Zgornje Radvanje, Cluster 10 – a Late Neolithic pit with a structure and smaller pits
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ABSTRACT – Many hollows defined as pit houses are known from the Neo-Eneolithic period. Similar pits were also detected at the Neo-Eneolithic settlement of Zgornje Radvanje. The cluster of pits presented in this paper shows a stratigraphic sequence. One of the phases yielded a structure. Pottery forms, production and decoration are presented for each individual phase. A comparison of pottery forms and decoration with other sites indicates that the earliest pottery assemblages originate from the late Neolithic period.
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Introduction

Radvanje, a quarter of the city of Maribor, is located on a plain which forms part of the Subpannonian Dravsko-Ptujsko polje (Ptuj-Drava field), located in close proximity to the Alpine foothills of Eastern Pohorje (Gams 1998.229–230, 236) (Fig. 1). Until recently, Radvanje was known as a Late Bronze Age, Hallstatt, Late La Tène, Late Roman (Teržan 1990.26–36, 59–70), Roman and Early Medieval site (Strmčnik Gulč 1990; 1991; Slosar 2009), while only individual stone finds from the Neo-Eneolithic period were identified (Pahić 1972.30). In 2007, during the construction of the Maribor Western ring road, a multi-period site was discovered at Radvanje, location Zgornje Radvanje. A Neo-Eneolithic settlement covers most of this site. The site is still undergoing rescue excavation campaigns. The excavation at Zgornje Radvanje conducted during 2007 and 2008 yielded 35 structures, of which some 29 fall within the Neo-Eneolithic period and the others within the La Tène and Roman periods. In addition, two cremation graves and smaller pits dating to different periods were discovered (Koprivnik et al. 2009.16–17). Some of the Neo-Eneolithic archaeological remains were damaged by water, which used to flow across one part of the site.

Stratigraphic sequence of cluster 10

This paper presents part of the Neo-Eneolithic settlement of Zgornje Radvanje. Six phases were identified within the deposit. Four are associated with the settlement, construction and destruction of the recognised structure and everyday activities. Two are related to water activity and are documented with a layer of alluvium and a palaeochannel. Phases were documented with a stratigraphic sequence and a Harris Matrix diagram (Fig. 2).
**Phase 1**

Phase 1 is the earliest phase of the settlement. It is documented with several pits (SE 323, 325, 327, 329, 331, 333, 336) cut into the bedrock (Fig. 3, App. 1, 2). Their infill contained highly fragmented and burnt pieces of clay daub, pottery fragments and stone tools (Pl. 1.1 – Pl. 4.20).

**Phase 2**

Phase 2 is defined with the remains of a house. Its construction (posts) as well as destruction (fragments of burnt clay daub and a wooden structure) were documented (SE 271/272, 273/274, 343/344, 346/347, 348/349, -100/-101, -108/-109, 318). Everyday activities are noted with the remains of a hearth (SE 345) and clusters of pottery fragments and stone tools on the former walking surface (Fig. 4, App. 1, 2, Pl. 4.21 – Pl. 10.58). Several smaller pits were discovered adjacent to the house (SE 238/239, 267/268, 269/270, 275/276, 277/278, 680/681).

**Phase 3**

Phase 3 is defined with a limited concentration (SE 279) of highly fragmented clay daub, charcoal and stone tools (Fig. 5, App. 2).

**Phase 4**

Phase 4 is shown as sludge alluvium (SE 232, Fig. 5, App. 1, 2). Randomly scattered fragments of Neolithic pottery and stone tools (Pl. 10.59 – Pl. 12.79) were found in the layer. The structure, dating to the La Tène period, was cut into the alluvium, and we therefore assume that the layer was deposited prior to this period (Fig. 6).

**Phase 5**

Phase 5 is defined with a palaeochannel (SE 234, 236) (Figs. 6, 7, Apps. 1, 2) with scattered fragments of Neolithic (Pl. 12.82), prehistoric (Pl. 12.81) and Early Medieval pottery (Pl. 12.80, 83).

