

Katarina Tomašević

Institute of Musicology, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts  
Inštitut za muzikologiju, Srbska akademija znanosti in umetnosti

# Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac and the inventing of tradition: a case study of the Song 'Cvekje Cafnalo'\*

## Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac in iznajdevanje tradicije: vzorčna študija pesmi »Cvekje cafnalo«

Prejeto: 19. april 2010  
Sprejeto: 1. maj 2010Received: 19th April 2010  
Accepted: 1st May 2010

**Ključne besede:** Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac, Petar Konjović, Predrag Milošević, inventing of tradition

**Keywords:** Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac, Petar Konjović, Predrag Milošević, iznajdevanje tradicije

## IZVLEČEK

Glavni namen prispevka je v raziskavi vloge Stevana Stojanovića Mokranjca v procesih *iznajdevanja umetne tradicije* v srbski glasbi moderne dobe. S tem ko sledim poti izbranega analitičnega primera, to je ljudski pesmi »Cvekje cafnalo« iz Mokranjčeve *12. rukoveti*, mimo P. Konjovičevih del (*Simfonija v c-molu*, 1907 in *Drugi godalni kvartet*, 1937) ter *Sonatine* (1926) Predraga Miloševića, nameravam pokazati, na kakšen način je Mokranjčev opus rabil kot *izhodišni model* za vpeljevanje zgodnjega modernizma v srbski (in jugoslovanski) glasbi v prvi polovici 20. stoletja.

## ABSTRACT

The main aim of this article is to examine Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac's role in the processes of *inventing the artistic tradition* of Serbian music of modern times. By following the route of the chosen analytical sample, the folk song "Cvekje cafnalo" from Mokranjac's *Twelfth Garland*, through Petar Konjović's works (*Symphony C-minor*, 1907 and *The Second String Quartet*, 1937), finally to the piano *Sonatina* (1926) by Predrag Milošević, I plan to show on which way Mokranjac's oeuvre served as a *starting model* for the initiation of early modernism in Serbian (and Yugoslav) music in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

\* This article is a result of the project *Music at the Crossroads Serbian, Balkans and European Context*, no. 147033, financial by Ministry of the Republic of Serbia. It represents amended and revised version of the report submitted at the international conference *Composer and his Environment*, organized by the Institute of Musicology of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts and Matica srpska, held in Belgrade and Novi Sad in 2006 (November, 9-11), on the occasion of the 175th anniversary of Kornelije Stanković and Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac.

The main idea of this article is to highlight Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac's (1856–1914) role in the processes of *inventing the artistic tradition* of Serbian music of modern times. My starting hypothesis arises from the broadly accepted opinion that Mokranjac's creative interpretation of folk tunes highly inspired his followers. However, my intention is to go a step further, stating that Mokranjac's main contribution consisted in his *construction of the new identity of Serbian artistic music*. This "newly-born" understanding of artistic music, established in Mokranjac's oeuvre, served as the *starting model* for the music of his most gifted successors – the main representatives of early modernism in Serbian (and Yugoslav) music in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Firstly I will draw readers' attention to selected facts from Mokranjac's biography, biographies of his widely known Western contemporaries and of his successors in Serbian music history. Briefly reviewing the notion of *tradition*, and particularly the notion of *inventing tradition*, in my next step I will introduce the theoretical premises for my final conclusions. Finally, by following the route of the chosen analytical sample, the folk song "Cvekje cefnalo" from Mokranjac's *Twelfth Garland (Rukovet, 1906)*, through Petar Konjović's (1883–1970) works (*Symphony C-minor, 1907* and *The Second String Quartet, 1937*), finally to the piano *Sonatina* (1926) by Predrag Milošević (1908–1982), I will examine the practical value of the proposed theoretical premises.

## Step 1. – Mokranjac and His Time

Born in 1856, one century younger than Mozart, Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac was only eight when another gifted young composer, pianist and melographer Kornelije Stanković (1831–1865), the founder of the Romanticism movement in Serbian music, died prematurely. Kornelije was the first important "ambassador" of Serbian music abroad and the first to unveil the wealth and beauty of Serbian folklore heritage to Europe. His people respected and praised him to such an extent that even during his life his name became the universal synonym for a musician of that, so-called "Kornelian" epoch. His great successor, Stevan Mokranjac, grew up and developed his talent in the era that carefully preserved the idealized memory of Kornelije and of his work, important for establishing a foundation for the new music art tradition of western physiognomy, completely unknown before in Serbian cultural history.

At the end of the 1870s Mokranjac went to study in Munich, leaving behind a young Serbian music culture, marked by the activities of numerous, mainly well-educated musicians of Czech origin.<sup>1</sup> One biographical curiosity tells us that Mokranjac, during his studies in Munich with J. Rheinberger (1879–1883), became quite familiar with Richard Wagner's music. Mokranjac was probably the first musician from this region to visit Bayreuth, and in 1883 he attended one of the August performances of *Parsifal*,

<sup>1</sup> About the activities of Czech musicians see e.g.: Milica Gajić, "Doprinos čeških muzičara srpskoj muzičkoj sceni do Prvog svet-skog rata (...)" ["Contribution of Czech Musicians to the Serbian Musical Stage..."] in: *Srpska muzička scena* [Serbian Musical Stage], Muzikološki institut SANU, Beograd 1995, p.p. 114–128; Roksanda Pejović, "Češki muzičari u srpskom muzičkom životu (1844–1918)" ["Czech Musicians in the Serbian Musical Life (1844–1918)", I and II, *Novi Zvuk, 8, Novi Zvuk 9*, Beograd 1996, 1997, p.p. 51–58; 65–74; Katarina Tomašević, "The Contribution of Czech Musicians to the Serbian Music in the 19th Century", *Muzikološki Zbornik, XLII/1*, Ljubljana 2006, p.p. 127–137.

