun Zi)

斬 斷 枯 磔 *zhǎn duàn kū zhé* indicates a punishment in ancient China. Grammaticalization of *duàn* has not yet started; *duàn* functions as a substantive intransitive verb. The combination of the two constituents, i.e. 斬 *zhǎn* and 斷 *duàn*, is rather loose. Their location can be reversed (see *duan-zhan* (18) vs. *zhan-duan* (19)). Four tokens of 斷斬 *duàn-zhǎn* are found in the *History of the Han dynasty* (Eastern Han dynasty AD 36–111).

- (18) 衣 繡 杖 斧， 斷斬 於 郡國。
 Yī xiù zhàng fǔ, **duàn-zhǎn** yú jùn guó.
 Wear splendid clothes hold axe, **break-cut** DAT prefecture
 ‘Those who wear splendid clothes and with high status are beheaded in that prefecture.’
 (History of the Han dynasty)

This leads us to contend that the two constituents received equal syntactic weight, and to refer to constructions such as 斬斷枯磔 *zhǎn duàn kū zhé* and 斷斬 *zhǎn duàn* as serial verb constructions (SVCs). Such data were not detected in the Spring and Autumn period, and were only found once in the Warring State period. However, this suggests that at this time the use of verb compounds in the Chinese language was at an embryonic stage.

In Pre-Middle Chinese it is observed that the main function of *duàn* remained that of a verb (in the Western Han dynasty, transitive verb: 65%; intransitive verb: 33%. In the Eastern Han dynasty, transitive verb: 74%; intransitive verb: 23%). Below is an illustration drawn from 漢書 *History of the Han dynasty*, where *duàn* appears in a coordinate V-V.

- (19) 殷 紂 斷棄 先祖 之 樂。
 Yīn zhòu **duàn-qì** xiān-zǔ zhī lè.
 Yin Zhou **cut.off-abandon** patriarch GEN enjoyment
 ‘Yin Zhou abandoned the enjoyment that the patriarch had.’
 (History of the Han dynasty)

⁷ Legal interpretation: an ancient punishment.

The two constituents, i.e. V1 斷 *duàn* ‘break’ and V2 棄 *qì* ‘abandon’, have the same meanings and share equal syntactic status. Similar types of verb compounds are found in *Records of the Three Kingdoms* (Western Jin dynasty AD 265–300), i.e. 斷絕 *duàn-jué* ‘cut-off’.

- (20) 紹 令 周昂 盜居 其 位, 斷絕 堅糧。
 Shào lìng zhōu-áng dào jū qí wèi, duàn jué jiān liáng.
 Yuan-shao command Zhou-ang usurp PRON stronghold, cut-off food
 ‘Yuan-shao commanded Zhou-ang to usurp the stronghold and to cut off the food supply.’
 (Records of the Three Kingdoms)

斷絕 *duàn-jué* ‘cut-off’ is a coordinate V-V, i.e. the two constituents are transitive and have exactly the same meaning, which is ‘cut off’. There are 23 tokens of 斷絕 in *Records of the Three Kingdoms*. During the Tang dynasty, coordinate V-V was more common. For instance, there are three tokens of 禁斷 *jìn-duàn* ‘forbid-break’ found from the Sui dynasty period, but 25 from the Tang dynasty period.

Moreover, 斷 *duàn* in coordinate V-Vs can have the following variations:

- (21) a. 斷定 *duàn-dìng* ‘judge-assert’
 Context: 劉端臨、王引之斷定正文之「鄉大夫」亦當作「卿大夫」⁸
 Translation: ‘Liu Duanlin and Wang Yinzhì assert that [Xiang Daifu] refers to [Qing daifu]’
 b. 判斷 *pàn-duàn* ‘judge-decide’
 Context: 假設甲乙, 令其判斷⁹
 Translation: ‘Suppose there are Part A and Part B, we let him/her judge.’
 c. 斷決 *duàn-jué* ‘pronounce-sentence’
 Context: 判者, 斷決百事¹⁰
 Translation: ‘The judge, is supposed to make judgement on everything.’