**Phase 6**

The most recent part of the stratigraphic sequences of cluster 10 is represented by topsoil (SE 001, 002).

**Pottery assemblages**

A total of 1862 pottery fragments were found in cluster 10; most belong to Phase 2 (1042), followed by phases 4 (728), 1 (76) and 5 (16). No pottery was found in phase 3.

Some 285 fragments of the total amount were typologically determined. The manufacturing technology was defined with macroscopic standards (Horvat 1999; Tomaz 1997, 1999).

Twenty-one Neolithic (Figs. 8.LM01–21, 9) and two Early Medieval fabrics (Fig. 8.LM22–23) were identified. They differ by type, size and frequency of inclusions. Quartz, mica and iron oxides were the most commonly used inclusions and were present in all fabrics. Organic material and an unidentified white material are rarer. Calcium carbonate is present only in the Early Medieval fabric (Fig. 8).

For the purposes of the macroscopic analysis, we also considered differences in the granularity of fabrics, surface treatment before firing, firing technique, hardness of pottery and pottery decoration techniques.

The typologically determined pottery assemblage consists of bowls, dishes, footed bowls/dishes, two miniature bowls and dishes, a miniature footed bowl/dish, a pitcher, a pot, a ladle, a spoon, a lid and an oil lamp. The remainder of the assemblage was defined as fragments of bases, applied decoration, handles, lugs and spouting nozzles.

**Phase 1**

The Phase 1 pottery was made of eight different fabrics. Fine-grained fabric prevails (74.1%) (Fig. 18), with the most common fabrics being LM09 (29.6%) and LM12 (25.9%). LM01 (18.5%) and LM08 (11.1%) were less frequent. LM06 (Pl. 4.19 wall of a pot), LM11 (pot Pl. 3.13), LM16 (Pl. 2.10 wall fragment) and LM18 (Figs. 9 and 17) are rare.

The sponging of pottery before firing is common (88.9%). Burnishing is less frequent (Fig. 19), while coloured clay slip (Pls. 1.1, 4.19) is rare.
Firing under incomplete oxidising conditions is most common in Phase 1 (59.3%). This is followed by firing under oxidising conditions (14.8%); reducing conditions with oxidising conditions used at the end of the firing process (11.1%); incomplete oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process (7.4%); and oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process (7.4%) (Fig. 20).

Most of the Phase 1 pottery is very hard (7, 8 and 9 on Mohs’ scale of hardness) (63%). Hard pottery (3 and 4 on Mohs’ scale of hardness) (22.2%) and very hard pottery (5 and 6 on Mohs’ scale of hardness) (14.8%) are less frequent (Fig. 21).

Some 27.33% of the Phase 1 pottery was decorated with grooving (motifs Fig. 10f, g), 27.33% with awl impressions (motifs Fig. 10a, e) and 27.33% with handmade applied decoration (motifs Fig. 10h). Only one fragment carries a combination of handmade applied decoration and channelled decoration (motif Fig. 10h), and another one a combination of handmade applied decoration, channelled decoration and awl impressions (motif Fig. 10i) (see also Fig. 22).

The pottery assemblage contains 27 fragments, which were typologically determined as pots (55.6%) (Fig. 11g–n, Pl. 2.9, Pl. 2.11, 12, Pl. 3.13 – Pl. 4.20), bowls (18.5%) (Fig. 11a–c, Pls. 1.3, 4, 1.6, 7), dishes 7.4% (Fig. 11d–e, Pl. 1.5, 8) and dishes or bowls (3.7%) (Fig. 11f, Pl. 1.1). The remaining 14.8% of the pottery finds do not fall within these categories (e.g. Pls. 1.2, 2.10) (Fig. 23).

**Phase 2**

The Phase 2 pottery was manufactured from 15 different fabrics. Very fine-grained fabric is most common (41.7%), followed by fine- (35.2%), medium-(23.5%) and coarse-grained fabric (0.6%) (Fig. 18). The most frequently used fabrics are LM01 (35.8%) and LM08 (25.3%), followed by LM18 (11.7%), LM07 (6.8%) and LM16 (6.2%). LM02–LM05, LM12, LM13, LM17, LM19, LM21 are rare (Figs. 9 and 17).

