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Abstract
This paper brings data on Old Chinese and Old Japanese together in order to conduct an investigation into event-framing strategies. Old Chinese consists of a monosyllabic root with five constituents that express the path: (a) particle, (b) incorporated noun, (c) preverb, (d) verb root, and (e) complement. Verb framing, satellite framing, and equipollent framing are all found in the data. Crucially, before the birth of disyllabic word roots and verb compounding in the Late Han Dynasty, verb framing seems to have been the main pattern. Throughout the transformation of the Chinese language, the use of incorporated nouns and preverbs denoting the path has declined, with the remaining particles serving motion events. This contributes to the contention that contemporary Chinese is a satellite-framed language. Meanwhile, verb complements emerged and played the main role in non-motion events. In terms of Old Japanese, all three event-framing patterns have been observed. Moreover, the path is denoted via three means: prefix, auxiliary, and verb compounding. Among them, verb compounding appears to play the most significant role. These distinct event-framing patterns both intralinguistic and crosslinguistic are based on the diversity of lexical resources of motion/non-motion event framing and preferences for event-encoding options by selecting different lexical resources.
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Izvleček
Članek proučuje strategije uokvirjanja dogodkov na osnovi gradiv iz stare kitajščine in japonščine. Staro kitajščino sestavlja pet tipov enozložnih korenov, ki izražajo sled: (a) členek, (b) inkorporirani samostalnik, (c) predglagol, (d) glagolski koren ter (e) dopolnilo. V gradivu je mogoče najti uokvirjanje s pomočjo glagolov, uokvirjanje s pomočjo satelitov kot tudi uokvirjanje s pomočjo obojih (equipollent framing). Kar je pomembno, pred rojstvom dvozložnih besednih korenov in sestavljenih glagolov v obdobju Poznega Hana je kot kaže kot glavni tip prevladovalo uokvirjanje s pomočjo glagolov. Skozi ves proces preoblikovanja kitaškega jezika je raba inkorporiranih samostalnikov za izražanje sledi in predglagolov za izražanje načina nazadovala; ostali členki so služili za izražanje dogodkov povezanih z gibanjem. Vse to je prispevalo k mnenju, da je sodobna kitajščina jezik, ki temelji na uokvirjanju s pomočjo satelitov. Nasprotno pa so se glagolska dopolnila pojavila in igrala glavno vlogo v dogodkih, ki niso povezani z gibanjem. V okviru stare japonščine so bili opaženi vsi trije tipi uokvirjanja. Sled se povrhu izraža s pomočjo treh sredstev: predpon,
In Talmy’s typological classification of complex events, all languages fall into two types: *verb-framed* languages and *satellite-framed* languages. *Satellite-framed* languages are languages that habitually map the core schema onto “satellites”, such as prefixes, adverbs, and complements, whilst *verb-framed* languages express the path of motion in the verb (Talmy, 2000b, p. 222). Accordingly, contemporary Chinese is allegedly a *satellite framing*-dominant language because, in Talmy’s terms, it frames the path of motion in a satellite. This is shown in (1) in boldface:

(1) 飛機 飛 過 了 海峽
Fēijī fēi guò le hǎi-xiá
plane fly across PAST the strait
Lit: “The plane flew across the strait.”

The manner in (1) is expressed by the main verb *fēi*, “fly”, and the path is expressed by an element other than a verb, i.e. *guò*, “across”. On the other hand, contemporary Japanese habitually frames the path of motion in the verb and hence is alleged to be a *verb-framed* language, as exemplified by (2):

(2) 太郎 は 駅 に 歩いて行った
Taroo wa eki ni aruieitta
“Taroo walked to the station”

Talmy’s dichotomous typology has been criticised by many scholars in points of detail (see, for example, Matsumoto, 1996; Ramchand & Folli, 2005; Croft, 2010). Among the critics, Slobin (2004b) and Zlatev and Yangklang (2004) are the most important. They propose a third class: *equipollent framing*, which seems to apply to languages that have productive verb compounds or serial verb constructions, such as Thai and Chinese.