Wagner's last musical drama.<sup>2</sup> Unfortunately, in that same year of 1883, Mokranjac reluctantly had to stop his Munich studies.

Only a few months after conducting the last performance of *Parsifal*, Richard Wagner died in Venice. That same year, 1883 (when Mokranjac composed his *First Garland: From my Native Land*), saw the birth of Petar Konjović, a consistent successor of Mokranjac's path, and the most important author of Serbian music drama in the 20th century.<sup>3</sup> Also known as the author of the *very first* symphony (*Symphony C-minor*) and of the *first* symphonic variations (*In the Country*, 1915) in Serbian music, Petar Konjović happens to be in the focus of our attention because these two works, as well as his *Second String Quartet*, are based on folk tunes from Mokranjac's *Garlands*.

During the next year, 1884, when Mokranjac set out to compose *The Second Garland (From my Native Land)*, another of his followers, a great figure of Serbian musical modernism, was born. This was Miloje Milojević (1884–1946), one of the leading composers, music critics and ideologists of modern music nationalism in the period between the two world wars.<sup>4</sup> A year later, in 1885, the third in the so-called “trefoil” of first Serbian modernists, Stevan Hristić, was born<sup>5</sup>; he later became internationally recognized as the author of the most famous national ballet, *The Legend of Ohrid* (1947). The two main *leitmotifs* of his ballet originated from Mokranjac's *Tenth Garland (Songs from*

<sup>2</sup> See: K. P. Manojlović, “Stevan Mokranjac o Vagneru i Parsifalu” [“Stevan Mokranjac on Wagner and Parsifal”], *Zvuk*, 4, Beograd 1933, p.p. 132–136; Stana Đurić-Klajn, *Mladi dani Stevana Mokranjca* [Youthful Days of Stevan Mokranjac], Mokranjčevi dani, Negotin 1981, p.p. 30–32; Katarina Tomašević, “Petar Konjović Pro et Contra Wagner” in: *Vagnerov spis “Opera i drama” danas* [Wagner's Treatise “Opera and Drama” Today], Matica srpska, Novi Sad 2006, 120–122.

<sup>3</sup> Petar Konjović (1883–1970) studied composition in Prague with K. Steker (1904–1906). After WW I he occupied the most responsible positions in the field of music (as director of the Opera House in Zagreb, professor and rector of Belgrade Academy of Music, and founder and first director of the Institute of Musicology of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts), and he was a member of the Academies of Sciences and Arts in Prague (since 1937) and Serbia (since 1946). Believing in pan-Slavic ideas, Konjović saw the future of Serbian music in the Slavic circle of modern “national schools” of the XXth century (See e.g. Katarina Tomašević, “Istok-Zapad u polemičkom kontekstu srpske muzike između dva svetska rata” [“The East and the West in the Polemic Context of the Serbian Music between the Two World Wars”], *Muzikologija, Časopis Muzikološkog instituta Srpske akademije nauka i umetnosti* [Musicology, Journal of the Institute of Musicology of the Institute of Musicology of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts], 5, Beograd 2005, pp. 119–129). As the most substantial contributor to modern Serbian music drama (*Knez od Zete/The Prince of Zeta*, 1927) and *Koštana* (1931, performed in Brno/1932 and Prague/1935), Konjović was inspired by the concept of the so-called “psychological realism”, recognized in *oeuvres* of Mussorgsky and Janáček. About the stylistic profile of Konjović's opus c.f. e.g. Nadežda Mosusova, “Stilska orijentacija Petra Konjovića” [“Stylistic Orientation of Petar Konjović”] in: *Život i delo Petra Konjovića* [The Life and the Work of Petar Konjović], Muzikološki institut SANU i Odeljenje likovne i muzičke umetnosti SANU, Beograd 1989, pp. 39–44.

<sup>4</sup> Miloje Milojević (1884–1946), composer, the first Serbian doctor of musicology and the leading critic of the time, had a great impact on musical life in Belgrade between the world wars. He studied composition (with Klose) and musicology at Munich University and took his doctoral degree in Prague (1925, with Zd. Nejedlý). As the most influential Serbian music critic and writer, he followed the aesthetic ideas of the circle of Serbian intellectuals called “Europeans”, who aimed to keep modern Serbian culture in step with European, particularly Western – French and German tendencies. On Milojević among “Europeans” see e.g. Vlastimir Trajković, “Ključni opisi u stvaralaštvu Miloja Milojevića” [“The Key Compositions of Miloje Milojević”] and Katarina Tomašević, “Miloje Milojević – između tradicionalnog i modernog” [“Miloje Milojević – between Traditional and Modern”] in: *Kompozitorsko stvaralaštvo Miloja Milojevića* [The Works of the Composer Miloje Milojević], Muzikološki institut SANU, Beograd 1998, p.p. 18–30 and 4–16.) A great fighter for the idea of “national style” in his pieces inspired by folklore, he tried to make a new, modern stylistic synthesis based on the classical aesthetic values of the European tradition.