To note, *duàn* is transitive in all circumstances (19–21). However, there is a salient distinction between *duàn* in (21) and *duàn* in (19–20): 斷 in (19–20) retains the substantive transitive function and semantically conserves the original meaning ‘to

⁸ Detailed explanation:

劉端臨, 王引之 斷定 正文 之 「鄉大夫」 亦 當作 「卿大夫」
 Liú Duānlín, Wáng Yǐnzhī duàn-dìng zhèng-wén zhī xiāng dàfū yì dāng-zuò qīng dàfū
 Liú Duānlín, Wáng Yǐnzhī assert text GEN xiāng dàfū also interpreted qīng dàfū

⁹ Detailed explanation:

假設 甲 乙, 令 其 判斷.
 jiǎ shè jiǎ yǐ, lìng qí pàn duàn
 suppose Part A Part B, let him/her judge

¹⁰ Detailed explanation:

判者, 斷決 百事。
 pàn zhě, duàn-jué bǎi shì
 judge, make judgement everything

break’. On the other hand, *duàn* in (21) behaves as a transitive verb at the syntactic level but renders a different semantic properties. We thus assume such *duàn* is lexicalized and conveys a metaphorical meaning, i.e. ‘judge’. This suggests that it is the transitive function that is subject to lexicalization.

4.2 断 *duàn* as a resultative complement

Having briefly touched upon the development of verb compounds, the following sections focus on how 断 *duàn* developed into a resultative complement. As confirmed in a search of the Pre-Middle Chinese database, by the time of 史記 *The Historical Record* (the Western Han dynasty 109–91 BC), 断 *duàn* was not yet a resultative complement. It was in 漢書 *History of the Han dynasty* (AD 36–111) that *duàn* was first found to function as such.

- (22) 亡 非 同類, 割斷 歷 久。
 Wáng fēi tong-lèi, gē-duàn lì jiǔ.
 the dead NEG same kind, cut-broken history long
 ‘The dead are not the same kind as us; the relation has been cut off for a long time.’
 (History of the Han dynasty)

In the compound 割斷 *gē-duàn* ‘cut-down’, V1 割 *gē* ‘cut’ denotes an action and V2 contributes to the result of the action, i.e. ‘broken’. A verb weakening is seen in the constituent *duàn*. The lexical conceptual structure of (22) is as follows:

- (23) [x ACT ON y] CAUSE [y BECOME [y BE AT-z]]
 割 *gē* ‘cut’ 斷 *duàn* ‘broken’

This inspires us to see *duàn* as a resultative complement and to further deduce that *duàn* started being grammaticalized in Eastern Han dynasty. Crucially, it is the grammaticalization that turned the intransitive use into the resultative complement. In fact, apart from change-of-state verbs, motion verbs have also revived grammaticalization. Intransitive motion verbs were grammaticalized and turned into directional complement. For instance, 入 *rù* ‘enter’ originated with both transitive and intransitive uses, as shown by data from *Odes*. The grammaticalization of 入 into a directional complement started in Western Han and continued during the Southern & Northern dynasties. The directional complement 入 *rù* ‘enter’ may build a predicate-complement V-V with several manners of motion verbs, such as 走 *zǒu* ‘walk’ (走入 *zǒu rù* ‘walk-into’: 145 tokens). Intriguingly, the combination of [manner of motion V + directional complement] can be rather productive, i.e. the manner verb may form predicate-complement V-Vs with a number of directional complements, such as 出 *chū* ‘exit’ (c.f. 走出 *zǒu chū* ‘walk-exit’) for example. On the other hand, directional complements may also form a number of predicate-complement V-Vs in combination with any manner verb. For instance, 跑 *pǎo* ‘run’, cf. 跑出 *pǎo chū* ‘run-out’.

The following data provide another illustration of a case where *duàn* plays the role of a complement:

- (24) 已 捕 斬 斷 信 二子 穀鄉侯章, 德廣侯鮪。
 Yǐ bǔ zhǎn **duàn** xìn èr zǐ yù xiāng hóu zhāng, dé guǎng hóu wěi
 ADV arrest behead **broken** Xin 2 sons Yuxianghouyhang Deguanghouwei
 'Have arrested and beheaded Xin's two sons, Yuxianghouzhang and
 Deguanghouwei.'
 (History of the Han dynasty)

The first two constituents, i.e. 捕 *bǔ* 'arrest' and 斬 *zhǎn* 'behead', are in a successive relation, and convey action while 斷 *duàn* renders the result 'broken'. Morphologically, the first two constituents carry equal weight and the third constituent, 斷 *duàn*, renders the result of an action. In another work from the Eastern Han dynasty period, i.e. 釋名 *The Book of Title Explanation* (AD 19–210), 斷 *duàn* is found playing the complement role in V-V 斬斷 *zhǎn-duàn*:

- (25) 斧 以 斬斷, 見 者 皆 感懼 也。
 Fǔ yǐ zhǎn-**duàn**, jiàn zhě jiē qì-jù yě.
 Axe post cut-**broken**, see PRON all frightened EXCL
 'Beheaded by axe. Everyone saw the execution. They were all frightened.'
 (The Book of Title Explanation)

Note that the semantic role of the particle 以 *yǐ* here is that of a *tool*: it appears after the noun and forms a postpositional phrase '斧以 *fǔ yǐ* N+POST', which in contemporary Chinese would be prepositional phrase '以斧 *yǐ fǔ* PREP + N (by axe)'. Moreover, 感懼 *qì-jù* is a coordinate intransitive V-V, meaning 'be frightened of'.

In 後漢書 *Book of the Later Han* (AD 420–445), two tokens of 斷 *duàn* are found functioning as a resultative complement in 斬斷 *zhǎn duàn*:

- (26) 執 足 斬 斷。
 Zhí zú zhǎn **duàn**.
 twine feet cut **break**
 'His twine feet were chopped off.'
 (Book of the Later Han)

During the Western Jin dynasty (AD 266–316), *duàn*'s function as a resultative complement became very productive. An illustration is given in (27):

- (27) 有 龍淵¹¹ 之 利, 乃 可以 議於 割斷。
 Yǒu long-yuān zhī lì, nǎi kě-yǐ yì yú gē-**duàn**.
 Have Long-Yuan gen keenness, CONJ be able to sever-**broken**
 'It seems to be as keen as the Long-Yuan sword and therefore capable of cutting
 down everything.'
 (Records of the Three Kingdoms)

¹¹ 龍淵 *long-yuān* 'Long Yuan' refers to a famous sword made in the Spring and Autumn period.

Only two tokens of a resultative complement use are detected in the database of Pre-Middle Chinese. The chief function of *duàn* remains verbal, of which 135 tokens represent a transitive verb and 51 tokens an intransitive verb. In Middle Chinese, the use of the resultative complement increased, i.e. 54 tokens are detected. This suggests that *duàn*'s transition to a resultative complement began in Pre-Middle Chinese, specifically during the Eastern Han dynasty. Essentially, it is in regard to the intransitive function of *duàn* that grammaticalization took place. Figure 2 summarises the grammaticalization path during Middle Chinese:

Figure 2: The grammaticalization path of the Chinese verb 斷

4.3 斷 *duàn* as a noun

Recall that in Pre-Qin works, i.e. *Xun Zi*, *Guan Zi* and *Zhuang Zi*, six tokens of *duàn* being used as a noun were detected. It was during the Western Han dynasty that *duàn* started to function as a noun. The transition continues in Middle Chinese. There are 14 tokens in *Records of the Three Kingdoms* (Western Jin dynasty: AD 265–300); 40 tokens in *Tong Dian* (Tang dynasty AD 801); 11 tokens in *The Analects of Four Confucian Classics* (Southern Song dynasty AD 1150–1200). Below is an illustration from *Records of the Three Kingdoms*:

- (28) 袁術 勇 而 無 斷。
 Yuán-shù yǒng ér wú duàn.
 Yuan Shu brave CONJ lack **decisiveness**
 ‘Yuan Shu is brave but lacks decisiveness.’ (Records of the Three Kingdoms)

The following is taken from 四書章句集注 *The Analects of Four Confucian Classics*, where 斷 *duàn* is found in a compound noun 間斷 *jiān-duàn*, meaning ‘interruption’. Six tokens of 間斷 *jiān-duàn* is found in *The Analects of Four Confucian Classics*.

- (29) 不 可 略 有 間斷 也。
 Bú kě luè yǒu jiān-duàn yě.
 NEG possible slightly have **interruption** EXCL
 ‘Even a slight interruption is disallowed.’
 (The Analects of Four Confucian Classics)

In fact, *duàn* as an individual morpheme and functioning as noun is mostly seen in Old Chinese and Middle Chinese. In its function as a noun it appears rather rarely in Contemporary Chinese. In the Modern Chinese (<http://ccl.pku.edu.cn/>) corpus, 300

(32) 腸 皆 寸寸¹⁴ 斷。

Cháng jiē cùn cùn **duàn.**
Intestines all very short **broken**

‘The intestines are all broken.’