Some 89.9% of pottery was sponged before firing. Burnishing and smoothing are less frequent (Fig. 19). Some 4% of pottery shows sponging with an additional coloured clay slip (Pls. 9.55, 8.51, 9.54).

Firing under incomplete oxidising conditions prevails (46.6%), followed by oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process (20.5%), incomplete oxidising conditions with redu-
coring conditions at the end of the firing process (11.8%), oxidising conditions (9.9%), reducing conditions with oxidising conditions at the end of the firing process (7.5%) and reducing conditions (3.7%) (Fig. 20).

Most of the Phase 2 pottery is hard (3 and 4 on Mohs’ scale of hardness) (49.4%). Very hard (5 and 6 on Mohs’ scale of hardness) (36.4%) and extremely hard pottery (7, 8 and 9 according to Mohs’ scale of hardness) (14.2%) are less common (Fig. 21).

As far as decoration is concerned, grooving (48.2%) and channelled decoration (22.2%) prevail (motifs Fig. 12d–f, h–i, k–n). These are followed by applied decoration (12.9%) (motifs Fig. 12b, c), awl impressions (1.9%), a combination of grooving and awl impressions (7.3%) (motifs Fig. 12g, j), a combination of grooving and handmade applied decoration (1.9%) (motif Fig. 12o) and a combination of channelled decoration and awl impressions (5.6%) (motif Fig. 12a) (see also Fig. 22).

The pottery assemblage comprises 162 fragments which were typologically determined as pots (29%) (Fig. 13s–y, Pl. 7.46 – Pl. 9.52, Pls. 9.55, 10.58), bowls (9.3%) (Fig. 13a, c–i, Pl. 4.22 – Pls. 5.31, 6.34, 35, 10.57), pitchers (8.6%) (Fig. 13q–r, Pl. 7.41–45), dishes (6.2%) (Fig. 13j–p, Pl. 6.32, 33, 36, 37, 39, 40), dishes or bowls (4.9%) (Pl. 4.21), and pitchers or bowls (4.3%). Other forms are less frequent (37.7%). We recognised a miniature cup (Fig. 13b) (Pl. 6.38), ladles (Pl. 9.53, 54), fragments of handles, lugs, applied decoration, spouting nozzles, and a special find that can be interpreted as a lamp (Pl. 9.56) (Fig. 23).

The lamp was well fired in oxidising conditions and falls within the category of the hardest pottery (7, 8 and 9 on Mohs’ scale of hardness). It has a solid handle and a nozzle on its opposite side. The base is flat. Traces of secondary burning can be seen on both, the internal and the external side of the spout (Fig. 14).

Phase 4

The phase 4 pottery was made of fourteen different fabrics. Most fabrics are very fine-grained (47.8%), followed by fine- (29.3%), medium- (20.7%) and coarse-grained (2.2%) (Fig. 18.). The most common fabrics are LM01 (44.6%) and LM08 (21.7%), followed by LM18 (6.5%) and LM07 (5.4%), LM03, LM10, LM13–17 LM19–21 are rare (Figs. 9 and 17).
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Sponging of pottery before firing prevails (93.2%), followed by burnishing and smoothing (Fig. 19). Clay slip is rare (1.4%).

Firing under incomplete oxidising conditions was used most often (46.1%), followed by incomplete oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process (25.3%), oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process (14.3%), reducing conditions (6.6%), oxidising conditions (4.4%) and reducing conditions with oxidising conditions at the end of the firing process (3.3%) (Fig. 20).

Most of the pottery is hard (3 and 4 on the Mohs’ scale) (51.6%), followed by very hard (5 and 6 on the Mohs’ scale) (36.3%) and extremely hard pottery (7, 8 and 9 on the Mohs’ scale) (12.1%) (Fig. 21).