<sup>5</sup> Stevan Hristić (1885–1958), who studied composition and conducting in Leipzig (with Krehl, Hofmann and Nikisch), Rome, Moscow and Paris, occupied leading positions in the musical life of Belgrade after the First World War. He was the founder and the first director of Belgrade Opera and Philharmony and later professor and rector at the Academy of Music. Well educated, Hristić quite early showed an affinity for contemporary Italian and French music (his *Resurrection*, 1911, was the first oratorio Serbian music!). Evidence of impressionism influences marks Hristić's most significant works – the extremely popular national ballet *Ohridska legenda* [The Legend of Ohrid], based on a folk tale and with music inspired by folklore and by Mokranjac's famous songs from the *Tenth Rukovet (Garland from Ohrid)*, and also his second stage opus – lyrical, intimate, one act music drama *Twilight*, which represents the composer's assimilation of the fin-de-siècle aesthetics.

*Ohrid*, 1905), which was considered to be one of the highest creative achievements of the whole Mokranjac oeuvre. In 1885 (when Hristić was born), Stevan Mokranjac was in Rome, devotedly studying Palestrina's vocal style. At the same time, young Claude Debussy, the last winner of *Prix de Rome*, was also in Rome, studying Wagner, trying to find the key solution to the resistance he felt towards the impact and domination of Wagner on French music at the time.

Mokranjac's rich and fruitful life ended in 1914, on the eve of the First World War, after which the geopolitical map of Europe was radically changed. By writing a specific music travelogue in his cycle of 15 choral *Garlands*<sup>6</sup>, Stevan Mokranjac seemed to have clearly anticipated one important event: after centuries of Turkish occupation, numerous migrations, and lives separated in two opposing civilizations (the Ottoman Empire and the Austrian), the Serbian people would once again, after WW I, be unified within a newly-established country – the Kingdom of Serbs, Croats and Slovenians (later the Kingdom Yugoslavia, and then the Socialistic Federative Republic of Yugoslavia).

Just like elsewhere in Europe, during the first post-war years, the atmosphere in the newly born Kingdom was imbued with a general feeling that the whole world was about to enter a NEW AGE. In 1914 Serbian culture lost both Mokranjac and one of the leading literary historians and the most influential critics, Jovan Skerlić (1877–1914), but the representatives of the youngest generation of artists (primarily poets and writers, but visual artists and musicians as well) strongly believed that they were powerful enough to create a completely NEW ART, without deeper references to the past. However, in the case of Serbian music, without Mokranjac *as the inventor of the new artistic music tradition*, there was no way forward. This is one of the main theses of this paper.

## Step 2. – The Inventing of Tradition

There is, of course, nothing new or “original” in the statement that Mokranjac showed the way to the next generation of Serbian composers. The list of writings devoted to Mokranjac's influence is almost endless. Moreover, several important studies deal expertly with the place of the tunes from Mokranjac's *Garlands* in the works of the first modernists.<sup>7</sup> My paper should be understood primarily as an attempt to observe Stevan Mokranjac from a possibly different angle: on one hand as a “stylogene” figure of Serbian musical Romanticism, and on the other hand as the *inventor of the new music art tradition*, which served as a new starting point for his successors. In their view, this *new tradition* established by Mokranjac had replaced the *old* Kornelian one, and was treated as the *new, starting model* for their modernistic tendencies. In justification of the mentioned thesis, I present here several relevant interpretations and definitions of the notions of *tradition* and *invented traditions*.

<sup>6</sup> E.g.: VII – *Songs from Old Serbia and Macedonia* (1894), XI – *Songs from Old Serbia* (1905); VIII (1896) and XII (1906) – *Songs from Kosovo*, IX – *Songs from Montenegro* (1896), X – *Songs from Ohrid* (1901), XIV – *Songs from Bosnia* (1908), *Coastal Songs* (1893).

<sup>7</sup> See e.g. Nadežda Mosusova, “Uloga Stevana Mokranjca u stvaralaštvu Petra Konjovića” [“The Role of Stevan Mokranjac in the Creative Work of Petar Konjović”] in: *Mokranjčevi dani 1967*, Negotin 1969, p.p. 37–47.

Following the anthological example of Thomas Elliot<sup>8</sup>, most theoreticians agree that tradition is an extremely complex and dynamic phenomenon. Although many definitions lay different emphases on important features of the phenomenon, they mostly reduce it to several basic ideas, such as: “There is no tradition without continuity”; “Tradition is based on the processes of selection from the past”; “It is impossible to *artificially reconstruct* tradition”. On the other hand: “It is possible to create it or to construct it”. A quotation from the well-known suggestion of Eric Hobsbawm is useful, too: “... *invented traditions* ... are responses to novel situations which take the form of reference to old situations, or which *establish their own past* by quasi-obligatory repetition.”<sup>9</sup> Yet another important idea of his is: “The term *invented tradition* includes ‘traditions’ actually invented, constructed and formally instituted ..., establish[ed] ... with great rapidity.”<sup>10</sup> (All *italics* by K.T.)