(A New Account of the Tales of the World: AD 420–581)

(33) 以 剛 斷 稱。

Yǐ gang **duàn** chēng
PREP manful **decisive** known

‘Be known as tough and decisive.’

(Records of the Three Kingdoms)

Nine tokens were found in Records of the Three Kingdoms, 12 tokens in Tong Dian, and six tokens in The Analects of Four Confucian Classics. Table 3 summarises the types and tokens of the various functions of *duàn* in Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese.

Table 3: The type and (token) of *duàn*’s various functions in Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese

Source	Period	Tran. verb	Intran. verb	Result. compl.	Adj.	Adv.	Noun
史記 <i>The Historical Records</i>	Western Han	38 (43)	10 (22)	0	0	0	1 (1)
漢書 <i>History of the Han dynasty</i>	Eastern Han	63 (92)	13 (29)	2 (2)	0	0	1 (1)
世說新語 <i>A New Account of the Tales of the World</i>	Southern and Northern dynasties	8 (8)	4 (5)	2 (2)	1 (1)	0	1 (1)
三國志 <i>Records of the Three Kingdoms</i>	Western Jin	78 (110)	14 (33)	16 (20)	6 (9)	0	8 (14)
通典 <i>Tong dian</i>	Tang	122 (213)	89 (149)	7 (31)	8 (12)	7 (9)	19 (40)
四書章句集注 <i>The Analects of four Confucian Classics</i>	Southern Song	8 (10)	6 (9)	1 (1)	5 (6)	1 (1)	6 (11)

5 Pre-Modern Chinese

Pre-Modern Chinese period is the time when the resultative complement, noun, adjective, adverb significantly developed. 紅樓夢 *Dream of Red Mansions* is a long novel, completed during the Qing dynasty (AD 1350–1400). Among the 145 斷 *duàn* tokens in the novel, transitive use is found 17 types, 24 tokens; and the intransitive use is 14 types, 16 tokens. The following sections particularly address *duàn*’s functions of resultative complement, noun, adjective and adverb.

5.1 斷 *duàn* as a resultative complement

斷 *duàn*’s function as a resultative complement in this period is productive. There are 47 tokens found in 三國演義 *Romance of the Three Kingdoms* (Ming dynasty AD 1780–

¹⁴ 寸: 1/3 decimetre. It used to describe the degree of brokenness.

1792). On the other hand, there are only 14 tokens found in *红楼梦 Dream of the Red Chamber*, a novel completed in Qing dynasty. A typical example is provided in (34):

- (34) 一 戟 砍斷 安國 手腕。
 Yī jǐ kǎn-duàn ān-guó shǒu-wàn.
 One halberd cut-down An-Guo wrist
 'Cut down the wrist of General An Guo with one halberd.'
 (Romance of the Three Kingdoms)

Apart from the above verbs, the database further reveals 斷 *duàn*'s forming V-Vs with the following action verbs: 射斷 *shè-duàn* 'shoot-broken', 剖斷 *pōu-duàn* 'dissect-broken', 截斷 *jié-duàn* 'sever-broken'; 拗斷 *niù-duàn* 'bend-broken', 絕斷 *jué-duàn* 'sever-broken'; 遮斷 *zhē-duàn* 'intercept-broken', 燒斷 *shāo-duàn* 'burn-broken', 塞斷 *sè-duàn* 'stuff-broken', 拆斷 *chāi-duàn* 'dismantle-broken', 隔斷 *gé-duàn* 'separate-broken'. These V-Vs have the following features:

First, they may be transitive or intransitive, e.g. (35).

(35) a. 拆斷 as transitive V-V

待 我 引 張任 過 橋， 你 便 將 橋 拆斷。
 Dài wǒ yǐn zhāng-rèn guò qiáo, nǐ biàn jiāng qiáo chāi-duàn.
 after I guide Zhangren cross bridge, you then AUX bridge dismantle-broken
 'After I guide Zhang Ren across the bridge, please dismantle the bridge.'

b. 拆斷 as intransitive V-V

急 回 軍 時， 橋 已 拆斷 了。
 Jí huí jūn shí, qiáo yǐ chāi-duàn le.
 Hurry return army time, bridge already dismantle-broken PERF
 'When I hurried back to the army, I found the bridge already dismantled.'
 (Romance of the Three Kingdoms)

Second, they are very productive and can be subcategorised into the cause-effect syntactic V-V. As we can see from the above instances, V1s denote the cause and are conveyed by an agentive verb, e.g. *jie* 'sever', *shao* 'burn'. V2s contribute to the change of state and entail an endpoint of a change-of-state event, and would, in the light of scalar structure (c.f. Kennedy and McNally 2005), be regarded as closed-scale morphemes. As a result, this study considers V2 to be the head of this type of V-V. A thorough analysis of the development of Chinese verb compounds seems necessary. However, in this paper, this issue will not be pursued any further. We leave this for future study.