Grooving (32.6%) and channelled decoration (38.2%) are the most common decoration techniques (motifs Fig. 15c–d, f–g, i–j). Incised decoration (2.9%), awl impressions (2.9%) (motif Fig. 15e), handmade applied decoration (5.9%) (motifs Fig. 15a, b), modelled applied protrusions (2.9%) and combinations of incised decoration and awl impressions (2.9%), grooving and awl impressions (2.9%) (Fig. 15h), channelled decoration and awl impressions (8.8%) (Fig. 22) are also present.

The Phase 4 pottery assemblage contains 92 fragments, typologically determined as pots (21.8%) (Fig. 16k–m, Pl. 11.72 – Pl. 12.75), pitchers (14.1%) (Fig. 16i–j, Pl. 11.68–71), bowls (5.4%) (Fig. 16a–e, Pls. 10.59–62, 11.66) and dishes (1.1%) (Fig. 16f, Pl. 11.63). Some 51.1% of the remaining pottery finds do not belong to these categories. This group includes two miniature dishes (Fig. 15h) (Pl. 11.64), a miniature bowl (Fig. 15g) (Pl. 11.65), a spoon (Pl. 12.77), ladles (Pl. 12.78), a decorated object (Pl. 12.79) and fragments of handles, lugs and rims (e.g. Pl. 12.76) (Fig. 23).

**Characteristics of pottery production of phases 1, 2 and 4**

There are noticeable differences in the production technologies of the pottery of phases 1, 2 and 4. The most common fabrics of the earliest phase are LM09 and LM12 (Fig. 17). Both are distinguished by inclusions of quartz, mica, iron oxides and macroscopically unidentified white material. They differ from one another only in particle size and amounts of mica and quartz. In Phases 2 and 4, fabrics LM01 and LM08 prevail in roughly equal proportions (Fig. 17). These two fabrics include quartz, mica and iron oxides. Fabrics differ from one another in size and quantity. It is important to stress that a substantial proportion of LM09 occurs only in the earliest phase. It is followed by LM06 and LM11 (Fig. 17).
Most of the fabrics in phases 2 and 4 are very fine-grained. Most of the Phase 1 pottery is fine-grained (Fig. 18).

We documented three methods of surface treatment of unfired pottery. Sponging is most common in all phases. In addition, smoothing is present in Phase 1, and smoothing and burnishing are present in phases 2 and 4 (Fig. 19). Clay slip is not frequently applied before firing in any phase.

Firing under incomplete oxidising conditions prevails. Compared to Phase 1, phases 2 and 4 show slightly larger proportion of incomplete oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process and oxidising conditions with reducing conditions at the end of the firing process. On the contrary, the proportion of incomplete oxidising and oxidising conditions of firing is lower. Phases 2 and 4 are also characterized by reducing conditions of firing (Fig. 20).

The hardness of pottery assemblages of phases 1, 2 and 4 differs from one another. Most of the Phase 1 pottery is very hard (7, 8 and 9 on Mohs’ scale), while the pottery of phases 2 and 4 is hard (3 and 4 on Mohs’ scale) (Fig. 21).

Comparison of the proportions of decoration techniques shows differences among phases 1, 2 and 4. Phase 1 contains equal proportions of grooving (motifs Fig. 10f–g), awl impressions (motif Fig. 10a, e) and handmade applied decoration (motifs Fig. 10b–d) (Fig. 22. Phase 1). Phases 2 and 4 show a high percentage of grooving and channelled decoration (motifs Fig. 12d–f, h–i, k–n, Fig. 15c–d, f–g, i–j), while applied decoration (motifs Fig. 12b–c, motif Fig. 15a–b) and awl impressions (motif Fig. 15e) are less frequent (Fig. 22. Phases 2 and 4).

Decoration is always applied to the exterior upper parts of vessels (Pls. 1.6–8, 2.12, 3.15–18, 4.20, 22, 23, etc.). Pots mainly carry their decoration on shoulders (Pls. 2.12, 3.15, 17, 4.20, 7.46, 48, 49, 8.50, 10.58, 11.72, 12.74) and sometimes on shoulder-to-neck transitions (Pls. 9.55, 12.75) or necks (Pls. 3.18, 9.52, 11.73).