One of the widely-spread opinions is that tradition, by constantly making selections from a layer of novelties, accepts only those contemporary features that are adjusted to the newly-established social norms, that is, only those that are socially suitable and acceptable. Moreover, if a specific awareness of tradition is an attitude of *the present to the past*, each and every coming generation builds (creates, constructs) its own specific awareness of former traditions. Finally, bearing in mind that the ‘content’ of the notion of tradition is constantly changed in time, let’s remind ourselves “a tradition does not change itself. It contains the potentiality of being changed.”<sup>11</sup>

In accordance with these arguments, we can conclude that for Mokranjac’s generation, the musical oeuvre of Kornelije Stanković had the meaning and function of a *starting model*. For the generation that came after Mokranjac, though, that old model *was obsolete and abandoned* in the processes of stylistic, but also aesthetical selection, based on values. The new music art tradition, invented or constructed by Mokranjac, turned out to be the *model of the greatest development potential* for the later transformation of Serbian artistic music in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

### Step 3. – The Establishing of a *Model* – Mokranjac and “Cvekje cafnalo”

I will focus now on the chosen analytical sample – the artistic transpositions of the folk song “Cvekje cafnalo”. This folk song found its first creative interpretation in Mokranjac’s *Twelfth Garland*. Composed in 1906, this newest Mokranjac choral work was titled *Songs from Kosovo* and had its premiere in Belgrade at the traditional (old calendar) New Year’s concert of the “Belgrade Singing Society”, on 13<sup>th</sup> January 1907. The title of the *Garland* undoubtedly suggested that the song “Cvekje cafnalo”, which takes the fourth, penultimate position in the sequence of five songs, originates from Kosovo.

<sup>8</sup> I have in mind the famous Elliot’s essay “Tradition and the Individual Talent” first published, in two parts, in *The Egoist* (1919) and later in Elliot’s first book of criticism – *The Sacred Wood* (1920).

<sup>9</sup> *The Inventing of Tradition*. Edited by Eric Hobsbawm and Terence Ranger, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, Port Chester, Melbourne, Sydney 1983, p. 2.

<sup>10</sup> *Ibid.*, 1.

<sup>11</sup> Edward Shils, *Tradition*, Faber and Faber, London, Boston 1981, p. 212.

Andantino [M.M. ♩ = 84-92]

[Solo]

*p* Цве - ће цаф - на - ло, ни - не, у на - ша гра - ди - на, \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cve - će caf - na - lo, ni - ne, u na - ša gra - di - na, \_\_\_\_\_

[Solo]

*p* Цве - ће цаф - \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cve - će caf - \_\_\_\_\_

*Tutti*

[dim.]

\_\_\_\_\_ цве - ће цаф - на - ло, ни - не мој,  
 \_\_\_\_\_ cve - će caf - na - lo, ni - ne moj,

*p* цве - ће цаф - на - ло, ни - не мој,  
*p* cve - će caf - na - lo, ni - ne moj,

*Tutti*

\_\_\_\_\_ цве - ће цаф - на - ло, ни - не мој,  
 \_\_\_\_\_ cve - će caf - na - lo, ni - ne moj,

\_\_\_\_\_ цве - ће цаф - на - ло, ни - не мој,  
 \_\_\_\_\_ cve - će caf - na - lo, ni - ne moj,

*p* цве - ће цаф - на - ло, ни - не мој,  
*p* cve - će caf - na - lo, ni - ne moj,

у на - ша гра - ди - на. Как' да кај - ди - шем, ма - ле, да — га од -  
 u na - ša gra - di - na. Kak' da kaj - di - šem, ma - le, da — ga od -

у на - ша гра - ди - на. Как' да кај - ди - шем, ма - ле, да — га од -  
 u na - ša gra - di - na. Kak' da kaj - di - šem, ma - le, da — ga od -

у на - ша гра - ди - на. \_\_\_\_\_ да — га од -  
 u na - ša gra - di - na. \_\_\_\_\_ da — ga od -

у на - ша гра - ди - на. \_\_\_\_\_ да — га од -  
 u na - ša gra - di - na. \_\_\_\_\_ da — ga od -

- кас - нем,  
 - kas - nem,  
 - кас - нем,  
 - kas - nem,  
 - кас - нем, как' да кај - - ди - шем, ма - ле, да га од -  
 - kas - nem, kak' da kaj - - di - sem, ma - le, da ga od -  
 - кас - нем, как' да кај - - ди - шем, ма - ле, да га од -  
 - kas - nem, kak' da kaj - - di - sem, ma - le, da ga od -

f Жу - но - ме, миу - но, ма - ле мој, да га од - ка - снем.  
 Žau - no - me, miu - no, ma - le moj, da ga od - ka - snem.  
 f Жу - но - ме, миу - но, ма - ле мој, да га од - ка - снем.  
 Žau - no - me, miu - no, ma - le moj, da ga od - ka - snem.  
 - ка - снем. Жу - но - ме, миу - но, ма - ле мој, да га од - ка - снем.  
 - ka - snem. Žau - no - me, miu - no, ma - le moj, da ga od - ka - snem.  
 - ка - снем. Жу - но - ме, миу - но, ма - ле мој, да га од - ка - снем.  
 - ka - snem. Žau - no - me, miu - no, ma - le moj, da ga od - ka - snem.

Example 1. Mokranjac, *Twelfth Garland, Songs from Kosovo*, 4. song – “Cvekje cafnalo”<sup>12</sup>.