5.2 斷 *duàn* as a noun

In the database, there are 12 tokens found in *Romance of the Three Kingdoms* (AD 1350–1400) and four in *Dream of Red Mansions* (AD 1780–1792). Typical examples are (36) and (37):

- (36) 袁紹 好 謀 無 斷, 不 足 為 慮。
 Yuán-Shào hào móu wú **duàn**, bú zú wéi lǜ.
 Yuan Shao fond of strategy lack **decisiveness**, NEG deserve PERP worry
 ‘Yuan Shao is good at planning but can not make decisions, therefore he is not to be worried about.’ (Romance of the Three Kingdoms)
- (37) 以 十 尺 為 斷。
 Yǐ shí chǐ wéi **duàn**.
 post ten feet as **measure**
 ‘Make ten feet as a measure.’ (Annotation on Mo-tse)

5.3 断 *duàn* as an adverb

In *Romance of the Three Kingdoms*, three tokens of adverb use were detected.

- (38) 三者 缺一, 斷 不 肯 降。
 Sān zhě quē yī, **duàn** bú kěn jiàng.
 Three conditions lack one, **absolutely** NEG agree capitulate
 ‘(They) won’t capitulate unless the three demands are met.’ (Romance of the Three Kingdoms)

In *Dream of Red Mansions* 74 tokens of an adverbial use were found. (39) is an illustration:

- (39) 因 叫 我 回 大爺, 今日 斷 不 能 來 了。
 Yīn jiào wǒ huí dà-yé, jīn-rì **duàn** bú néng lái le.
 CONJ ask me reply lord, today **definitely** NEG AUX come EXCL
 ‘(He) asked me to reply to the lord that today he is definitely unable to come over.’

Duàn here modifies the negative modal auxiliary 不能 *bú-néng* ‘can not’ meaning ‘definitely’. We also observed that by the time of the Qing dynasty (AD 1644–1912), disyllabic roots (e.g. 大爺 *dà-yé* ‘lord’, 今日 *jīn-rì* ‘today’) seem to have entirely replaced the monosyllabic word roots that were used around 1200 BC.

5.4 断 *duàn* as an adjective

Adjective use was detected for 12 types (13 tokens) in *Dream of Red Mansions*, as exemplified by (40):

- (40) 你 看 這 斷紋, 不 是 牛旆 似 的 麼?
 Nǐ kàn zhè **duàn-wén**, bú shì niú-máo sì de me.
 You look at this **broken-line**, NEG COP yak resemble PART EXCL
 ‘Look at these lines, don’t they resemble the yaks?’

Duàn modifies the noun 紋 *wén* ‘line’. The relative marker 的 *de* may be added after *duàn*, i.e. 斷的紋 *duàn-de-wén* ‘the broken line’.

The foregoing discussion is based upon the findings provided in Table 4.

Table 4: The type and (token) of 斷’s various functions in Pre-Modern Chinese

Source	Period	Trans. verb	Intrans. verb	Result. compl.	Adj.	Adv.	Noun
三國演義 <i>Romance of the Three Kingdoms</i>	Ming dynasty	10 (70)	19 (79)	24 (47)	0	3 (3)	8 (12)
紅樓夢 <i>Dream of Red Mansions</i>	Qing dynasty	17 (24)	14 (16)	9 (14)	12 (13)	22 (74)	2 (4)

Table 5 presents the percentage of each function of *duàn* from Old Chinese to Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese.