In fact, the event framing of Chinese has undergone a long-term evolution. From the warring states period (551 B.C. to 479 B.C.) till the unification of Qin (221 B.C.), the Chinese language consisted of a monosyllabic root, to which affixes were attached. From the Han period (202 B.C.), disyllabic word roots appeared, e.g. nominal
compounds 君子 “jūnzǐ”, verbal compounds 杀戮 “shā-lù” and compound particles 呜呼 “wūhū”. Finally, serial verb construction appeared. Some data suggest that Old Chinese exhibits verb framing, as illustrated in (3):

(3) 賢良 遂 進 而 姦邪 並 退
xián-liáng suì jìn ér jiān-xié bìng tuì
talented excellent then proceed and wicked evil together withdraw
“The talented and excellent will then go forwards and the wicked and evil will withdraw.” (Hanfezi)

In (3), the semantic component of the path is incorporated in the head verb, i.e. 進 jìn; 退 tuì (in boldface). Such data come to resemble Old Japanese, as we can see in (4):

(4) …那 賀 那加 佐 麻 久 阿佐阿米 能 疑理 類 多多牟 叙…
na ga naka-sa-ma ku asa ame no kwiri ni tata-mu zo
you GEN cry-RESP-CONJ-NLZR morning rain GEN fog DAT rise-CONJ FOC
“your crying is like the rising into fog of the morning rain” (Kojiki 4)

(4) is a telic event, consisting of a combination of [Ground NP\(^1\) + V]. The path is encoded by the head verb 立たむ tatamu.

This paper brings a diachronic perspective to the study of motion and non-motion events in Old Chinese and Old Japanese. The following two issues are to be discussed:

(a) The vocabulary of Chinese has undergone a long-term grammaticalisation, i.e. many particles which are considered “satellites” in contemporary Chinese bear substantive content in Old Chinese. This inspires us to ponder if verb framing, satellite framing, and equipollent framing coexisted in Old Chinese?

(b) The similarities between Old Chinese and Old Japanese, bearing in mind that both exhibit verb framing.

To serve the above purpose, this paper is mapped out as follows: in section 2, we discuss motion events in Old Chinese, followed by a look at the expressions of the path component. Section 3 is devoted to the event framing of Old Japanese. Finally, section 4 proposes a hypothesis and concludes the paper.

The data for Old Chinese were collected from Analects (論語 700 B.C.), Shi Jing (詩経 1000 B.C.), Mencius (孟子 475 B.C. – 221 B.C.), Xun Zi (荀子 313 B.C. – 238 B.C.), Han Fei Zi (韓非子 281 B.C. – 23 B.C.), Zhan Guo Ce (戰國策 Western Han Dynasty: 206 B.C. – A.D. 24), Shi Ji (史記 104 B.C. – 91 B.C.). The data for Old Japanese were collected from Kojiki Kayō (古事記歌謡, A.D. 712), Nihon Shoki Kayō (日本書紀歌謡, A.D. 720), and Ma’yōshū (万葉集, after A.D. 759).

\(^1\) NP: noun phrase
2. Motion event framing in Old Chinese

2.1 Definitions of “satellite” and “Ground NP”

Before we get started, it is worthwhile defining two terminologies, i.e. “satellite” and “Ground NP”. According to Talmy:

The satellite to the verb... is the grammatical category of any constituent other than a nominal or prepositional-phrase complement that is in a sister relation to the verb root. The satellite, which can be either a bound affix or a free word, is thus intended to encompass all of the following grammatical forms: English verb particles, German separable and inseparable verb prefixes, Latin or Russian verb prefixes, Chinese verb complements... (Talmy, 2000, p. 222)

A Ground NP appears like a common noun. Nikitina (2008, pp. 186-187) divides the grounds into two types: (a) Container grounds: locations with well-defined boundaries, and often a well-defined entrance/exit, such as rooms, boxes, and buildings; and (b) Area grounds: locations that lack such boundaries, such as forests, neighbourhoods, fields and space.

Crucially, following Talmy (2000), the framing event entails a core schema, which describes the relationship between the Figure and the Ground. This core schema, in Talmy’s words, is the Path. Given this, how the path is framed—by the main verb or the satellite to the main verb—appears the most essential point.