Surprisingly, right at beginning of my research, I came across several interesting facts related to the precise origin of the song “Cvekje cafnalo”. The starting premise, that Mokranjac wrote it down during his fieldwork in Kosovo (1896),<sup>13</sup> had to be rejected:

<sup>12</sup> *Stevan St. Mokranjac, Sabrana dela* [Collected Works], volume 1, Editor Vojislav Ilić, *Rukoveti* [Garlands], Knjaževac-Beograd 1992, p.p. 243–244. The text of the song on English is the following: *The flowers have blossomed, Mother./ In our garden.../ How should I pluck them./ Mother dear? /When I'm both glad and sorry./ To pluck them (Mokranjac, Collected Works, vol.1, p. 348.)* N.B. There is also a version for male choir, which is longer. See in: *Mokranjac, Collected Works, vol.1, p.p. 256–258.*

<sup>13</sup> About Mokranjac's field work on Kosovo see: Petar Konjović, “Stevan St. Mokranjac” in: *Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac. Život i delo* [Stevan Stojanović Mokranjac. Life and Work], Edited by Dejan Despić and Vlastimir Perić (Stevan St. Mokranjac, Sabrana dela [Collected Works], volume 10), Beograd 1999, p.p. 28–32. Cf. also Djordje Perić, “Stevan Mokranjac i Kosovo (nova saznanja o boravku i melografskom radu Stevana Mokranjca na Kosovu)” [“Stevan Mokranjac and Kosovo (new findings about Mokranjac's visit and melographic work on Kosovo)”], *Razvitak*, 194/195, Zaječar 1995, p.p. 120–126.

the song definitely does not belong to Mokranjac's notebooks from that time! The tune is written down in composer's *Tenth notebook*, written several years later. Dragoslav Dević marked the song as "Macedonian".<sup>14</sup> Thanks to the precise investigation of Djordje Perić, another conclusion is reached: Mokranjac wrote the song down in Belgrade, either according to live singing or from the transcription of his friend, melographer Milojko Veselinović, who at the time of Mokranjac's stay in Kosovo was serving as a vice-consul in Skopje.<sup>15</sup> Without elaborating this fascinating story about the way "Cvekje cfnalo" travelled from its source to Mokranjac's notebook, we will uphold the supposition that Mokranjac became familiar with it in Belgrade at the turn of the century.

The question: "Is the tune originally from Kosovo, or from Macedonia?"<sup>16</sup> is still waiting for expert analysis by ethnomusicologists. However, the result of this brief research is quite important for a deeper understanding of the Mokranjac's creative processes in his selection of the material for his *Garlands*. Whether the song was, or was not originally from Kosovo, Mokranjac found it perfectly suitable for this *Garland*, which successfully evoked the musical atmosphere of the South Balkans', remaining for a long time in the focus of Mokranjac's numerous and the most successful followers.

The next example introduces the original Mokranjac transcription.

### 306. ЦВЕЋЕ Ц'ФНАЛО У НАША ГРАДИНА

Andante

Цве - ће ц'ф - на - ло, ни - не, у на - ша гра - ди - на,

цве - ће ц'ф - на - ло, ни - не мој, у на - ша гра - ди - на.

*Цвеће ц'фнало, нине,  
У наша градина.  
Цвеће ц'фнало, нине мој,  
У наша градина.*

Как' да га кајдишем, мале,  
Да га откашем.  
Жауно ме, миуно, мале,  
Да га отк'снем.

Ће си го дадем, мале  
На младо момче.  
На младо момче, мале,  
На срђен Тодорче.

*Example 2. Stevan St. Mokranjac, Tenth note book, transcription of the song "Cvekje cfnalo" (Collected Works, volume 9, p. 266; manuscript in the Archive of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, sign. A/САНУ/III, 10).*

<sup>14</sup> *Stevan St. Mokranjac, Sabrana dela* [Collected Works], volume 9, edited by Dragoslav Dević, *Etnomuzikološki zapisi* [Ethnomusicological Work], Knjaževac-Beograd 1996. See in the group of "Macedonian" songs, p. 251–283, on p. 266.

<sup>15</sup> Djordje Perić, op. cit.

<sup>16</sup> One should not forget that both Kosovo and Macedonia at that time were still under Turkish rule.

## Step 4. – “Cvekje cagnalo” in New Medium: Petar Konjović’s *Symphony and Second Quartet*

As mentioned, Mokranjac’s *Twelfth Garland* had its premiere in January 1907. During the same year, Petar Konjović, who had just returned from his two-year studies in Prague, began and finished the first version of his *Symphony in C-minor*. Both main themes of the first sonata movement are based on Mokranjac’s *Garlands*: the first theme “Lele, Stano, mori” originates from the *Fifth*, and the second “Cvekje cagnalo” from the most recent, the *Twelfth Garland*.<sup>17</sup> We suppose that Konjović may have even been at the premiere of the *Twelfth*. Apart from that, during 1907 he occasionally worked in the “Serbian Music School” in Belgrade (founded in 1899 by Mokranjac himself), where he may have met Mokranjac and personally obtained either the monographic note or the choral score of *The Twelfth Garland*. One thing is obvious: in the new orchestral medium the tune of “Cvekje cagnalo” had already diverted significantly from the original folk sample, but its closeness to its own starting *model* (Mokranjac’s transposition) remained tangible:

The musical score is presented in two systems. The first system includes parts for Timpani, T. tam, Vn. I, Vn. II, Vla, Vc., and Cb. The second system includes parts for Cor. in Fa (1, 2, 3, 4), Vn. I, Vn. II, Vla, Vc., and Cb. The score is in C minor and 4/4 time. Dynamics include *ppp*, *pp*, *p*, *mf*, and *pp*. Performance instructions include *ppp*, *pp*, *p*, *mf marc.*, *pizz.*, *arco*, and *pp*.

<sup>17</sup> The theme “Cvekje cagnalo” opens the final (Largo – Allegro vivace) movement and appears again in front of its Repetition segment.

Fl 1 2

Ob. 1 2

Cl. in Si. 1 2

Fg. 1 2

Cor. in Fa. 1 2 3 4

Tr. in Mi. 1 2

Trbn. 1 2 3

Tuba

Vn. I

Vn. II

V-la

Vc.