Table 5: The percentage of each function of *duàn* from Old Chinese to Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese

Period	Tran. verb	Intran. verb	Result. compl.	Adj.	Adv.	Noun	Total (token)
Western Zhou	100%	0	0	0	0	0	11
Spring and Autumn - Warring State	56%	38%	0	1%	0	5%	130
Western Han	65%	33%	0	0	0	2%	66
Eastern Han	74%	23%	2%	0	0	1%	124
Southern and Northern dynasties	47%	29%	12%	6%	0	6%	17
Western Jin	59%	18%	11%	5%	0	8%	186
Tang	47%	33%	7%	2%	2%	9%	454
Southern Song	26%	23%	3%	16%	3%	29%	38
Ming dynasty	33%	37%	22%	0	1%	6%	211
Qing dynasty	16%	11%	10%	9%	51%	3%	145

At the stage of Pre-Modern Chinese, *duàn* has grown into a polysemous lexeme, combining transitive, intransitive, resultative complement, adjectival, adverbial, and nominal function together. We may contend that the rudiment *duàn* in contemporary Chinese was shaped during the Pre-Modern Chinese period.

6 Conclusion

This paper discussed the evolution of the Chinese verb *duàn*, exploring how it transformed from being a substantive transitive verb to the verb of various functions in contemporary Chinese (i.e. a resultative complement, an adjective and an adverb). Findings reveal that *duàn* was initially transitive and took on its intransitive function during the Spring and Autumn period (772–476 BC). The two functions co-existed for the rest of the Pre-Qin period and they remained so in Middle Chinese. *Duàn*’s

transition into a resultative complement began during the Eastern Han dynasty and became productive in Middle Chinese. *Duàn* developed functioning as a noun in the Warring State period, while its adjectival and adverbial functions began in Middle Chinese.

Although some transitions took place during overlapping periods, they developed via two separate paths: the process by which the intransitive verb *duàn* developed into a resultative complement, an adverb, and an adjective was grammaticalization; the process by which *duàn* developed into a noun was a case of lexicalization. Essentially, it was the intransitive function that became the subject to grammaticalization; it was the transitive function that was subject to lexicalization. Table 6 presents a summary of the two evolutions. Path A indicates the trajectory of grammaticalization and Path B denotes the trajectory of lexicalization.

Table 6: Stages of development for *duàn*

Timetable	Path A Lexicalization	Path B Grammaticalization
i Western Zhou	Transitive use	
ii Spring and Autumn		Intransitive use
iii Warring State	<i>semantic change:</i> lexicalized, meaning 'judge'	
iv Western Han	<i>syntactic change:</i> lexicalized as a noun (judgement)	
v Eastern Han and Southern and Northern dynasties		<i>syntactic change:</i> grammaticalized as a resultative complement
vi Southern Song dynasty		<i>syntactic change:</i> grammaticalized as an adverb
vii Middle Chinese		<i>syntactic change:</i> grammaticalized as an adjective

A proposal to treat the diachronic change as a cline is put forward as follows: (a) grammaticalization and lexicalization are not distinct processes but rather happen to a lexeme at the same point; and (b) the interrelationship of the two processes is orthogonal.

References

- Bisang, W. (1992). *Das Verb im Chinesischen, Hmong, Vietnamesischen, Thai und Khmer*. Tübingen: Narr.
- Bopp, F. (1816). *Über das Conjugationssystem der Sanskritsprache in Vergleichung mit jenem der griechischen, lateinischen, persischen und germanischen Sprache*. Hildesheim: Olms (reprint of the 1816 edn, Frankfurt/Main: Andreäische).
- Bopp, F. (1833). *Vergleichende Grammatik des Sanskrit, Zend, Griechischen, Lateinischen, Litauischen, Gotischen und Deutschen*, vol. 1. Berlin: Dümmler.
- Brinton, L., & Traugott, E. (2005). *Lexicalization and Language Change: Research Surveys in Linguistics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bybee, J., Perkins, R., & Pagliuca, W. (1994). *The evolution of grammar: Tense, aspect and modality in the languages of the world*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Chao, Y. (1948). *Mandarin primer: An intensive course in spoken Chinese*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard.
- Chao, Y. (1968). *A Grammar of Spoken Chinese*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Croft, W. (1990). A conceptual framework for grammatical categories (or: a taxonomy of Propositional Acts). *Journal of Semantics* 7(3), 245-279.
- Croft, W. (2000). *Explaining Language Change: An Evolutionary Approach*. Harlow, Essex, UK: Pearson Education.
- Diessel, H. (2011). Grammaticalization and language acquisition. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Grammaticalization* (pp. 130-141). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Giacalone Ramat, A. (1998). Testing the boundaries of grammaticalization. In A. Giacalone Ramat & P. Hopper (Eds.), *The Limits of grammaticalization*. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 107-27.
- Gisborne, N., & Patten, A. (2011). Construction grammar and grammaticalization. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Grammaticalization* (pp. 92-104). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Givón, T. (1971). Historical syntax and synchronic morphology. *Chicago Linguistic Society Proceedings* 7, 394-415.
- Givón, T. (1979). *On Understanding Grammar*. New York: Academic Press.
- Harder, P., & Boye, K. (2011). Grammaticalization and functional linguistics. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Grammaticalization* (pp. 56-68). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heine, B. (1993). *Auxiliaries: Cognitive Forces and Grammaticalization*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heine, B., & Kuteva, T. (2007). *The Genesis of Grammar: A Reconstruction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heine, B., Claudi, U., & Hünemeyer, F. (1991). *Grammaticalization: a Conceptual Framework*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Himmelman, N. P. (2004). Lexicalization and grammaticalization: Opposite or orthogonal? In W. Bisang, N. P. Himmelman & B. Wiemer (Eds.), *What makes Grammaticalization? A Look from its Fringes and its Components* (pp. 19-40). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