2.2 Previous studies on Old Chinese linguistics

The key figure in the historical study of Chinese was Bernhard Karlgren, whose reconstruction of the language of the Qieyun rhyming dictionary of A.D. 601 (1915-26) led to the discovery of the relations between morphology and syntax in Old Chinese. Another dominant figure was Kennedy (The Classical Pronoun Forms ngo and nga, 1956), whose study particularly focuses on tone, stress, and pause. AC Graham (1973) discusses the evolution of the pronoun system and denies the analogy with the Indo-European case system. Other key figures include Chou Fa-kao (Historical Grammar of Ancient Chinese) and Wang Li. In spite of these remarkable previous studies, there have been few attempts made at the study of event framing in Old Chinese. Peyraube contributes to a discussion on Chinese directional complements in Hickmann and Robert’s (2006) book Space in Languages. Their short discussion focused on the grammaticalisation of 来 “come”, 去 “go”, and 出 “exit”.

2.3 Motion events in Old Chinese

In light of the definitions of “satellite” and “Ground NP”, we are now in the position of examining the framing behaviours of Old Chinese motion and non-motion events.

2.3.1 Path expressed by particle [v’ V [pp P NP]]

The following is a motion event from Analects, where a particle expresses the path, i.e. 自 zì “from”:

(5) 有 朋 自 遠 方 來
    yǒu péng zì yuǎn fāng lái
    have friend from distant place come
    “have a friend coming from a distant place” (Analects)

In (5), 来 lái bears a substantive meaning, i.e. “to come”. In modern Chinese it has been grammaticalised, holding a deictic meaning, e.g. pǎo chū lái “run-out-come”. Here it is paired with an ablative case marker 自 zì “from”, which binds the path and entails a source (yuǎn fāng “distant place”) function. This motion event, in Talmy’s sense, technically exhibits satellite framing.

2.3.2 Path expressed by verb root [Figure V Ground NP]

Motion events with the path denoted by verb roots present two types of event-framing pattern. The following piece of data suggests its path lexicalised by a verb root:

(6) 甘茂 亡 秦 且 之 齊
    Gān Mào wáng Qin qìe zhī Qí
    Gan Mao exile Qin moreover go to Qi
    “Gān Mào exile (from) Qín and went to Qí” (Zhanguocele)

(6) is a coordinate clause, denoted by the conjunction 且“moreover”. Two motion morphemes are involved, i.e. 亡 wáng and 之 zhī. The first morpheme 亡 wáng is unergative, meaning “exile” and 秦 Qín is a container Ground NP, denoting the SOURCE of wáng “exile”. Thus, syntactically, 亡秦 wáng Qín is like a verb phrase (VP), and licenses the external argument.

---

2 According to a reviewer of this piece, “亡 .... (且 ) 之 ... ” can also be considered as split construction.
The second motion verb 之 zhī “reach, arrive at”, likewise appears to incorporate the container Ground NP 齐 Qí, which contributes to the GOAL. Thus, the motion 之齐 “reaching Qi” is like a VP. The semantic relationships of the motion verbs and the Ground NPs in the two motion events are similar. They both conflate the path into the head verbs and thus suggest verb framing.

The following data, from Xunzi (313 B.C. – 238 B.C.), also has its path framed with a verb root. However, it presents a different framing pattern:

(7) 孔子 趋 出
Kǒng zǐ qū chū
“Kǒng zǐ went out in a hurry” (Xunzi)

As far as (7) is concerned, 趋 qū denotes the manner of the motion, meaning “hurry up”; 出 chū serves as the path component. Peyraube (2006) points that a coordinate conjunction is available to insert between the two morphemes; thus it can be 趋而出 “hurry up and go out”. This syntactic test suggests that the two morphemes, i.e. manner and path, receive an equal semantic as well as syntactic weight. This inspires us to ponder if, as early as 313 B.C. – 238 B.C., equipollent framing already existed. However, such data is not found much, as the path morpheme 出 chū soon gets grammaticalised and behaves like a directional complement.