Cb.

*f*

*p*



Fl 1 2

Ob. 1 2

Cl. in Si. 1 2

Fg. 1 2

Cor. in Fa. 1 2

Tr. in Mi. 1 2

Vn. I

Vn. II

V-la

Vc.

Cb.

*f*

*pizz.*

Fl. 1  
2

Ob. 1  
2

Cl. in Si 1  
2

Fg. 1  
2

Cor. in Fa  
1  
2  
3  
4

Trbn. 2  
e

Tuba 3

Timp.

P-tti

Vn. I

Vn. II

V-la

Vc.

Cb.

*p*

*arco*

6 6

6 6

6 6

Picc. *ff*  
 Fl. 1 *ff*  
 Fl. 2 *ff*  
 Ob. 1 *ff*  
 Ob. 2 *ff*  
 Cl. in B $\flat$  1 *ff*  
 Cl. in B $\flat$  2 *ff*  
 Fig. 1 *ff*  
 Fig. 2 *ff*  
 Cor. in Fa 1 *ff*  
 Cor. in Fa 2 *ff*  
 Cor. in Fa 3 *ff*  
 Cor. in Fa 4 *ff*  
 Tr. in B $\flat$  1 *ff*  
 Tr. in B $\flat$  2 *ff*  
 Trbn. 1 *ff*  
 Trbn. 2 *ff*  
 Trbn. 3 *ff*  
 Timp. *ff*  
 P-tti *ff*  
 Gr. C. *ff*  
 Vn. I *ff*  
 Vn. II *ff*  
 V-la *ff*  
 Vc. *ff*  
 Cb. *ff*

The image displays a page of a musical score for a full orchestra. The score is arranged in two systems. The first system includes parts for Piccolo, Flutes (1 and 2), Oboes (1 and 2), Clarinets in B-flat (1 and 2), Bassoons (1 and 2), Cor Anglais in F major (1 and 2), Trumpets in D major (1 and 2), Trombones (1, 2, and 3), Tuba (3), Timpani, and Cymbals. The second system includes parts for Violins (I and II), Viola, Violoncello, and Contrabass. The music is written in C minor and 4/4 time. The score features various musical notations such as notes, rests, and dynamic markings.

Example 3. Petar Konjović, *Symphony C-minor*, Ist movement, II subject.

Konjović's symphonic variations *In the Country* (1915), as well as his *Adriatic Capriccio* for the violin and symphony orchestra (1936), proved Konjović's dedication to Mokranjac's *Garlands* as a starting model for his own creative work.<sup>18</sup> What gradually changed with the passage of time was Konjović's attitude to the *model*. His *progressively moving away from the model* (such a typical and universal phenomenon) can be convincingly proved by analysing Konjović's *Second String Quartet* (1937).<sup>19</sup>

Now very far away from Mokranjac's melographic note, as well as from Mokranjac's version in the *Twelfth Garland*, the tune of "Cvekje cafnalo" is also distant from Konjović's personal transposition in the *Symphony*. Not only does it reach a higher level of melodic and rhythmical stylization, but it also changes its function in the formal solution of three-movement cycle. The tune "Cvekje cafnalo" is no longer a mere quotation from Mokranjac's famous version. Revealing the hand of a master<sup>20</sup>, Konjović's *Second Quartet* is deeply rooted in the development potentials of the original tune: being its main construction-element, the theme "Cvekje cafnalo" is treated as a cyclic theme, which in the rich and highly elaborated counterpoint imbues and determines the music-dramaturgy of the whole oeuvre: on its micro, as well as its global formal level. The latent development potential of the theme is exploited to the maximum.

a)

t. 1-8

Violino II

b)

Example 4. Petar Konjović, *The Second String Quartet*, fragments.

<sup>18</sup> Symphonic variations *In the Country* are dedicated to the memory of Mokranjac. The main theme is the song "Pušči me", from the *Tenth Garland*. The premiere of variations took place in Belgrade in January 1920. Soon after, in April, the work was performed in Paris. *Adriatic Capriccio*, concerto for violin and orchestra, had its radio premiere (Radio Belgrade) in May 1939; the first concert performance was in November 1952. The main thematic material of all three movements originates from Mokranjac's *Coastal Songs*.

<sup>19</sup> The detailed formal analysis of the *Quartet* see in: Marina Stanišić, *Ciklični princip u gudačkim kvartetima Petra Konjovića i Dmitrija Šostakoviča* [Cyclical Principle in String Quartets by Petar Konjović and Dmytriy Shostakovich], M.A. thesis defended on the Departement for Music Theory, Faculty of Musical Arts, Belgrade, 2006, manuscript, pp. 111-134.

<sup>20</sup> Vlastimir Peričić, *Muzički stvaraoci u Srbiji* [Music Creators in Serbia], Beograd, s.a., p. 190.