- Hopper, P., & Traugott, E. C. (1993/2003). *Grammaticalization*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hu, F., & Wen, L. (1990). *Xiandai Hanyu yufa tansuo 现代汉语语法探索. [Contemporary Chinese Grammar]*. Beijing: The Commercial Press.
- Karlgren, B. (1918). *A mandarin phonetic reader in the Pekinese dialect*. Stockholm: P.A. Norstedt och Söner.
- Karlgren, B. (1949). *The Chinese language*. New York: Ronald Press.
- Kennedy, C., & McNally, L. (2005). Scale structure, degree modification, and the semantics of gradable predicates. *Language* 81, 345-381.
- Kuryłowicz, J. (1965). The evolution of grammatical categories. *Diogenes* 51, 55-71. Reprint: Kuryłowicz, J. (1975), *Esquisses linguistique II*. München: W. Fink.
- Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, vol. 1: Theoretical Prerequisites*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Langacker, R. W. (1991). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar, vol. 2: Descriptive Application*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Langacker, R. W. (2011) Grammaticalization and Cognitive Grammar. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Grammaticalization*, 79-91. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lehmann, C. (1992). Word order change by grammaticalization. In M. Gerritsen & D. Stein (Eds.), *Internal and external factors in syntactic change. A selection of papers presented at the International Conference on Historical Linguistics* (pp. 395-416). Berlin: Mouton De Gruyter.
- Lehmann, C. (1995/1982). *Thoughts on Grammaticalization*. Munich: LINCOM EUROPA (originally published as *Thoughts on Grammaticalization: A Programmatic Sketch, Vol. 1*. University of Cologne: Arbeiten des Kölner Universalienprojekts 49).
- Lightfoot, D. (2011). Grammaticalization and Lexicalization. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Grammaticalization* (pp. 438-449). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lü, J. (1958). *Fuza weiyu 复杂谓语 [Complex predicates]*. New Knowledge Publications.
- Lü, S. (1979). *Hanyu yufa fenxi wenti 汉语语法分析问题 [Analysis of Chinese Grammar]*. Beijing: The Commercial Press.
- Ma, J. (1898/1925). *Mashi Wentong 馬氏文通 [Ma's grammar]*. Shanghai: Shangwu yinshuguan.
- Ma, Z. (1983). *Gudai Hanyu yufa 古代汉语语法 [Old Chinese Grammar]*. Shangdong Education Publications.
- Maspéro, H. (1934). La langue chinoise. Conférences de l'Institut de linguistique de l'Université de Paris, Année 1933. In Boivin & Cie (Eds.), *Paris: Ancienne librairie fume*.
- Nevalainen, T., & Palander-Collin, M. (2011). Grammaticalization and sociolinguistics. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Grammaticalization* (pp. 118-129). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Newmeyer, F. J. (1998). *Language Form and Language Function*. Cambridge MA: MIT Press.
- Norde, M. (2001). Deflexion as a counterdirectional factor in grammatical change. *Language Sciences* 23(2-3), 231-264.
- Packard, J. (2000). *The Morphology of Chinese*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Sagart, L. (1999). *The Roots of Old Chinese*. John Benjamins.