2.3.3 Path expressed by complement [v’ V [V-COMP]]

Example (8) is a non-motion event from Shi ji (史记 104 B.C. – 91 B.C.), a century later than the Xunzi. 出 chū is the path verb, behaving like a satellite, i.e. a resultative complement rather than a substantive verb as it does in the earlier work:

(8) 晋人 也 逐 出 之
Jìn rén yě zhú chū zhī
“As far as Jin people are concerned, they are driven away” (Shi ji-Benjia 6)

To note, the pronoun 之 zhī co refers to the topic 晋人 Jìn rén, “people of Jìn Land”. The cause verb 逐出 zhú-chū “chase-go out” is composed by a transitive verb V1 逐 zhú, which Li and Thompson (1981) and Lu (1973) refer to as a “displacement verb”, and a complement 出 chū. V1 does not imply an accomplishment; it is the second morpheme 出 chū that denotes the result of the action. Obviously, 出 chū here seems to have lost its full lexical meaning, which we suppose to be due to the

1 之 has many meanings; it could be a pronoun, a grammaticalised nominaliser, or verb, as in this case. The verb usage is frequently found in Old Chinese, with more examples including 辍耕之垄上 [陈涉世家].
2 COMP: complement
vocabulary evolution during the Han Dynasty. Crucially, though, the manner morpheme and path morpheme together take the patient 之, i.e. a plural pronoun; they are not bound. The path 出 chū can be replaced by other complements, as long as they denote an accomplishment to the action. Given this, we can assume that it is the first constituent that determines the transitivity of the whole and thus should be viewed as the head. The resultative path component here can be considered framed outside of the verb root. Therefore satellite framing is suggested in this event.

2.3.4 Path expressed by preverb \([v' V [\text{PREV}^3-V]]\)

Furthermore, it is a very productive pattern that motion verbs are preceded and modified by potential preverbs in Old Chinese, as shown in (9):

(9) 載 馳 載 驅  
zài chí zài qū  
PREV race PREV rush  
“(I) was racing along and (I) was rushing along” (Shi Jing)

There are two motion verbs, i.e. 馳 chí 驅 qū. The path is not borne by the head verb but a non-head preverb, i.e. 載 zài, which denotes a progressive reading of the motion.

2.3.5 Path expressed by incorporated noun \([v' V [\text{NP} [N-V]]]\)

Path can be further denoted by an incorporated noun, as exemplified by (10):

(10) 孔雀 東 南 飛 五 里 一 徘徊  
kǒng què dōng nán fēi wǔ lǐ yī pái-huái  
peacock east south fly five league one waver  
“South-east fly the peacocks, every five leagues they wafer” (Kongque Dongnan Fei)

The directional noun 东南 dōng nán “southeast” functions as a manner or an adverb of the motion verb 飛 fēi “fly”, meaning “towards the southeast”. In this case, an incorporated noun denotes the satellite in relation to the verb. Moreover, it also appears that, in the Late Han Dynasty, a bisyllabic-morpheme verb emerges, e.g. 徘徊 pái-huái. The two morphemes are both bound, and are considered a rhyming compound 聽韻詞 (see Chung, 2006, pp. 138-139). Incorporating Talmy’s (2000, pp. 35-36) discussion of “translational motion”, pái-huái “to wander” should be considered a self-contained motion. Therefore, such data is excluded, despite it providing a piece of evidence for potential equipollent framing in Old Chinese.

---

5 PREV: preverb
2.4 Summary

This section has been devoted to event framing in Old Chinese and the data have shown a degree of intralinguistic variation. Old Chinese displays three framing strategies: verb framing, satellite framing, and equipollent framing. This result fails to justify our assumption on the development of event-framing patterns, i.e. that satellite framing may appear later than verb framing (in fact, its first appearance is as early as 700 B.C., in Analects). There is therefore no trend suggesting the development of Chinese event framing shifting from verb framing towards satellite framing to equipollent framing; instead, they co-exist in Old Chinese. However, we can assume verb framing was the main framing strategy before the syntactical evolution that takes place in the Han period. Moreover, it has been observed that five constituents contribute to the path: (a) particle, (b) verb root, (c) complement, (d) preverb and (e) incorporated noun.

3. Event framing in Old Japanese

In this section, the discussion focuses on Old Japanese. Contemporary Japanese is typically considered to be verb framing (Talmy, 2000, p. 222) and such a strategy is also seen in Old Japanese. Furthermore, the path is expressed by a variety of lexical resources.