It is important to note that all the pot necks of phases 2 and 4 are decorated with a bunch of continuous horizontal lines (Figs. 12h, i, 15f, g). The only pot with a decorated neck from Phase 1 shows bunches of vertical lines (Fig. 10f).

Pots are the most common forms of pottery in all phases (Fig. 23). Phase 1 is characterised by pots with convex shoulders and short necks (Pl. 3.13, 14, 18). One example of this form was also found in Phase 2 (Pl. 9.52) and one in Phase 4 (Pl. 11.73). Pots with biconical bodies and almost cylindrical necks of various sizes (Pls. 2.11, 12, 4.20), a pot with an extremely rounded lower-to-upper body transition (Pl. 4.19) and a neck-less pot (Pl. 2.9) are also typical of Phase 1. The most common form of Phase 2 pots are pots with convex shoulders and cylindrical necks (Pls. 7.48, 49, 10.58).

Single-handled pitchers (Fig. 23) are also frequent in phases 2 and 4 (Pls. 7.41–45, 11.68–71). This form is not present in Phase 1.

Dishes and bowls occur in all phases of cluster 10 (Fig. 23). Phase 1 shows a greater number of dishes and bowls with bevelled lips (Pl. 1.3–5). Biconical bowls with bevelled lips (Pl. 1.6, 7), a dish with a spout hole just below the rim (Pl. 1.8), a dish/bowl with a hollow, slightly concave foot (Pl. 1.1), and a miniature dish/bowl with hollow, slightly concave foot (Pl. 1.2) are also typical. The most common form of bowls and dishes of phases 2 and 4 has a vertical or slightly inverted lip (Pls. 4.22–23, 5.25, 27–29, 6.35, 37, 40, 10.57, 61, 62, 11.66) (Pls. 4.22–23, 5.25, 27–29, 6.35–37, 40, 10.57, 61, 62, 11.63).
**Chronological evaluation of pottery assemblages from phases 1, 2 and 4**

Absolute dates for the three phases of cluster 10 from Radvanje are not available yet. In order to chronologically determine the pottery assemblage, we therefore had to compare and contrast it with pottery assemblages from other sites that have already been dated.

The most convincing comparisons can be found at Neo-Eneolithic sites from central and south-eastern Slovenia and the Late Neolithic site of Ozalj-Stari grad, Croatia.

---

**Fig. 8. Pottery fabrics found in cluster 10 and their macroscopic description. Granularity of inclusions, followed by their frequency per cm² are shown in brackets (according to Horvat 1999).**

Some of these dishes and bowls have spouting nozzles Pls. 5.26, 11.66) or ring-feet (Pl. 6.33).

Moverna vas and Ajdovska jama both yielded preserved and documented stratigraphy and are comparable to the studied site. Phase 1 is comparable with the second earliest, i.e. Moverna vas 4, settlement phase. Pottery assemblages show similar hollow, slightly concave feet, covered with slip (compare Pl. 1.1 with Tomazić 1999. Pl. MV20.1–3), dishes with a spout hole just below the rim (compare Pl. 1.8 with Tomazić 1999. Pl. MV19.1), bowls with bevelled lips (compare bowl form Pl. 1.3 with Tomazić 1999. Pls. MV19.2), and decoration of dishes with a line of punctate decoration (compare Pl. 1.8 with Tomazić 1999. Pls. MV17.4, MV18.1). Pots with convex shoulders and short cylindrical or slightly sloping necks are popular in both phases (compare Pl. 3.13, 14, 18 with Tomazić 1999. Pls. MV22.1–3, MV24.1, MV25. 1–2). It is important