- Traugott, E. C. (2002). From etymology to historical pragmatics. In D. Minkova & R. Stockwell (Eds.), *Studying the History of the English Language: Millennial Perspectives* (pp. 19-49). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Traugott, E. C. (2011). Pragmatics and language change. In K. Allan & K. Jaszczolt (Eds.), *The Cambridge Handbook of Pragmatics* (pp. 549-565). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Traugott, E. C., & Dasher, R. B. (2002). *Regularity in Semantic Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Traugott, E. C., & Heine, B. (1991). *Approaches to Grammaticalization*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Trousdale, G. (2008). Constructions in grammaticalization and lexicalization: evidence from the history of a composite predicate construction in the history of English. In G. Trousdale & N. Gisborne (Eds.), *Constructional approaches to English grammar*, Topics in English Linguistics 57 (pp. 33-67). Berlin/New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Van Der Auwera, J. (1999). Dutch verbal prefixes: meaning and form, grammaticalization and lexicalization. In L. Mereu (Ed.), *Boundaries of Morphology and Syntax* (pp. 121-136). Amsterdam: Benjamins.
- Wang, L. (1957/1958). *Hanyu shigao 汉语史稿 [The History of Chinese language]*. 3 vols. Beijing: Kexue yuan.
- Yang, B., & He, L. (1992). *Gudai Hanyu yufa ji qi fazhan 古语汉语语法及其发展 [A Grammar of Ancient Chinese and Its Development]*. Yuwen Publications.
- Zhao, Y. (1980). *Yuyan wenti 语言问题 [Issues on the Chinese language]*. Beijing: The Commercial Press.
- Zhu, D. (1982). *Yufa jiangyi 语法讲义 [Lectures on Grammar]*. Beijing: The Commercial Press.

Corpus for Old Chinese, Middle Chinese and Pre-Modern Chinese

Sturgeon, D. (n.d.). Chinese Text Project. Retrieved from <https://ctext.org/>

Old Chinese (The texts are listed according in chronological order)			approx. word count
周易	<i>The Book of Change</i>	1046–771 BC, Western Zhou	5,000
詩經	<i>Odes</i>	1046–771 BC, Western Zhou	39,234
尚書	<i>The Classic of History</i>	772–476 BC, Spring and Autumn	25,700
孫子兵法	<i>Military Science of Sun Zi</i>	515–512 BC, Spring and Autumn	6,111
論語	<i>Analects</i>	480–350 BC, Spring and Autumn - Warring State	11,705
墨子	<i>Mo Zi</i>	490–221 BC, Spring and Autumn - Warring State	76,516
荀子	<i>Xun Zi</i>	475–221 BC, Warring State	90,800
莊子	<i>Zhuang Zi</i>	350–250 BC, Warring State	65,000
孟子	<i>Mencius</i>	340–250 BC, Warring State	34,685
管子	<i>Guan Zi</i>	475 BC–AD 220, Warring State - Han Dynasty	117,000

Pre-Middle and Middle Chinese

史記	<i>The Historical Records</i>	109–91 BC, Western Han Dynasty	526,500
漢書	<i>History of the Han Dynasty</i>	AD 36–111, Eastern Han Dynasty	742,298
釋名	<i>The Book of Title Explanation</i>	AD 190–210, Eastern Han Dynasty	
三國志	<i>Records of the Three Kingdoms</i>	AD 265–300, Western Jin Dynasty	377,803
後漢書	<i>Book of the Later Han</i>	AD 420–445, Southern and Northern Dynasties	894,020
世說新語	<i>A New Account of the Tales of the World</i>	AD 420–581, Southern and Northern Dynasties	79,000
通典	<i>Tong dian</i>	AD 801, Tang Dynasty	3,218,000
容齋三筆	<i>The Three Writings in RongZhai</i>	AD 1123–1202, Southern Song Dynasty	1,428, 000
四書章句集注	<i>The Analects of four Confucian Classics</i>	AD 1150–1200, Southern Song Dynasty	280, 418

Pre-Modern Chinese

三國演義	<i>Romance of the Three Kingdoms</i>	AD 1350–1400, Ming Dynasty	590,000
紅樓夢	<i>Dream of Red Chambers</i>	AD 1780–1792, Qing Dynasty	730,000

Abbreviations

ADV	adverb
AUX	auxiliary
CONJ	conjunction
COP	copula
DAT	dative
EXCL	exclamation
GEN	genitive
NEG	negative
PART	particle
PREP	preposition
PRON	pronoun
SUF	suffix

Acknowledgments

This paper is based on work that was supported by the *National Foundation of Social Sciences* (15CYY002) as well as the *Humanities and Social Sciences Research Programme of Zhejiang University* to Wenchao Li.