## Step 5. – Neoclassical “Costume” of “Cvekje cafnalo”: *Sonatina* by Predrag Milošević

Petar Konjović's *Second String Quartet* and Stevan Hristić's ballet *The Legend of Ohrid*<sup>21</sup>, represent the mature stage of the main, dominant stylistic course of Serbian music in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

In the same period, while the modification of Mokranjac's tradition was in progress in the work of the first generation of Serbian modern composers, numerous choral societies were successfully establishing the position of Stevan Mokranjac as the most important “classic” of Serbian music and Yugoslav music on the vivid concert stage. The concert repertoires of choirs between the two wars was inundated not only with Mokranjac's *Garlands*, but also a great number of similar works by composers who, unlikely the modernists, were not ambitious to find their own, new approaches to the folk tradition. The popularity of choral singing culminated in 1924 with the foundation of the “South Slav Singing Association”, whose activities, just like in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, even included mass contests of choirs with thousands of participants!<sup>22</sup> There was no doubt that, at that time, Mokranjac's music gained the status of *a tradition* which belonged both to Serbian and to Yugoslav culture. It's worthwhile reminding oneself of the theoretical postulate that states: “Once a phenomenon gains mass popularity, it's the signal that tradition has been created!”<sup>23</sup>

On the other hand, there is nothing strange in the fact that, at the same time, the youngest generation of future Serbian composers felt a strong and natural urge to escape from Mokranjac. Watching what was going on the dynamic literary stage at the beginning of the third decade, when avant-guard, western-oriented movements replaced each other overnight, young musicians openly expressed their readiness to participate in creating another, completely new and radically different physiognomy of Serbian contemporary music. They were soon given a good opportunity, since most of them (from the mid-1920s) got scholarships to study in Prague.<sup>24</sup> At this point let's recall, for example, Stanojlo Rajičić's words: “We were running away from singing and choral music just as a young peasant, after coming to a large town, tries to hide his village origin by buying a new townsfolk suit.”<sup>25</sup> That temporary “costume” of New Music, incarnated in the works of Arnold Schoenberg (1874–1951) and Alois Hába (1893–1973), was worn by a few young composers – Ljubica Marić, Vojislav Vučković, Dragutin Čolić – early in their student's works!

<sup>21</sup> The first act was performed in 1933. The premiere of the whole ballet took place on November 29<sup>th</sup> 1947, on the stage of Belgrade National Theatre.

<sup>22</sup> Mass gatherings and competitions of choirs – members of the *Association* – were held in 1929, while the gathering of academic choirs took place a year later.

<sup>23</sup> See Katarina Tomašević, *Na raskršću Istoka i Zapada. O dijalogu tradicionalnog i modernog u srpskoj muzici (1918–1941)* [At the Crossroads of the East and the West. On the Dialogue between the Traditional and the Modern in Serbian Music (1918–1941)], Muzikološki institut SANU&Matica srpska, Beograd 2009, p. 106.

<sup>24</sup> The representatives of the so-called “Prague group” were Dragutin Čolić (1907–1990), Mihovil Logar (1902–1998), Ljubica Marić (1909–2004), Predrag Milošević (1904–1987), Stanojlo Rajičić (1910–2000), Milan Ristić (1908–1982) and Vojislav Vučković (1910–1942).

<sup>25</sup> Katarina Tomašević, “Razgovor sa Stanojlom Rajičićem” [Interview with Stanojlo Rajičić], *Novi Zvuk*, 2, Beograd 1993, p. 19.

Yet most who studied in Prague remained more moderate. Among their “school” opuses, there was no notion of folklore and nobody even tried to compose choral pieces. Nevertheless, traces of the old romantic forms and elements of the music language of late western and central-European Romanticism were still clearly visible in their mainly instrumental attempts to be “modern”; the most radical steps were made towards the elements of Expressionism.<sup>26</sup>

Reviewing the whole youthful oeuvre of these Prague students, the era of Mokranjac might seem to have disappeared forever from the horizon of the youngest generation of Serbian composers in the period between the two wars. It was not like that, however. One prospective Prague student, the oldest in the group (born in 1904), did not intend to forget Mokranjac while studying in the Czech capital. Predrag Milošević, then an undergraduate of composition in Jaroslav Křička’s (1882–1969) class, wrote an outstanding, attractive and inspiring piano *Sonatina* in 1926, which quickly found its way into the repertoires of numerous eminent Serbian and foreign pianists.<sup>27</sup> In its second movement, young Milošević pays homage to Stevan Mokranjac: “Cvekje cafnalo”, the beautiful folk tune from Mokranjac’s *Twelfth Garland*, echoed in his *Sonatina* in a completely new, neoclassical “costume”, with an impressionist spectre of nuances of harmonic colours.<sup>28</sup> The stylistic world of those five elegant and refined miniature variations had nothing to do with the expression of Konjović’s early style in his *Symphony*, nor with the saturated modernism of Konjović’s mature *Quartet*. Like other components of Milošević’s expression in *Sonatina* (as well as in his later works – *String Quartet*, 1928; *Symphoniette*, 1930), variations on the theme “Cvekje cafnalo” represented *in an absolute sense* a qualitative *style novelty* in Serbian music of the 1920s. There are serious arguments in favour of identifying Milošević’s creative attitude towards Mokranjac’s tradition as a pure symptom of Neo-classicism.<sup>29</sup> Thanks to Milošević’s contribution, the portrait of Serbian music modernism in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century was enriched with another, Neo-classical gesture.

<sup>26</sup> C.f. Marija Bergamo, *Elementi ekspresionističke orijentacije u srpskoj muzici do 1945. godine* [Elements of Expressionistic Orientation in Serbian Music until 1945], Beograd, SANU, Posebna izdanja, knj. DXXVI, Odeljenje likovne i muzičke umetnosti, knj. 3, 1980. See also: Melita Milin, “Etape modernizma u srpskoj muzici” [“The Stages of Modernism in Serbian Music”], *Muzikologija*, 6, Beograd 2006, p.p.93–116; Katarina Tomašević, *Na raskršću Istoka i Zapada...* [At the Crossroads of the East and the West...], op. cit., p.p. 207, 217–220.