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FABRIC</th>
<th>MACROSCOPIC DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LM01</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (1O), mica (2O) and iron oxides (3R,2R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM02</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (1O), mica (2O) and iron oxides (3R,2O) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM03</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (2R,1O), mica (2O) and iron oxides (3R, 2Z) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM04</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (2R,1O), mica (3Z,2Z) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM05</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (2R,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (4R,3R,2Z) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM06</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (2Z,1O), mica (3R,2Z), organic matter (3R) and iron oxides (3R,2R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM07</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (2Z,1O), mica (3R,2Z), and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM08</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (3R,2R,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (2R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM09</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (3R,2R,1O), mica (4R,3R,2Z), iron oxides (3R,2R) and unidentified white material (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM10</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (3R,2R,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (3Z,2O) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM11</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (3R,2R,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM12</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (3R,2Z,1O), mica (3R,2Z), iron oxides (3R,2R) and unidentified white material (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM13</td>
<td>Fine grained fabric with quartz (3R,2Z,1O), mica (3Z,2O) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM14</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (3R,2O,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (3Z, 2Z) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM15</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (3R,2O), mica (3R,2Z), organic matter (3R) and iron oxides (4R,3R,2O) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM16</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (3R,2O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM17</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (3Z,2R,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (4R,3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM18</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (3Z,2R,1O), mica (3R,2Z) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM19</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (3Z,2O), mica (3Z,2O) and iron oxides (2R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM20</td>
<td>Medium grained fabric with quartz (4R,3Z,2Z,1O), mica (3R,2Z), organic matter (3R) and iron oxides (3Z) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM21</td>
<td>Coarse grained fabric with quartz (3O,2O), mica (2Z) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM22</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (1O), calcium carbonate (3R), mica (2R) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM23</td>
<td>Very fine grained fabric with quartz (1O), mica (2Z), organic matter (3R) and iron oxides (3R) inclusions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 9. Neolithic pottery fabrics and their granularity. Scale 3:1
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...to note that their necks carry decoration consisting of bunches of vertical incisions (see also Budja 1992.103, Fig. 4: Phase 4).

Pottery from phases 2 and 4 of cluster 10 is comparable to settlement phases 5 and 6 at Moverna vas. These phases show similarities in dishes and bowls with vertical or slightly inverted lips (compare Pls. 4.22, 5.27, 29, 6.34, 36, 10.62 with Tomaž 1999.Pls. MV33.1–2, MV34.1), in small dishes and bowls of simple forms (miniature dishes and bowls at Radvanje) (compare Pl. 6.38 and Pl. 11.64, 65 with Tomaž 1999.Pls. MV28.3, 6, 7), and pitchers, which are not known from earlier phases of Moverna vas (compare Pls. 7.42, 43, 11.70 with Tomaž 1999.Pls. MV31.2, 3, MV34.5), and in the decoration of necks and shoulders of pots with bunches of continuous horizontal lines (compare Pls. 9.52, 11.73 with Tomaž 1999.Pls. MV29.3, MV35.12–13) (see also Budja 1992.103, Fig. 4: Phase 5–6).

Phase 1 of cluster 10 is comparable with the oldest horizon of Ajdovska jama. Both phases are characterised by dishes/bowls with hollow, slightly concave feet, covered with slip (compare Pl. 1.1 with Horvat 1986.Pl. 1.2), dishes with a spout hole just below the rim (compare Pl. 1.8 with Horvat 1986. Pl. 2.1), pots with short convex shoulders and long steeply sloping necks (compare Pl. 3.17 with Koroscek 1975.Pl. 9.1), pots with short necks, and necks of pots that carry decoration of bunches of vertical lines (compare Pl. 3.18 with Horvat 1986.Pls. 1.3, 2.4, 5).

Fig. 10. Decorative motifs. Phase 1.