It is worth mentioning that, before the development of the purely phonetic script hiragana (in the late 800s A.D.), the Japanese employed Chinese characters to represent on paper vernacular Japanese. Kojiki, the oldest extant chronicle in Japan, is written in a mixed Chinese-Japanese script, which is termed 变体漢文 hentai-kanbun “variant Chinese”. Variant Chinese refers to a script which is a combination of Chinese and a phonetic transcription of Japanese. Nihon Shoki Kayō is the second oldest book of classical Japanese. It was written in classical Chinese, due to it being the official language at that time. Man’yōshū is the oldest collection of Japanese poetry and was written in man’yōgana, where three patterns of the borrowing of Chinese characters are used, i.e. solely borrowing semantic meaning, solely borrowing phonological value, and borrowing both semantic and phonological values.

3.1 Verb framing in Old Japanese

In light of the Old Japanese data, we are now in the position to examine the framing strategies of motion and non-motion events. To begin with, we explore a variety of lexical resources that denote the path.
3.1.1 Path denoted by prefixes [v’ V [PREF-V]]

First, the path can be expressed by a prefix on the verb. The following example provides a combination of [Ground NP + Prefix + Manner]:

(11) 故志 能 久迹 迩 佐加志 賣 遠 阿理登
Kwosi no kuni ni sakasi mye wo ari to
Kosi COP land DAT ADJ.COP-ADN maiden ACC exist COMP

岐加志 易 佐 用婆比 爾 阿理 多多 斯 用婆比 迹
kikosite sa- ywobapi ni ari- tata-si ywobapi ni
hear-respect PREF courtship DAT PREF set out courtship DAT

阿理 加用婆 加勢
ari- kaywopa- se
PREF go out-respect EXCL

“Hearing that there was a pretty maiden, (the emperor) set out to woo her, went out to propose to her” (Kojiki 2)

The motion verbs, あり立たし aritatasi; あり通はせ arikaywopase are in respectful forms, meaning “set out”. The paths of motion verbs 立つ; 通ふ are denoted by the potential prefix あり. The path expression is telic, since they imply the arrival at the destinations, i.e. the Ground NP 婚ひ. Given this, verb framing is exhibited in the two motion events.

3.1.2 Path denoted by auxiliaries [v’ [[V-AUX] V]]

Second, the expression of the path can be denoted by an auxiliary on the verb, as shown in (12):

(12) 吾 妹子 夢 見 来...
waga imokwo wo ime ni mi-ye- ko to
my dear love dream DAT see-PASS- come.IMP COMP

“praying: ‘my dear love, come (let me see) appear to me in my dreams’”
(MYS 12.3128)

This is an optative expression. Following Nikitina’s (2008: 186) insight, 夢 ime can be assumed as a container Ground NP. In the motion 見来 mi-ye-ko, the path is expressed via an auxiliary “-ye-”, which denotes a passive reading. Incorporating this, the path information is lexicalised in the verb as the head, which thus suggests verb framing.

---

6 The analysis and glossing of Old Japanese examples follow Frellesvig (2010).
3.1.3 **Path denoted by verb compounding** [v’ V [V-V]]

Path can be further expressed by verb compounding, as shown in (13):

(13) ...阿賀 浄富久爾 奴斯 那 許曾 波 遠 述 伊麻世
\[a \text{ ga} \ \text{opon-kuni} \ \text{nusi na} \ \text{koso} \ \text{pa wo ni imase}\]
I-GEN great-country -ruler you FOC TOP man COP exist.RESP

婆 津知 微流 斯麻 能 佐歧 頭歧 加歧 橋流
\[ba \ \text{uti mwiru} \ \text{sima} \ \text{no} \ \text{sakizaki} \ \text{kaki mwiru}\]
-because PREF-move about island GEN tip-tip PREF-move about

伊蘇 能 佐歧 津知 受
\[iswo \ \text{no} \ \text{saki} \ \text{oti zu}\]
beach GEN tip fall NEG

“Oh, my divine Yachipoko, the ruler our country, since you are a man, you move about on all the islands; move about on each beach, without exception” (Kojiki 5)

The above two motion events consist of a combination of [Area Ground NP + V-V\textsuperscript{a}, i.e. うち廻る uti-mwiru; かき廻る kaki-mwiru. They are atelic directed motion events. The path is encoded by the head verb 廻る; the manner components are expressed by prefixes on the verbs, i.e. うち uti; かき kaki. The motion events display verb framing.