<sup>27</sup> Vlastimir Peričić, op. cit., p. 301.

<sup>28</sup> See more about in Jelena Mihajlović-Marković’s article: “Stilska orijentacija Predraga Miloševića” [“Stylistic Orientation of Predrag Milošević”], *Medimurje. Časopis za društvena pitanja i kulturu*, 13/14, Čakovec 1988, p.p. 152–159.

<sup>29</sup> Vesna Mikić, *Neoklasicizam u srpskoj muzici šeste i sedme decenije* [Neoclassicism in Serbian Music of the Sixth and Seventh Decade], M.A. thesis, Belgrade (Faculty of Musical Art, mentor professor dr Mirjana Veselinović-Hofman), 1994, manuscript, p.p. 55–56.

ТЕМА (Народна мелодија)  
THEME (Folk tune)

The musical score is written for piano in 3/8 time and consists of five systems of music. The key signature has two flats (B-flat and E-flat).

- System 1:** The right hand begins with the instruction *sempre pp* and plays a series of chords. The left hand plays a rhythmic accompaniment starting with *p espressivo*. Measure numbers 1 and 6 are indicated.
- System 2:** Continuation of the accompaniment. Measure numbers 6 and 11 are indicated.
- System 3:** Continuation of the accompaniment. Measure number 11 is indicated.
- System 4 (Var. 1):** Labeled "Var. 1", this system introduces a more active melody in the right hand. The left hand continues with a similar accompaniment. Measure number 16 is indicated.
- System 5:** Continuation of the variation. Measure number 21 is indicated.

Var. 2

*Allegretto*

*p leggiero*

31

33

*cresc.*

Var. 3

*Andante*

*f*

47

52

*dim.*

*p*

Var. 4

*Allegro*

*p*

*marcato*

57

61

*deciso*

Var. 5

*Grave*

*p*

101

*sonoro*

*ppp*

105

*mp*

*cresc.*

109

*con moto*

*f*

113

*ff*

*passionato*

*dim.*

*poco rit.*

118

*p*

*tranquillo*

*pp*

Example 5. Predrag Milošević, *Sonatina for piano, II*nd movement, fragment.

## Step 6. – A Paradigm

We are on the threshold of the next and last step in this presentation of evidence, whose main aim was to prove the importance of Mokranjac's oeuvre as the *newly invented artistic tradition* for the further transformation of Serbian art music in the first half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. I will stop here, stating that the route of the tune "Cvekje cafnalo" from the original folk tune, through Mokranjac's *Twelfth Garland*, Konjović's *Symphony* and his *Second String Quartet*, to Predrag Milošević's *Sonatina*, can be considered as a *paradigm* for stylistic changes not only of Serbian, but also of any other *invented* or *constructed* music traditions.

Still, I would like to make one more final remark: at this point, where we do stop, the second part of the story about Mokranjac's role as a *model* in Serbian music of the late 20<sup>th</sup> and early 21<sup>st</sup> centuries is still going on. Bearing in mind the latest creative music productions in Serbia<sup>30</sup>, one can presume that the musicological discourse about Mokranjac as a *model*, remains, at least for the time being, a never ending story.

### POVZETEK

Glavni namen članka je v raziskavi vloge Stevana Stojanovića Mokranjca v procesih *iznajdevanja umetne tradicije* v srbski glasbi moderne dobe. Moja izhodiščna hipoteza sloni na splošno sprejetem mnenju, da so Mokranjčeve ustvarjalne poustvaritve ljudskih melodij močno navdihovale njegove naslednike. Vendar pa želim narediti še korak dlje s trditvijo, da je Mokranjčev osrednji prispevek v *oblikovanju nove identitete srbske umetne glasbe*. To »novorojeno« razumevanje umetne glasbe, ki in kakor se je utemeljevalo v Mokranjčevi glasbi, je rabilo kot *izhodiščni model* za glasbo njegovih najbolj nadarjenih naslednikov – glavnih predstavnikov zgodovinske moderne

v srbski (in jugoslovanski) glasbi prve polovice 20. stoletja.

Najprej so podana izbrana dejstva iz Mokranjčeve biografije, iz biografij njegovih znanih zahodnih sodobnikov ter njegovih naslednikov v srbski glasbeni zgodovini. Po kratkem pregledu pojma *tradicije* in še zlasti *iznajdevanja tradicije* – kot naslednji korak – predstavim teoretične postavke za končne zaključke. In ne nazadnje, s tem ko sledim poti izbranega analitičnega primera to je ljubezenski pesmi »Cvekje cafnalo« iz Mokranjčeve *12. rukoveti*, mimo P. Konjovićevih del (*Simfonija v c-molu*, 1907 in *Drugi godalni kvartet*, 1937) ter *Sonatine* (1926) Predraga Miloševića, raziščem še praktično vrednost ponujenih teoretičnih predpostavk.

30 Many new pieces were composed in honour of St. Mokranjac on the occasion of his 150 anniversary. During the second day of the Conference *Composer and His Environment* (on November, the 10<sup>th</sup>), in the pause between morning and afternoon sessions, a string quartet of the orchestra "Sveti Djordje" ["Saint George"] performed a *Piece in Honour of Stevan Mokranjac* (op. 132b), composed in 1997 by Dejan Despić (1930). Needless to say, the main themes of the *Piece* originate from Mokranjac's *Garlands!*