Fig. 11. Vessel forms. Phase 1.
Pottery from phases 2 and 4 of cluster 10 shows an excellent comparison with the phase of cave burials in Ajdovska jama, which followed the oldest horizon of the site. These phases all contain bowls with vertical or slightly inverted lips and spouting nozzles (compare Pl. 11.66 with Korošec, Štefan 1965, Pl. 1.2 and Pl. 5.26 with Korošec 1953, Pl. 11.9), almost identical dishes with bevelled lips (compare Pl. 6.32 with Korošec 1975, Pl. 8.3 and Pl. 6.39 with Pl. 6.2), small dishes of simple forms (compare Pl. 11.64 with Korošec 1975, Pl. 11.4), similar forms and decoration of pitchers (compare Pl. 7.41–45 and Pl. 11.68–71 with Horvat 1989, Pl. 1–9), comparable pots with long sloping necks (compare Pl. 8.50 with Korošec 1975, Pl. 8.1) and similar pot decoration (compare Pl. 11.72 with Horvat 1986, Pl. 3.1 and 9.52 with Korošec 1953, Pl. 5.5).

The Phase 1 pottery of cluster 10 is also comparable with the earliest Late Neolithic finds from Drulovka (compare Pl. 1.4 with Guštin et al. 2005, 45:12, Pl. 3.18 with 49:24; Pl. 2.12 with Korošec 1960, Pls.)
Moreover, phases 2 and 4 are similar to slightly later finds from Drulovka (Phase Drulovka 2) (compare Pls. 4.22, 5.25, 28 and Pl. 6.37 with Guštin et al. 2005:36–38, Pl. 7.41, 42 and Pl. 11.70 with 53:39–41; Pl. 4.22 with Korošec 1960.Pl. 14.2 and Pl. 9.52 with Pls. 17.3, 18.6, 18.8, 19.5, 23.11).

The pottery of cluster 10 can furthermore be correlated with sites Col 1 near Podgračeno (compare Pl. 1.1 with Horvat 2005.Fig. 6.12, 13, Pl. 6.34 with Fig. 6.11, Pl. 5.31 with Fig. 11.16, Pl. 3.15 with Fig. 11.21, Pl. 7.42 with Fig. 11.23, Pl. 7.44 with Fig. 11.25 and Pl. 11.69 with Fig. 11.24), the Neo-Eneolithic horizon of Čatež-Sredno polje (compare Pl. 11.67 with Tiefengraber 2006.233:5 and Pl. 7.43 with 233:6), Grac near Sela pri Zajcem Vrhu (compare for example Pl. 7.42 with Pavlin 2006.221:64, and Pl. 10.59 with 215:17), Kamnik- Mali Grad (compare T. 5.26 with Sagadin 2005.30:5, Pl. 11.66 with 30:6 and decoration of the pot Pl. 9.52 with 30:8), Gradišče near Šiška vas and Kratna above Kamnik (compare Pl. 4.22 with Velušček 2005.25:21, Pl. 10.8 with 25:19, Pl. 11.73 with 24:4, Pl. 1.1 with 24:5 and Pl. 4.22 with 24:2).

The Phase 1 pottery of cluster 10 is well comparable to pottery from the Late Neolithic site of Ozalj-Stari Grad in nearby Croatia. Both contain similar hollow, slightly sloping feet (compare Pl. 1.1 with Težak-Gregl 1993.Pl. 1.1), biconical bowls with circular applied decoration (compare Pl. 1.7 with Težak-Gregl 2001.Pl. 3.1 and Pl. 1.6 with Težak-Gregl 2005.159:15), bowls with a spout hole just below the rim (compare Pl. 1.8 with Težak-Gregl 2001.Pl. 4.7) and short-necked pots decorated with bunches of vertical lines on their shoulders and necks (compare Pl. 3.18 with Težak-Gregl 2001.159:17, 20, 21).