In fact, the employment of verb compounding is quite often found in the *Man’yoshu* and (14) provides another example:

(14) 高圓 乃 山 尔 毛 野 尔 母 打行
\[Takamatwo \ \text{no} \ \text{yama ni} \ \text{mo nwo ni mo uti yuki}\]
Takamatwo GEN mountain DAT FOC field DAT FOC PREF go

而 遊 恑 格... 
\[te \ \text{aswobi aruke do.}\]
GER play walk CONC

“Though go and wander around the mountain and fields of Takamato as I like ...” (MYS 8.1629)

A salient property of this motion event lies in that it involves two verbal forms: a main verb, denoted by V2 徒 aruku “walk”, and an adverbial verb denoted by V1 遊 aswobu “play”. The motion is not telic as there is no destination. Such a pattern appears quite productive in Old Japanese.

Furthermore, in the following *verb-framing* event, the path information is encoded by the head verb, with manner indicated by an adverbial verb:

---

\[V-V: \text{verb compounding}\]
A Comparison of Event Framing in Old Chinese and 

To note, in contemporary Japanese におい means “smell”, but in the time from Old Japanese to pre-modern Japanese nipopi denotes the meaning “beautiful”; here, nipopi plays the role of modifying the manner of the motion tiru.

3.2 Equipollent framing in Old Japanese

So far, it seems that verb compounding plays the most significant role in motion events in Old Japanese. The following non-motion event, however, which is again denoted by verb compounding, is different:

(16) 黄葉 乃 散飛 見 乍…
momitiba no tiri-tobu mi tutu
yellow leaves GEN scatter (intr.)-fly see. INF.CONT
“Look at the leaves scattering” (MYS 4.543)

The compound 散飛 tiritobu is in a relative clause. It is composed by two non-scalar change motion morphemes, i.e. V1 tiru “scatter” and V2 tobu “fly”. The two morphemes have the same meaning and scalar properties. They are considered a synonymous lexicon. The events represented by V1 and V2 are classified as the same categories (i.e. both of them are motion events). Given this, syntactically and semantically, the two motion morphemes seem to receive an equipollent weight. Therefore, equipollent framing is tentatively suggested.

Another piece of evidence for this framing pattern is provided in (17):

(17) 足受利 四管 頓 情 消失 奴
asi-zuri situt tatimatini kokoro ke-use- nu
feet scoot-INF. CONT quickly. ADV heart vanish-get lost NEG
“Keep scooting over, then, the heart does not vanish nor get lost” (MYS 9.1740)

The two morphemes in the non-motion event keuse “vanish-get lost” have the same meaning and scalar properties, i.e. both V1 消“vanish” and V2 失 “get lost” are unaccusative verbs. Semantically, the two morphemes are weighted equally. Syntactically, the compound is followed by a negative auxiliary 奴 nu. As stated above, Man’yōshū was written in man’yōgana, a form in which Chinese characters are employed to represent Japanese. Hence, the negation of Old Japanese is denoted by an
independent word rather than inflectional auxiliary attached to V2, as in contemporary Japanese. Therefore, morphologically, the two morphemes are ranked as equipollent and are framed coordinately. Given this, we may safely conclude that Old Japanese could possibly exhibit *equipollent framing*.

### 3.3 Satellite framing in Old Japanese

Furthermore, the following data inspires us to ponder if *satellite framing* also perhaps exists in Old Japanese. In this non-motion event, the path is expressed by verb compounding, which consists of a cause verb V1, denoting an action and a stative verb V2, expressing a state or the result of an action:

(18) 我刺柳絲乎吹亂風尔
    wa ga kazasu yanagwi no ito wo puki-midaru kaze ni
    I GEN hold willow GEN string ACC blow-scatter (tr.) wind COP

    加妹之梅乃散覧
    ka imo ga ume no tiru ramu
    EXCL wife GEN plum GEN splattered CONJ

“The wind that blows and scatters the willow that I am holding, oh, my wife’s plum must also be scattered around at the moment” (MYS 10.1856)

The compound *吹乱* puki-midaru “blow-scatter” is composed by an unaccusative verb and a transitive verb. V1 *puki* “blow” denotes the cause of the motion and V2 contributes to the result *midaru* “scatter”. A verb weakening is seen in the morpheme *乱* midaru “scatter”. As a result, it should be acknowledged as a resultative complement. Given this, we argue this non-motion event lexicalises the path information by a resultative complement rather than a head verb. Crucially, such a resultative complement behaves like a satellite, and this inspires us to tentatively propose that *satellite framing* does indeed potentially exist in Old Japanese.

### 3.4 Summary

In this section, discussion has focused on the event framing of motion and non-motion events in Old Japanese. The path is expressed via three means, i.e. prefix, auxiliary, and verb compounding. Among them, verb compounding appears to play the most significant role. *Verb framing* appears to be the main framing strategy. Interestingly, *satellite framing* and *equipollent framing* have also been found in the data. This phenomenon suggests that Old Japanese displays a wider variety of framing strategies than contemporary Japanese. We thus ponder whether such variety is possibly down to the distinct writing systems employed in Old Japanese, e.g. 変体漢文 *hentai-kanbun* “variant Chinese”, 万葉仏* man’yōgana* etc. Along with the emergence of the purely phonetic script *hiragana* in the late 800s A.D., “variant
Chinese” and man’yōgana gradually disappear, with the syntactic similarities between Chinese and Japanese declining. When we come to today, they have diverged so far as to now belong to different language families.

4. Conclusion

This paper has brought a diachronic perspective to an investigation of event framing in Old Chinese and Old Japanese. Contrary to our assumptions, it seems there is no unidirectional shift of event-framing strategy in Old Chinese or Old Japanese. Path can be denoted by a variety of lexical resources. Particle, preverb, incorporated noun, prefix, auxiliary, verb root, verb compounding and complement have all been observed to express the path in Old Chinese and Old Japanese. This variety in the expressions of path give rise to various event-framing patterns. Three event-framing patterns, i.e. satellite framing, verb framing, and equipollent framing, seem to co-exist in both Old Chinese and Old Japanese. Table 1\(^9\) gives a comparison of the distinct event-framing strategies according to various linguistic resources in each language. Table 2 provides the linguistic transformation that gives rise to the change of framing patterns in the two languages.

Table 1: Event-framing strategies in line with the lexical and morph syntactic resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lexical resources</th>
<th>Old Chinese</th>
<th>Old Japanese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle</td>
<td>$s_f$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporated NP</td>
<td>$s_f$</td>
<td>Not saliently used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefix</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$v_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
<td>$v_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verb compounding</td>
<td>Rare but $s_f; e_f$</td>
<td>Productively exist $v_f; e_f; s_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preverb</td>
<td>$v_f$</td>
<td>$\emptyset$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement</td>
<td>Rare but $s_f$</td>
<td>Rare but $s_f$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^9\) $s_f$: satellite framing, $e_f$: equipollent framing, $v_f$: verb framing
Table 2: Linguistic transformation of lexical and morph syntactic resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lexical resources</th>
<th>Modern Chinese</th>
<th>Modern Japanese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle</td>
<td>Remain</td>
<td>Exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporated NP</td>
<td>Declined</td>
<td>Exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefix</td>
<td>Transformed to verb compound</td>
<td>Remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary</td>
<td>Declined</td>
<td>Remain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verb compounding</td>
<td>Productively exist</td>
<td>Productively exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preverb</td>
<td>Declined</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement</td>
<td>Productively exist</td>
<td>Declined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, this paper argues that Talmy’s typology, as well as those of other linguists (Slobin, 2004b; Zlatev & Yangklang, 2004), are not real semantic typologies of crosslinguistic variation. The distinct event-framing patterns we see both intralinguistically and crosslinguistically are based on the diversity of lexical resources available in terms of motion/non-motion event framing and on the preferences for event-encoding options which rest on the selection of different lexical resources.
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