Pottery found at some Late Neolithic sites of central and south-eastern Slovenia is not comparable to the pottery from cluster 10. These are Čatež-Sredno polje (Tomaž 2005; Tomaž, Kavur 2006), Dragomelj (Turk, Svetličič 2005) and Resnikov Prekop (Korošec 1964; Tomaž, Velušček 2005; Velušček 2006). These sites are comparable with the earliest phase of Moverna vas. Pots with no shoulders and with long, sloping necks, pots with convex shoulders and steeply sloping necks, and bowls with bevelled lips frequently occur at these sites. Their maximum girths are commonly decorated with applied decoration, often in combination with impressions (compare Tomaž 2005.117:4–5, 119:10,13, 121:21, 123:28–31, 125:38,41; Tomaž, Kavur 2006.84:5–7, 85:10, 12–14, 86:17,18, 87:19; Turk, Svetličič 2005.75:21, 23, 29, 34–35, 77:43, 44, 46; Tomaž, Velušček 2005.95:23, 25, 97:35; Velušček 2006.Pls. 2.2–4, Pl. 5.1–Pl. 7.1, Pls. 13.1, 14.2, 3.5, 17.13, 14, 18.1–7, 19.4 with Tomaž 1999.Pls. MV5.1, 3, MV9.4, MV10.4, MV11.3, MV14.1). In addition to dishes/bowls with hollow,

Fig. 14. Lamp with traces of burning.

Fig. 15. Decorative motifs. Phase 4.

**Conclusion**

Six phases were recognised within the settlement deposit of Radvanje. Four of them are associated with the settlement, construction and destruction of the recognised structure and everyday activities. Two are related to flowing water activity, and are documented with a layer of alluvium and a palaeo-channel.

We noted a great similarity in the pottery assemblages of phases 2 and 4. However, they both slightly differ from the assemblage of Phase 1. The differences can be seen mainly in pottery forms and their decoration. Certain deviations also occur in pottery manufacturing technology.

Comparisons with other sites indicate that the Radvanje pottery from phases 1, 2 and 4 of cluster 10 dates to the Late Neolithic. The best comparisons can be found at some sites from central and southeastern Slovenia. The Phase 1 pottery can be correlated with pottery of the 4th settlement phase of Moverna vas, the earliest pottery from Drulovka and Ozalj-Stari Grad in Croatia, and it is also similar to the pottery from the earliest horizon of Ajdovska jama. The pottery from phases 2 and 4 of cluster 10 is somewhat later. The best comparisons can be made with pottery from Drulovka, which dates to the late Neo-Eneolithic period (Phase Drulovka 2), pottery from the phase of cave burials in Ajdovska jama and pottery from the 5th and 6th settlement phase of Moverna vas.3,4

---

3 I sincerely thank to Mira Strmčnik Gulič from the Maribor Unit of the Institute for the Protection of Cultural Heritage (ZVKDS), Head of the archaeological excavation, who made it possible for me to publish this summary of my undergraduate thesis, which was written under supervision of Prof. Dr. Mihael Budja and submitted to the Department of Archaeology at the University of Ljubljana in 2010.

4 I would like to thank Nives Kokeza for English translation of the text.
Fig. 17. Quantity and percentage of fabrics (LM). Phases 1, 2 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase 1</th>
<th>Phase 2</th>
<th>Phase 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>fabric</td>
<td>pcs. %</td>
<td>pcs. %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM01</td>
<td>5 18,5</td>
<td>58 35,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM02</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
<td>3 1,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM03</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
<td>1 0,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM04</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
<td>3 1,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM05</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
<td>1 0,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM06</td>
<td>1 3,7</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM07</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
<td>11 6,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM08</td>
<td>3 11,1</td>
<td>41 25,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM09</td>
<td>8 29,6</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM10</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM11</td>
<td>1 3,7</td>
<td>/ 0,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM12</td>
<td>7 25,9</td>
<td>3 1,9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 18. Percentage of different granularity groups. Phases 1, 2 and 4.

Fig. 19. Percentage of unfired surface treatment of pottery. Phases 1, 2 and 4.

Fig. 20. Percentage of types of firing of pottery. Phases 1, 2 and 4.

Fig. 21. Percentage of hardness groups. Phases 1, 2 and 4.

Fig. 22. Percentage of pottery decoration techniques. Phases 1, 2 and 4.

Fig. 23. Percentage of basic vessel forms. Phases 1, 2 and 4.
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Appendix


App. 2. Cluster 10, section 2.
Zgornje Radvanje, Cluster 10 – a Late Neolithic pit with a structure and smaller pits

Zgornje Radvanje, Cluster 10 – a Late Neolithic pit with a structure and smaller pits